DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP

450 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017 Telephone: (212) 450-4000 Facsimile: (212) 701-5800 Marshall S. Huebner

Benjamin S. Kaminetzky James I. McClammy Marc J. Tobak Gerard X. McCarthy

Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Debtors.<sup>1</sup>

In re:	Chapter 11
PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,	Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)

# NOTICE OF FILING OF MONITOR'S REPORT

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that Purdue Pharma L.P. hereby files on behalf of Thomas J.

(Jointly Administered)

Vilsack, in his capacity as Monitor, the *Initial Monitor Report* attached as Exhibit A hereto.

Mr. Vilsack, as Monitor, prepared the *Initial Monitor Report* pursuant to the Voluntary

Injunction entered as part of the Second Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a)

Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, entered on November 6, 2019 (the "Preliminary

\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF LP (0495), SVC Pharma LP (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors' corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

**Injunction Order**"),<sup>2</sup> which requires that the Debtors retain a Monitor, and that the Monitor file a report no less than every 90 days regarding compliance by the Company with the terms of the Voluntary Injunction (the "**Monitor's Report**"). Purdue Pharma L.P. is filing the Initial Monitor's Report as a courtesy to the Monitor, who has not retained counsel in connection with these chapter 11 cases.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a copy of the Monitor's Report and any related papers may be obtained free of charge by visiting the website of Prime Clerk LLC at <a href="https://restructuring.primeclerk.com/purduepharma">https://restructuring.primeclerk.com/purduepharma</a>. You may also obtain copies of any pleadings by visiting the Court's website at <a href="https://www.nysb.uscourts.gov">https://www.nysb.uscourts.gov</a> in accordance with the procedures and fees set forth therein.

Dated: May 20, 2020

New York, New York

/s/ Marc J. Tobak

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 450-4000
Facsimile: (212) 701-5800
Marshall S. Huebner
Benjamin S. Kaminetzky
James I. McClammy
Marc J. Tobak
Gerard X. McCarthy

Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise defined herein, each capitalized term shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Preliminary Injunction Order.

<b>UNITED ST</b>	ATES BAI	NKRUPI	CY (	COURT
SOUTHERN	N DISTRIC	T OF N	EW Y	ORK

In re:	_
	Chapter 11
PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,	Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)
Debtor. <sup>1</sup>	(Jointly Administered)

# **INITIAL MONITOR REPORT**

Comes now, Thomas J. Vilsack, as duly contracted Monitor for Purdue Pharma L.P. to report to the Court as follows:

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Initial Monitor Report will include an outline of actions taken to date to determine compliance with the terms and conditions of the Voluntary Injunction, a general description of the documents and records reviewed, and a set of recommendations provided to Purdue Pharma L.P. and the company's responses thereto. Officials at Purdue Pharma L.P. have been responsive and cooperative by providing documents in a timely and complete fashion and by arranging for multiple interviews with key officials and providing more than 9,000 pages of documentation at my request. Based on what has been reviewed to date and subject to the recommendations contained herein Purdue Pharma and the Initial Covered Sackler Persons appear to be making a good faith effort to comply with the terms and conditions of the Voluntary Injunction.

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF L.P. (0495), SVC Pharma L.P. (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors' corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

## **INJUNCTION**

- 1. On November 6, 2019, the Bankruptcy Court entered a Preliminary Injunction order as part of the above entitled bankruptcy case. The Preliminary Injunction order included, as Appendix I, a Voluntary Injunction (Injunction) pursuant to which Purdue Pharma L.P., on its behalf and on behalf of its direct and indirect subsidiaries and general partner (collectively "Purdue Pharma"), agreed, in part, to retain a Monitor with the responsibility to report on compliance with the terms of the Injunction every 90 days. The Preliminary Injunction has been amended several times, but the Voluntary Injunction has remained the same each time. A copy of the currently operative Preliminary Injunction order, entered by the Bankruptcy Court on April 14, 2020, including the Injunction is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit One.
- 2. Under Part II Section A paragraph 1 a-h of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed to restrict the dissemination of information by Purdue Pharma or a Third Party on its behalf that was either likely or intended to influence prescribing practices of health care providers (HCPs) in favor of prescribing greater amounts, quantities, doses and/or strengths opioid products.
- 3. Under Part II Section B paragraph 1 of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed not to provide any financial incentive to its sales and marketing employees or take any disciplinary action against any of its sales and marketing employees that was directly based on or tied to the sales volume or quotas for opioid products unless otherwise permitted by the above entitled Bankruptcy Court.
- 4. Under Part II Section B paragraph 2 of the Injunction Purdue Pharma also agreed not to offer to pay any remuneration directly or through a Third Party to any person or entity for

the prescribing, sale, use, or distribution of opioid products other than the use of rebates or chargebacks.

- 5. Under Part II Section C paragraph 1 and 6 of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed not to provide any financial support or In-Kind support to any Third Party, medical society, or patient advocate group for the purpose of promoting opioid or opioid products including but not limited to the following: providing links to Third Party websites related to opioids or opioid products, knowingly using a Third Party to engage in activity prohibited by the Injunction, enabling or advocating for the appointment of a director, board member, employee, agent, or officer to serve in a similar capacity concurrently in any entity that promotes opioids, opioid products or opioid related treatment of pain or opioid related side effects except as authorized under the Part II Section C paragraphs 1 and 7 of the Injunction.
- 6. Under Part II Section D paragraph 1 of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed not directly or through a Third Party lobby for the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation or for the promulgation of any rule or regulation that encourages or requires a health care provider to use opioids or sanctions a health care provider for the failure to prescribe or use opioids for the treatment of pain subject only to the limitations set forth in Part II paragraph D (4) of the Injunction.
- 7. Under Part II Section D paragraph 2 Purdue Pharma agreed not to directly or through a Third Party lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or against the promulgation of any rule or regulation encouraging non-pharmacological or non-opioid pharmacologic therapy for the treatment of pain, the use of lowest possible dosages where appropriate of opioids or immediate release opioids, a limitation on an initial prescription of an opioid product, reasonable preconditions including testing before prescribing an opioid product,

the use of or payment for evidence based treatments for opioid use disorder, and the implementation of a proper disposal system subject only to the limitations set forth in Part II Section D paragraph 4 of the Injunction.

- 8. Under Part II Section D paragraph 3 of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed not to directly or through a Third Party lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or against the promulgation of any rule or regulation that would limit the operation or use of PDMPs (Prescription Monitoring Program) including any requirement mandating the use of same before prescribing any opioid or opioid product.
- 9. Under Part II Section E of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed to abide by whatever decision is made by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) on the pending Citizens Petition dated September 1, 2017 concerning a ban on high doses of prescription and transmucosal opioids exceeding 90 morphine milligram equivalents.
- 10. Under Part II Section F paragraph 1 of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed it would not directly or through a Third Party promote a savings card, voucher, coupons, or rebates programs to any health care provider for any opioid product or provide financial support to a Third Party to circumvent any such restriction. However, Purdue Pharma is authorized to provide savings cards, vouchers, coupons or rebate programs, including point-of-dispense programs, in response to requests or on its website under the Injunction.
- 11. Under Part II Section G paragraph 1 a-d of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed to operate an effective monitoring and reporting system to detect suspicious orders and possible diversion of opioids and opioid products by a direct customer or identify whether a downstream customer poses a material risk of diversion.

- 12. Under Part II Section G paragraph 2 of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed to promptly provide reasonable assistance to law enforcement agencies involved in investigations of potential diversions or suspicious circumstances involving Purdue Pharma opioid products.
- 13. Under Part II Section G paragraph 3 of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed that when and if one or more of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors establishes a system to aggregate transaction data involving the sale of opioid products and/or reports of suspicious orders Purdue Pharma would provide information into that system to the extent available and feasible, provided that the system is designed to use information provided by manufacturers of opioid products.
- 14. Under Part II Section G paragraph 4 of the Injunction Purdue Pharma agreed to refrain from acting as a distributor of opioid product (aside from rescue and treatment medications) directly to a retail pharmacy or health care provider that would require it to be registered as a distributor under the Controlled Substances Act unless otherwise required by local, state, or federal law.
- 15. Under Part II Section I of the Injunction members of the Sackler family as identified and described in Part I Section K, as Initial Covered Sackler Person, agreed not to be actively engaged in the opioid business in the United States other than by virtue of their ownership interest in Purdue Pharma and that they would individually or collectively take no action interfere with the Purdue Pharma's responsibilities and duties under the Injunction.

#### **MONITOR AGREEMENT**

16. On February 13, 2020 the undersigned and Purdue Pharma executed the Purdue Monitoring Agreement, attached hereto and made part hereof as Exhibit Two and began

immediately to take steps to comply with the monitor responsibilities as outlined in Part II Section H paragraphs 1-5 of the Injunction.

- 17. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement in person or telephonic interviews were conducted of the following individuals whose titles were: Purdue Pharma President and CEO, Purdue Pharma Senior V.P., General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, Purdue Pharma Associate General Counsel, Purdue Pharma Chief Financial Officer, Purdue Pharma V.P. Business Operations, Purdue Pharma V.P. Research and Development, Purdue Pharma V.P. Medical Affairs, Purdue Pharma V.P. Chief Compliance Officer, Purdue Pharma V.P. Sales and Marketing, Purdue Pharma V.P. Chief Scientific Officer, Purdue Pharma Associate Director Ethics and Compliance, Purdue Pharma V.P. Federal Government Affairs, Purdue Pharma Executive Director, Head of Government Affairs, Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. President, Rhodes Technologies President, Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P., V.P. Sales and Marketing, Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. and Rhodes Technologies V.P., General Counsel, Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. and Rhodes Technologies V.P., Chief Financial Officer, Purdue Pharma Director of Health Policy, Purdue Pharma Head of Market Access, Purdue Pharma Director Pharmacy Distribution Sales.
- 18. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement, documents and records, listed in the document attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit Three, were provided at an in person meeting at the corporate headquarters on February 13, 2020 and subsequently reviewed.
- 19. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement documents and records, listed in the document attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit Four, were produced on March 4, 2020 and March 8, 2020 and subsequently reviewed.

- 20. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement documents and records, listed in the document attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit Five, were produced on March 19, 2020 and March 20, 2020 and subsequently reviewed.
- 21. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement documents and records, listed in the document attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit Six, were produced on March 23, 2020 and subsequently reviewed.
- 22. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement documents and records listed in the document attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit Seven were produced on April 13, 2020 and subsequently reviewed.
- 23. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement documents and records listed in the document attached hereto and made a part hereto as Exhibit Eight were produced on April 20, 21, 29, and 30, 2020 and subsequently reviewed. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement documents and records listed in the document attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit Nine were produced on May 11, 2020 and subsequently reviewed.
- 24. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement websites and social media sites for Purdue Pharma and its related entities were examined and subsequently reviewed relating to opioids and opioid products including: PurduePharma.com, RhodesPharma.com, RxPatrol.com, Butrans.com, HysinglaER.com, Oxycontin.com, AskPurdueMedical.com, Purdue Twitter, and Purdue LinkedIn.
- 25. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement websites and social media sites were examined for Purdue Pharma and its related entities relating to non-opioid products and non opioid related activities including: ImbriumThera.com, AdlonTherapeutics.com,

  AvrioHealth.com, GreenFieldsVentures.com, SlowMag.com, ColaceCapsules.com,Senokot.com,

KiwiBalance.com, Betadine.com, FirstAidMyths.com, AdhansiaXR.com, Aptensioxr.com, Colace Instagram, Betadine Instagram, Senokot Instagram, Senokot YouTube, Colace YouTube, Imbrium Twitter, Adlon Twitter, Imbrium LinkedIn, Adlon LinkedIn and Greenfield LinkedIn.

- 26. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement websites, newsletters, magazines and journals for the American Pharmacists Association, American Society of Health System Pharmacists, America Pharmacist and National Community Pharmacy Association were reviewed.
- 27. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement the following journals and publications were examined: Pharmacy Today, Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Pharmacy Library.
- 28. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement websites for McKesson Corp., AmerisourceBergen, Cardinal Health System, CuraScript Specialty Distribution, Morris and Dickerson, JM Smith, Rochester Drug Cooperative, NACDS, and Express Scripts were reviewed.
- 29. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement outreach was conducted with the Unsecured Creditor Committee, Non Consenting States Group, and Consenting States Group.
- 30. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement a letter from Senator Hassan to the FDA and response to concerns from Purdue Pharma and its related entities was reviewed.
- 31. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement the FDA website and comments contained therein relating to the activity of the Joint Meeting of the Anesthetic and Analgesic Drug Products Advisory Committee Product and Guideline were reviewed.
- 32. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement outreach was conducted to lawyers at Debevoise, Milbank, and Joseph Hage Aaronson as legal representatives of the

Sackler family members as Initial Covered Sackler Persons as defined and discussed in Part I Section K and Part II Section I of the Injunction requesting certification of compliance with the terms and conditions of the Injunction applicable to the Initial Covered Sackler Family Persons.

33. After the execution of the Monitoring Agreement requests for additional information, clarifications of documents received, and identification of additional people to interview were made pursuant to emails sent to Purdue Pharma Associate General Counsel on February 17, 2020, on March 3,4,13,19 and 23, 2020, on April 7, 13, 15, 20, and 30 and on May 1, 2020.

## **PROMOTION PROHIBITED**

- 34. Under the Injunction Part II Section A Purdue Pharma and its related entities are generally prohibited from promoting opioids or opioid products and cannot use a sales force to promote opioids and opioid products to health care professionals. In 2018 Purdue Pharma terminated its opioid and opioid products sales force.
- 35. During the period from December 2019 to the present Purdue Pharma used and continues to use a third-party contract sales force consisting of approximately 90 people for the purpose of promoting its non-opioid product, Adhansia XR®, which is authorized under the terms and conditions of the Injunction. The sales force has received enhanced Adhansia training regarding how to address questions unrelated to the product. If an Adhansia sales representative is asked a question about opioids, he or she must not answer the question posed, and instead must refer the query to Purdue's Medical Affairs Department.
- 36. During the period from December 2019 to the present Purdue Pharma has had and continues to have in-house and field based Market Access and Trade and Distribution teams that work with managed care organizations and pharmacy benefit managers in order to ensure

formulary coverage, as well as with wholesale customers to ensure that pharmacies maintain adequate supplies of branded opioid products are available to fill prescriptions being properly prescribed by health care providers.

- 37. For branded opioid products Purdue Pharma's Trade and Distribution team negotiates agreements with most of its wholesalers to distribute opioid products from their central distribution centers for products produced in the Purdue Pharma manufacturing facilities in North Carolina. More than 90% of the branded opioid products are sold to and distributed by three wholesale distributors.
- 38. The Trade and Distribution team at Purdue Pharma pays quarterly negotiated fees to its distributors per established agreements for which distributors agree to maintain certain minimum and maximum inventory levels and to provide updated inventory and sales data.
- 39. The sales data collected from wholesalers is provided through a third-party contractor which is used for multiple purposes including the monitoring of suspicious orders by the Ethics and Compliance department.
- 40. The fee gets paid quarterly as a credit against what the distributor pays for product. The primary purpose of this arrangement is to stabilize supply so that proper prescriptions get filled without delay. This arrangement does not appear to be designed to promote the use or sale of opioid products. However, during the next 90 days more information will be sought to understand fully all the ways the data is used to ensure that none of the reasons related to encouraging more prescribing or use of opioid products.
- 41. The Market Access team at Purdue Pharma focuses its efforts, in part, on overseeing the effort with managed care organizations and pharmacy benefit managers.

  Negotiations with managed care organizations and pharmacy benefit managers center on the

formulary status of the products being sold. Purdue Pharma pays a rebate to maintain the appropriate status as a preferred or non-preferred product for each of its products.

- 42. The benefit of being on a preferred status level in a formulary is that the co-pay paid by the ultimate customer is less than it would be if the drug were in a non-preferred status.
- 43. If Purdue Pharma engages in the purchase of data such purchases need to be lawful and at a fair market value to maintain compliance with the terms and conditions of the Injunction.
- 44. If Purdue Pharma engages in providing rebates, such rebates must be at arm's length and only with written prior approval.
- 45. Over the next 90 days effort will be made to determine if data purchases and concessions, if any, were made. If so, an examination will be into the reasons and circumstances for the purchases or concessions.
- 46. More inspection and investigation will be in this area to determine how best to avoid a circumstance where a rebate negotiation crosses a line between a good faith effort on the part of Purdue Pharma to ensure adequate product access as opposed to an unauthorized promotion of opioid products contrary to the terms and conditions of the Injunction.
- 47. In the training described in Paragraph 35 above the sales force is trained not to answer questions asked about opioid products. The sales force is not trained or authorized to detail opioid products, does not receive compensation based on prescriptions of opioids by HCPs they call on and operates as part of the non-opioid Adlon business of Purdue. They are trained not to answer any questions that cannot be answered with either approved Adhansia XR promotional materials or Adhansia XR's Full Prescribing Information. These questions, including any relating to opioids, should be directed to Purdue Pharma Medical Information.

Purdue structured the Adhansia call list based on existing prescribers of ADHD medications, and not based on health care professionals who have prescribed opioids in the past. However, overlap occurred with 1,338 health care providers.

- 48. The recommendation has been made that the third-party sales force personnel involved with those overlapping health care providers execute on a semi-annual basis a certification that they have read the Injunction, have provided a list of any health care provider or customer called upon who may have inquired about opioid or opioid products, and acknowledge that each and every person on the list was only told to direct such inquiries to the Medical Affairs Department of Purdue Pharma. Purdue Pharma has agreed to this recommendation and has reached out to its third-party vendor to implement this recommendation.
- 49. Prior to the Injunction going into effect Purdue Pharma and its related entities funded and engaged a third-party to assist with an ongoing post marketing study, required by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The study is titled "OxyContin post marketing study #4-Changes in Fatal and Non-fatal Overdose among Patients Dispensed OxyContin after its Reformulation with Abuse-deterrent Properties A Healthcare Database Analysis with Linkage to the National Death Index-"which was required by the FDA as a post-marketing study of the safety of OxyContin®.
- 50. The study assessed changes in the rates of fatal and non-fatal overdose among people dispensed OxyContin or comparator opioids.
- 51. As part of that study data was being collected for the purpose of supporting the study.

- 52. In the normal course of business Purdue Pharma would at this point seek to publish the data in a scientific journal.
- 53. The study included an estimation of the change in the incidence rates of unintentional fatal or non-fatal overdose (OD) in patients prescribed OxyContin before and after its reformulation in August 2010.
- 54. The study also assessed changes in rates of overdose for OxyContin vs. individual primary and secondary comparator extended-release (ER) and immediate-release (IR) opioids around the time of OxyContin's reformulation.
- 55. A recommendation is that if such data is published in a scientific journal and Purdue Pharma intends to link to the scientific journal on websites controlled by Purdue Pharma that a disclaimer be provided that includes reference to the risks associated with opioids and opioid products and the appropriate warning information contained in package inserts, prescribing information and medication guides. Purdue Pharma has agreed to this recommendation.
- 56. On its current corporate website and social media sites Purdue Pharma indicates support for the following: wide dissemination of the medical guidance for patients who are prescribed opioid and opioid products, appropriate disposal for unused opioid products, the need for a patient's consent to be fully informed, the use of electronic prescribing and Prescription Monitoring Programs, the need for prescribers to have demonstrated competency in prescribing, the expanded availability of naloxone and medicated assisted treatment options for misuse, abuse and addiction, and the development of abuse deterrent formulations.

- 57. All of these representations appear to be in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Injunction. However, the Rhodes Pharmaceutical L.P. (Rhodes) website does not provide direct access to that supportive language.
- 58. The recommendation is that the same cautionary language used on the Purdue Pharma website also should be used on the Rhodes website given it sells and distributes three branded opioid products and several generic opioid products. Purdue Pharma has agreed to this recommendation.
- 59. On the websites and social media sites identified in Paragraph 24 above Purdue Pharma provides a wide range of information related to opioids and opioid products including the following: key attributes of medications, and any savings plan or other resources for specific medications.
- 60. On the Purdue Pharma websites and social media site there is language consistent with the product label, prescribing information, medication guidance, and package insert for each medication that outlines the risks of addiction, misuse and abuse, the direction to use non-opioid treatments first unless ineffective, proper disposal methods for unused product, encouragement to use Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs and the importance of prescribers having reviewed the Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) training recommendations before prescribing any opioid product.
- 61. These websites require the viewer to acknowledge the risks, warning, and precautions before allowing the viewer a detailed review of any other information available on the site. Unlike on the sites identified in Paragraph 25 above that promote a specific non-opioid product dedicated to the site, the sites identified in Paragraph 24 contain no language or links to any other information that could be construed as promotional.

- 62. However, the Purdue Pharma LinkedIn company page site is a site recommended for a change. While introducing the company to the 24,535 followers as of May 12, 2020, of the site reference is made to an employee base of 1,027 which does not reflect the decline in the number of employees since the Bankruptcy Court filing.
- 63. A recommendation is made to update with current and accurate information on the Purdue Pharma LinkedIn site. Purdue Pharma has agreed to this recommendation.
- 64. At the time of the filing of this Initial 90 Day Report the list of research investments involving opioids and opioid products, if any, for the period after January 1, 2019 to the present date is in draft form. Information on the website and documents provided by Purdue Pharma indicate that the only opioid related research that was funded in 2019 by Purdue Pharma relates either to the current 11 post marketing FDA required efforts that predated the Injunction or research related to a product called nalmefene which is a hydrochloride injection medication being tested to counteract an opioid overdose.
- 65. These research efforts would appear to be consistent with and in compliance with the Injunction requirements subject only to the recommendations made in Paragraphs 55 above relating to the publication of any data from research efforts. It is expected that when the 2020 reports are filed a similar conclusion will likely be reached.
- 66. There are no promotional materials for opioid or opioid products on Purdue Pharma's current website. Rhodes has on its website only a product catalogue that identifies generic and branded opioid products which are available for sale and distribution.
- 67. Purdue Pharma and its related entities have complied with the requirements of Title 21 Chapter I Subchapter D of the Food and Drug Administration of the Health and Human

Services Department's Rules and Regulations by providing package inserts for all opioid products sold by Purdue Pharma and its related entities. These package inserts contain specific language outlining the numerous risks and hierarchy of risks associated with the use of opioid products.

- 68. The package inserts used for these products also contain the "Boxed Warning" required by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) that provides warnings, outlines a precaution to take, identifies possible adverse consequences, points out more at-risk populations, and alerts to the possibility of addiction, abuse and misuse.
- 69. These warnings, precautions, alerts and directions are also fully described in the Full Prescribing Information and Medication Guide that accompanies each product.
- 70. In the prescribing information there is additional information including the recommendation that anyone prescribing the opioid product complete the Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) training.
- 71. REMS training assures that each prescriber understands what opioids are, the risks associated with the use of opioids, the importance of proper dosage, the activities to avoid with the use of opioid products, the significance of the medication guide for patients, telltale signs of possible misuse and the importance of naloxone availability.
- 72. The Medication Guide designed for patients to read goes into detail about the warnings and risks associated with opioids and opioid products.
- 73. Labels for the opioid products also contain language to alert patients and prescribers to risks and the need to review the medication guide.
- 74. All of these materials and the messages contained therein appear compliant with the ban against promotions in the Injunction.

- 75. There is no evidence on social media for Purdue Pharma or any of its related entities of any promotion of opioids or opioid products.
- 76. Recent twitter postings on the Purdue Pharma Website discuss the importance of having naloxone available to save a life if and when an overdose situation arises.
- 77. On the Perspectives page of the Purdue Pharma website the only items appropriately highlighted are the need for reduced reliance on opioid products and how to handle addiction, misuse or abuse.
- 78. Based on a review of social media and other media outlets outlined in Paragraphs 24 and 25 above Purdue Pharma does not currently promote the use of opioids or opioid products through the use of traditional or social media advertising, social media optimization techniques or links to other sites promoting opioids or opioid products.
- 79. The first twenty entries that surface when Purdue Pharma is searched on the internet deal with the Bankruptcy case, the settlement of claims or opioid addiction, misuse or abuse.
- 80. The filed reports from Purdue Pharma and Rhodes reflecting compliance with the federal Sunshine Act and with state expenditure reports required by Massachusetts, California, Connecticut, Vermont, New York, and Nevada were for expenditures in 2018 and involved payments made in conjunction with OxyContin, Butrans® and Hysingla®. All of these expenses were paid long before the Injunction was in force and effect.
- 81. In addition, a draft of the 2019 federal Sunshine Act for Purdue Pharma and Rhodes was also provided with the understanding that the reports may change before filing.

- 82. There were reported expenses on the 2019 reports paid after the effective date of the Injunction related to opioid products; however, those expenses appear to be limited only to the continued work associated with the FDA required post marketing studies.
- 83. As such those expenses would not constitute promotion of opioid products under the terms and conditions of the Injunction. Request has been made for receipt of these reports on an ongoing basis when they are filed with the respective departments and jurisdictions to ensure compliance continues.
- 84. Purdue Pharma is not precluded from providing comment or input into the regulatory proceedings of the FDA, but up to the filing of this report officials at Purdue Pharma have not provided any comment on the following matters pending before the FDA: the Meeting of the Anesthetic and Analgesic Drug Products Advisory Committee and the Drug Safety and Risk Management Advisory Committee (Docket Number FDA -2019-N-5611) involving tramadol, celecoxib, and extended release oral tablet formulation of oxycodone submitted by Intellipharmaeceutics Corp.; (Docket FDA-2019-N-5552) new drug application for oxycodegol.
- 85. Purdue Pharma did provide comment, prior to the Injunction, in the FDA Docket 2017-P 5296 proceeding which is a Citizens' Petition to limit high dosage opioid products.

  However, Purdue Pharma took no position on the Citizens' Petition but raised questions for the FDA to consider as it proceeds to decide on the merits of the Citizens' Petition.
- 86. No person or official on behalf of a Purdue Pharma or its related entities has provided comment or input on any of the following items pending at the FDA that might directly or indirectly be considered a promotion of opioids or opioid products: Registration and Registration Fees: Controlled Substance and List 1 Chemical (DEA- 2020-0007-0001 recovering

19-23649-rdd Doc 1175 Filed 05/20/20 Entered 05/20/20 15:08:58 Main Document Pg 21 of 39

costs of diversion efforts through fee increases); Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Health Care Providers' Understanding of Opioid Analgesic Abuse Deterrent Formulations (FDA-2019-N-5973-0001 providing information on understanding and perception of abuse deterrent formulations); Medicare and Medicaid Programs: Contract Year 2021 and 2022 Policy and Technical Changes to the Medicare Advantage Program, Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit Program, Medicaid Program, Medicare Cost Plan Program and Programs of All Inclusive Care for the Elderly (CMS-2020-0010-0002 compliance with Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018, the Substance Use -Disorder Prevention that Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment for Patients and Communities Act, and 21st Century Cures Act); Request for Information on Vaping Products Associated with Lung Injuries (FDA - 2020-N- 0597-0001 use of vaping products associated with recent lung injuries); Registration Requirements for Narcotic Treatment Programs with Mobile Components (DEA-2020-0005-0001 operation of mobile components to dispense narcotic drugs/detoxification treatments at remote locations); Draft Infection Control Guideline FRN 02.26.2020 (CDC - 2020- 0011-0001 occupational infection prevention and control); Developing a Workplace Supported Recovery Program: A Strategy for Assisting Workers and Employers with the Nation's Opioid and Substance Use Disorder Epidemics; Request for Information (CDC-2020-0025-0001 NIOSH plan to develop research on Workplace Supported Recovery); Distribution of Traceable Opioid Material (TOM) Kits across U.S. Laboratories <u>2020-04083</u> (CDC -<u>2020 0025-0001</u> kit access); and Delta Impact Cooperative Agreement Evaluation Data Collection Instruments 2020-04082. (CDC -2020-0023-0001).

87. During 2019 Purdue Pharma did not have a sales force or marketing team for its branded opioid products so no money was spent on such a sales force or marketing team. Of the entire sales and marketing budget for 2019 only approximately 7% was spent on branded opioid

products. Of that 7% nearly 85% was spent on acquisition of data and the remaining 15% was spent primarily for storing and securing that data.

- 88. The balance of the sales and marketing budget for the branded opioid products was for website maintenance, postage, data transition and savings card expense. None of these investments appears to be used to promote opioid product sales.
- 89. Prior to the Bankruptcy proceeding, based on Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) records OxyContin had a market share of approximately 4% of opioid prescriptions in the United States while today its prescription market share in the United States has declined to approximately 1.3% based on data provided by a third-party vendor.
- 90. According to financial records covering calendar year 2019 provided by Purdue Pharma sales on OxyContin declined by approximately 20% from sales in 2018 and by nearly 60% from sales in 2015.
- 91. According to financial records covering calendar year 2019 provided by Purdue Pharma sales of Butrans declined by approximately 45% from sales in 2018 and by nearly 50% from sales in 2017.
- 92. According to financial records covering calendar year 2019 provided by Purdue Pharma sales of Hysingla declined by approximately 22% from sales in 2018 and by nearly 30% from sales in 2017.

#### **BONUS, SALARY AND INCENTIVES**

93. In 2019 bonus and financial incentives for Purdue Pharma was based on a company scorecard identifying three factors to be used to determine, if a bonus should be paid out: value creation (30%), efficiency and process optimization (60%), and people and culture (10%).

- 94. To the extent the bonuses and financial incentives were based on efficiency and process optimization Purdue Pharma established a process of rewarding staff based on sales of products raising potential compliance concerns under the Injunction Part II Section H.
- 95. However, for 2020 the scorecard criteria was significantly changed. The basic three factors of value creation, efficiency and process optimization and people and culture remained the same. Purdue's branded business operating profit remains a factor of the innovation and efficiency pillar of the 2020 scorecard.
- 96. Instead, the company in the future would reward behavior that promoted an entrepreneurial mindset and advanced sales of only non opioid products. As a result the 2020 approach will no longer reward staff based on volume of opioid sales. If implemented as designed the current scorecard will be consistent with the Injunction provisions in Part II Section H.
- 97. In 2019 the Purdue Pharma had a different compensation model than described in Paragraph 93 above for its Market Access Team. The Market Access Team's Compensation System of Incentives for the 6 employees involved in sales to Managed Care Organizations and Pharmacy Benefit Managers identified two factors to consider in determining staff compensation: individual performance and corporate performance.
- 98. The individual performance element was based on an individual's performance of the "Top 10 Behaviors Based on Our Values" which do not appear directly related to product sales volumes or profits.
- 99. The corporate performance element was divided into two elements: corporate performance tied to the annual corporate objectives and product performance of the non-opioid product Adhansia XR.

- 100. In the documents and information requested no revision appears to have been made to the plan.
- 101. If that system and those factors remain in place a recommendation would be to state and clarify that in the corporate performance element neither top-line opioid product sales or volume specifically will be used as a factor in calculating salaries or bonus.

## **GRANTS AND IN KIND SUPPORT**

- 102. Under the Injunction Part II Section C Purdue Pharma and its related entities are prohibited from providing financial support or in kind support, such as grants, to any third party for the purposes of promoting opioid or opioid products.
- 103. Purdue Pharma is not prevented under the Injunction from supporting efforts to combat opioid misuse, abuse and addiction.
- 104. For any grant to be made now by Purdue Pharma the process requires a review by a multi-disciplinary committee, including the law department and the Ethics and Compliance department, not connected to the sales and marketing departments. Contributions requested by a customer cannot be approved.
- 105. From November 26, 2019 to February 22, 2020 Purdue Pharma awarded grants to a variety of programs that involved either treatment or prevention of opioid misuse or abuse. Such grants would appear to be in compliance with Part II Section C of the Injunction.
- 106. An example of the type of grants recently approved was to EVERFI in 6 states connected to Purdue Pharma and its related entities to help fund training materials and a health and wellness curriculum for K-12 students and teachers.

### THIRD PARTY PAYMENTS

- 107. Under the Injunction Part II Section B Purdue Pharma is prohibited from paying any remuneration directly or through a third party for the promotion of the sale, prescribing, use or distribution of opioids and opioid products.
- 108. Under the Injunction Part II Section C Purdue Pharma and its related entities are prohibited from offering or paying any remuneration to any third party to promote the prescribing, using, distributing, or selling opioids or opioid products.
- 109. A review of the state audit reports from California, Connecticut,
  Nevada, Vermont, and Massachusetts and the Federal Spend Reports for Purdue Pharma for
  2018 reflects that no payments that would have violated the Injunction had it been in place in
  2018.
- 110. The records for the first two months of 2019 were also reviewed and are in compliance with the Injunction and applicable Federal law.

## **SAVINGS PROGRAM**

- 111. Under the Injunction Part II Section F Purdue Pharma is banned from promoting broadly defined prescription savings programs but allowed to have such plans and to respond to inquiries about available plans.
- 112. A review of company records indicated that Purdue Pharma and its related entities discontinued a savings plan for Butrans opioid products because a generic less expensive product became available.
- 113. However, through a third-party vendor Purdue Pharma still offers savings plans for its OxyContin and Hysingla products.

- 114. For both the OxyContin and Hysingla savings plans there are conditions and restrictions that are communicated on the company websites. The savings card information available for both products includes the "boxed warning" required for the products by the FDA. The savings card information available for both products through the company websites makes reference to the package insert, medication guide, and prescribing information for the products that sets forth a variety of warnings concerning the products and limitations on when and for what conditions the products should be used.
- 115. The savings card information for both products prevents the savings card from being used for customers paying cash or for those patients covered by Medicare or Medicaid programs.
- 116. The savings card information for OxyContin can only be used once every two weeks and is limited to a \$70 savings and for Hysingla ER the benefits amount to \$170 only after the customer has paid \$25.
- 117. The offering of only the two savings plans under the current terms and conditions outlined appears to be in compliance with the Injunction.

## **SUSPICIOUS ORDER MONITORING**

- 118. Under the Injunction Part II Section G Purdue Pharma and its related entities is required to operate an effective monitoring and reporting system that reasonably analyzes collected direct customer data and available downstream customer data to identify suspicious orders as defined in the Injunction Part I Section Q.
- 119. The company charged the Ethics and Compliance Department with operating the suspicious order monitoring program and directed the Associate Director of Ethics and

Compliance to lead that effort with backup assistance provided by three additional Purdue employees.

- 120. The Associate Director of Ethics and Compliance has held this role since March 2019. From 2012 to 2018, she was an Associate Director of the Law Enforcement Liaison and Education program with Purdue Pharma's Corporate Security Department.
- 121. She is a veteran law enforcement officer having served 15 years in the state of Georgia. Her last post prior to working for Purdue Pharma was as the Principal Agent for the Georgia State Medical Board. She served as the Georgia Chapter President of the National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators (2003-2020).
- 122. Purdue Pharma has adopted a Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for identifying suspicious orders of existing customers. The SOPs (as well as other non-opioid controlled substances) requires a quarterly review of chargeback data for covered opioids and opioid products, continuous reviews of orders, and a process for additional review when an order or customer is flagged.
- 123. In addition, as of November 2019 Purdue Pharma required and will require its customers to complete an annual Wholesaler Due Diligence Questionnaire.
- 124. The questionnaire in part is designed to provide information on the customer's own suspicious order monitoring program. The customer is asked whether its program is homegrown or third-party vendor provided, the extent of any Federal Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) review of the system, the number of orders reported to the DEA for further investigation, and action taken when an order is found to be suspicious.
- 125. The questionnaire asks whether a customer uses a Prescription Monitoring

  Program (PMP) to track patients seeking to fill a prescription at multiple pharmacies or seeking

to obtain prescriptions from multiple providers during a particular period of time. The specifics of Prescription Monitoring Programs vary by state, and state laws and regulations typically limit access to Prescription Monitoring Program data. The questionnaire also asks if customers review information on top prescribers for each customer and verify those prescribers through due diligence.

- 126. Purdue Pharma's customers and its customers' customers take a variety of approaches in designing their SOMs programs, as reflected in the questionnaire responses. They also deem varying numbers of orders to be suspicious under their suspicious order monitoring programs.
- 127. A number of Purdue Pharma's customers provided questionnaire responses that were incomplete, unsigned, unresponsive, or lacked accompanying documentation.
- distributor refused initially in its questionnaire to provide any information on its suspicious order monitoring system claiming it was proprietary; one distributor submitted an unsigned questionnaire; one distributor had not had its homegrown system reviewed by the DEA since 2007 based on its submission; one distributor clearly copied its questionnaire from another wholesale distributor raising serious questions about the truthfulness of the responses; one distributor questionnaire indicated their suspicious order monitoring program was still being worked on and that no further report would be forthcoming until the summer of 2020; one distributor questionnaire made reference to an attachment explaining their suspicious order monitoring system that was not in fact attached; and one distributor questionnaire suggested it

was a pharmacy and did not need a suspicious order monitoring system. In most of the aforementioned examples no follow up occurred at a Purdue Pharma.

- 129. The Associate Director of Ethics and Compliance started working for Purdue Pharma and its related entities in 2019 and began a series of visits to some of the wholesale customers.
- 130. Her visits involve an inspection of security systems on the site and interviews with key staff involved in managing the storage of product and identifying suspicious orders.
- 131. The notes of these visits reflect discussion of the storage of product, but do not provide documentation or discussion that might have occurred regarding the customers' suspicious order monitoring efforts.
- 132. None of the visits in 2019 were to facilities of the largest distributors; however, some visits did occur in 2018.
- 133. The suspicious order monitoring system used at Purdue Pharma and its related entities relies on two factors: (1) an algorithm that identifies orders of unusual size, pattern and/or frequency and (2) manual thresholds that are established for each customer. Either or both these factors can identify an order that requires additional review.
- 134. The system relies on the use of an algorithm generated by third party vendor. It is based in part on national sales data. It uses a scoring system that takes into consideration a comparison of the order to a series of thresholds involving historic orders from the customer and from the industry. The cumulative score determines if the order is initially flagged.
- 135. The system seeks to identify orders of unusual size, unusual frequency, or those deviating from normal purchasing patterns which is consistent with DEA guidance.

- 136. If an order is flagged under the SOP, the order is reviewed and contact is made by email or by phone with the customer to determine if there is a reasonable explanation for the order. If there is not a satisfactory answer the order is rejected and the DEA is contacted.
- 137. In 2019 Purdue Pharma and its related entities received 16,220 orders of which 2461 orders were flagged and 10 were reported to DEA.
- 138. In the first two months of 2020 Purdue Pharma and its related entities 2084 orders were received with 340 orders being flagged and 10 orders reported to DEA.
- 139. Under the SOP there is not a limit set on orders reported to the DEA before additional steps are taken to investigate the reasons for multiple reports or before a business relationship is terminated.
- 140. In addition, in reviewing the Wholesaler Due Diligence Reports a question arises whether or not there is adequate staff to review and to follow up on any issues identified from the questionnaires such as those outlined in paragraph 128 above.
- 141. Some of Purdue Pharma's customers have homegrown suspicious order monitoring systems. Little detail is provided for some of those systems and in some cases, customers refused to provide detail about their system. In addition, some of these customers have not had the DEA review the effectiveness of the system.
- 142. Purdue Pharma's records reviewed to date reflect that at least one customer does not access a PMP system to check if their customer is patients are getting multiple prescriptions filled raising further concerns about monitoring efforts. It is unclear from the records reviewed whether this is due to state law restrictions on access to PMP data or if it is due to some other factor.

- However, several steps could possibly strengthen the current system. An expert with experience as former Chief of Staff at DEA, Jodi Avergun, has been hired to review in detail the current system and to determine what, if any, recommendations could be made to strengthen it. The following may be areas to be examined: hiring additional staff or contracting with a third party to enable a more thorough review and follow up of the annual Wholesale Due Diligence Questionnaires, to allow for a more in-depth review of "homegrown" suspicious order monitoring systems, and to conduct more site visits that should be prioritized based on volume of activity and numbers of suspicious orders flagged.
- 144. In addition, her review could include a recommendation to amend the SOPs setting forth under what conditions, if any, future orders should be stopped or curtailed if monitoring identifies a pattern of repeated suspicious orders from a wholesaler, a response to the annual questionnaire raises concerns of an unreasonable risk of diversion of opioid product, and/or a site visit leads to a concern that an unreasonable risk of diversion or theft of opioid product exists.

## **LOBBYING**

- 145. Under the Injunction Part II Section D Purdue Pharma agreed to certain restrictions related to lobbying. At the federal level Purdue Pharma has a one person government affairs department that according to former V.P. of Federal Governmental Affairs, only monitors Congressional activity that may be relevant to Purdue Pharma or the pharmaceutical industry generally.
- 146. Assisting former V.P. of Federal Government Affairs in monitoring efforts was Director of Health Policy at Purdue Pharma, who is assuming the role performed by former V.P.

of Federal Government Affairs with reference to the monitoring of federal government activities following his retirement.

- 147. Former V.P. of Federal Government Affairs represented that Purdue Pharma and its related entities conducts no federal executive branch agency lobbying. However, he did review on a regular basis the Federal Register and other subscription services that may report on the DEA, the Food and Drug Administration and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid.
- 148. In the most recent federal lobbying disclosure former V.P. of Federal Government Affairs on behalf of Purdue Pharma represented in the fourth quarter of 2019 the company spent \$200,000 on lobbying activities on substance abuse and addiction in the health care and alcohol and drug general areas which appears consistent with the Injunction.
- 149. Purdue Pharma and its related entities contracted with a lobbying firm during 2019.
- 150. In its fourth quarter 2019 federal lobbying disclosure form the lobbying firm notified the government that on behalf of Purdue Pharma the consulting group had monitored activities related to drug abuse, misuse and prevention efforts.
- 151. Former V.P. of Federal Government Affairs provided information that law firms have been hired for monitor activities as well.
- 152. One firm does not appear to have lobbied on behalf of Purdue Pharma during the fourth quarter of 2019 based on federal lobbying disclosure forms.
- 153. The other law firm did file a report for activities relating to access to abuse deterrent pain medication in the third quarter of 2019 and its filing for the fourth quarter suggests that its lobbying concluded on that activity reported in the third quarter. The last bill Purdue paid the firm was in December 2018.

- 154. While it is impossible to rule out an indirect benefit that may have accrued to Purdue Pharma the records reviewed to this point do not reflect any lobbying being done by Purdue Pharma that would be contrary to the provisions of the Injunction.
- 155. For example, one retained lobbying firm was engaged on behalf of the Association for Accessible Medicine in connection with labeling of generic drugs which could possibly lead to a promotion of generic opioid products.
- 156. I recommend that any agreement with any of the federal government lobbyists or consultants identified herein hereafter be in writing and contain provisions spelling out in detail the Injunction prohibitions on lobbying and the agreement of the lobbyists or consultants to comply with the Injunction as it relates to lobbying. Purdue Pharma agrees with this Recommendation and has committed to implementing it.
- 157. The Injunction related only to direct lobbying and does not appear by the letter of the Injunction to cover indirect efforts or to restrict Purdue Pharma from being the beneficiary of indirect efforts.
- 158. The Injunction requires that all persons engaged in lobbying on behalf of Purdue Pharma certify they have read the Injunction and understand its requirements. The lobbyists underwent a training on the Injunction.
- 159. I recommend that all federal lobbyists and consultants be required to furnish quarterly written reports identifying any and all issues and matters they lobbied or engaged in on behalf of Purdue Pharma and its related entities together with a certification by all lobbyists that they have abided by the conditions of the Injunction related to lobbying. Purdue Pharma agrees with this Recommendation and has committed to implementing it.

- 160. The Injunction Part II Section D restriction on lobbying also impacts efforts at the state and local government. The state and local efforts are conducted by the State Policy and Government Affairs Group within Purdue Pharma and its related entities under the direction of Purdue Pharma Executive Director, Head of Government Affairs.
- 161. Purdue Pharma contracts with 22 lobbying firms in 22 states to keep abreast of state legislative activities and a limited amount of state regulatory activities. The firms enter into a master contract that is subsequently extended by an amendment at the expiration of the most recent term. Most of the agreements and extensions were executed before the Injunction so there is no reference to the requirements under the Injunction.
- 162. Neither the master agreements nor extensions define in any detail the work to be performed under the contract. In later contracts reference is made to Statements of Work and Purchasing Orders; however, no written Statements of Work or Purchase Orders have been created.
- 163. A review of state disclosure statements from the lobbyist firms retained by Purdue Pharma reflect that lobbying is being done on health care issues generally and opioid issues specifically.
- 164. For example, the state lobbying firm in Delaware lobbied Senate Bill 34 for Purdue Pharma in 2019. Senate Bill 34 called for the assessment of a fee paid by opioid manufacturers, when and if, the manufacturer exceeds a certain level of production based on morphine milligram equivalents. The collected fee was to be used to pay for prevention services or opioid addiction treatment services. It is unclear from the filing if Purdue Pharma registered for, against or neutral on the bill.

- 165. Another example of a more general filing was filed by the state lobbying firm working out of the state of Washington. The consulting group disclosed it was paid by Purdue Pharma during the period of September 2019 to December 2019. A time period covered time in which the Injunction came into full force and effect. The work done for Purdue during this period was described as work on Senate Bill 51 involving non opioid directives and coverage of non opioid therapy. It is again unclear if Purdue supported, opposed or remained neutral on the bill.
- 166. In some cases in the past before the Injunction was issued state lobbyists on behalf of Purdue Pharma registered in opposition to budget bills and substantive bills related to opioid products.
- Pharma in the Massachusetts State Legislature SF 1711 and House File 1718 which sought to establish and fund an Opioid Stewardship Fund and HF 3654 that sought to compensate victims of opioid abuse. While the bills were proposed in 2019 those bills might still be considered in a biannual legislative session during 2020. Also, New Jersey disclosure forms filed by the state lobbying firm represented that it is lobbying on behalf of Purdue Pharma in opposing a tax on opioids to fund a prevention and rehabilitation program.
- 168. The situation in the Massachusetts State Legislature and New Jersey State

  Legislature may also be at play in the New York State Legislature with S1507A,1507B, and

  1507C and S1509A,1509B and 1509C, but it is unclear whether Purdue Pharma registered in

  opposition to these proposals. The lobbyist filing is confusing. Filings made on behalf of Purdue

  Pharma suggest that the lobbyist hired by Purdue Pharma was not monitoring the bills; however,

the same filings suggest that Purdue Pharma took a position on the bills but did not disclose what the position was. These bills were enacted into law in April of 2019.

- 169. I recommend that all existing contracts and extensions for state lobbyists working on behalf of Purdue Pharma be amended spelling out in detail the specific prohibitions and requirements related to lobbying in the Injunction. The agreements should also include the lobbyist agreement to abide by the requirements and conditions of the Injunction related to lobbying. Purdue Pharma agrees to this recommendation.
- 170. I also recommend that the state lobbyists be required to furnish a quarterly written report identifying any and all issues and matters they lobbied or engaged in for Purdue Pharma, to include the position taken, if any, on any proposed law or regulation. Purdue Pharma agrees to this recommendation.
- 171. I also recommend that the lobbyists provide in writing a certification of compliance with the terms and conditions of the Injunction as it relates to lobbying. Purdue Pharma agrees to this recommendation.
- 172. The work of the aforementioned 22 contract lobbying firms is currently supervised by 3 regional directors who handle multiple states and who report to Purdue Pharma Executive Director, Head of Government Affairs.
- 173. The range of issues handled at the state level has included active opposition to efforts at the state level to tax opioids and opioid products. Such taxes, I believe, could have an impact on sales and opposition to such taxes could be perceived as promotion of opioid product use. The Company has advised me that it does not agree with this interpretation of the Voluntary Injunction. It believes that lobbying as to the fact of a tax would not constitute "promotion of opioid product use" as promotion is defined in the

Voluntary Injunction, see Section I.O. Moreover, the Company believes that such lobbying is not captured under Sections II D.1.a-c of the Voluntary Injunction which prohibits, among other things, lobbying in favor of health care professionals prescribing opioids. Nonetheless, the Company has agreed with the Monitor that-unless it provides written notice to the Monitor-it will refrain from lobbying against the passage of an opioid tax. Additionally, the Company sought clarification that my interpretation of the Voluntary Injunction does not prohibit lobbying on the issue of how an opioid tax would be structured or administered. I agree with that interpretation, and do not believe that advocacy regarding the structure and administration of opioid taxes would violate the Voluntary Injunction.

- 174. On other issues directly related to possible promotion Purdue Pharma has not directly opposed legislation adverse to its interests: other similarly situated pharmaceutical companies may have opposed the legislation or rule.
- 175. Purdue Pharma's Director of Health Policy monitors the various federal relations offices for state governors who have representation in the nation's Capitol.
- 176. In addition to the 22 state lobbying firms and the state federal relations offices the State Government Affairs Group also subscribes to a daily reporting service with Stateside.
- 177. Stateside monitors activities at the state level for all 50 states that might impact a pharmaceutical company. In the system at Purdue Pharma certain items have been tabbed, including ADHD prescribing guidelines, drug pricing requirements, price lists, reimportation, Controlled Substances, substance abuse and drug abuse program access, Good Samaritan Laws, Prescription Monitoring Programs (PMP), Take Back Drug Days and drug disposal methods. Issues related to price lists, pricing requirements, reimportation, Controlled Substances, and

PMPs in particular could directly impact opioid and opioid products sales so they need to be closely monitored by the company to assure compliance with the Injunction.

178. All those connected with the state and local lobbying efforts on behalf of Purdue Pharma have purportedly certified they have read the Injunction, understand its requirements and have been trained. I am still in the process of collecting written certifications from all who have lobbied and received the training.

#### INITIAL COVERED SACKLER PERSONS

- 179. Under the Injunction the Initial Covered Sackler Persons were not to be actively engaged in the opioid business in the United States or interfere with compliance with the Injunction.
- 180. A review of the boards, officers and management team of each entity reflects no Initial Covered Sackler Person serving in any such capacity.
- 181. As monitor I have received signed certifications from all of the named Initial covered Sackler Persons, including, David A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Kathe Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, Theresa Sackler and the Executor of the Estate of Beverly Sackler certifying that they have not actively engaged in the opioid business in the United States and have taken no action to interfere with Purdue Pharma's compliance with the Injunction.

#### **MISCELLANEOUS**

182. Purdue Pharma includes a number of subsidiaries. One such subsidiary is Greenfield Bioventures (Greenfield). Greenfield has acted as an investment fund for emerging technologies.

19-23649-rdd Doc 1175 Filed 05/20/20 Entered 05/20/20 15:08:58 Main Document Pg 39 of 39

183. A number of investments have been made by Purdue Pharma and its related entities based on representations from the company that involve a wide variety of technologies. However, as related to these investments, Greenfield entered into a license agreement concerning a rescue medication. Under the Part II, Section A, Paragraph 3 iii of the Injunction Purdue Pharma is not prohibited from activities related to rescue medications.

Wherefore, the undersigned Monitor respectfully submits this Initial 90 Day Report with the recommendations contained in Paragraphs 48, 55, 58, 63, 101, 143, 144, 156, 159, 169, 170, 171 and 173 therein.

Thomas J. Vilsack

Monitor

## **EXHIBIT 1**

# PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND VOLUNTARY INJUNCTION

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Debtors.<sup>1</sup>

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs.

v.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)

(Jointly Administered)

Adv. Pro. No. 19-08289

# NINTH AMENDED ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) GRANTING MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Upon the motion, dated September 18, 2019 ("September 18 Motion"), of Purdue

Pharma L.P. and certain affiliated debtors, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively,

"Debtors"), that are plaintiffs in this adversary proceeding, for an order pursuant to

section 105(a) of title 11 of the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 7065 of the

Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure ("Bankruptcy Rules"), to (i) enjoin the governmental defendants in this adversary proceeding ("Governmental Defendants") from the

commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF LP (0495), SVC Pharma LP (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors' corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

proceedings against the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case ("Governmental Actions") that are included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix III, as well as the commencement or continuation of any other actions against the Debtors alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the Governmental Actions, and (ii) enjoin the Governmental Defendants and the private defendants ("Private Defendants") in this adversary proceeding from the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings, included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix IV, and the commencement or continuation of other actions alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the actions identified in Appendix III and Appendix IV, against current or former (a) owners (including any trusts and their respective trustees and beneficiaries), (b) directors, (c) officers, (d) employees, and (e) other similar associated entities of the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case ("Related Parties," as identified in Appendix IV,<sup>2</sup> and the claims

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Related Parties are: The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings Inc.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; BR Holdings Associates Inc.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, Inc.; Beacon Company; PRA Holdings Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; MNP Consulting Limited; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Marianna Sackler; Estate of Mortimer Sackler; Estate of Raymond Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Raymond Sackler Trust; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1964; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1974; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; Mark Timney; Stuart D. Baker; Frank Peter Boer; John Stewart; Russell Gasdia; Marv Kelly; Shelli Liston; Heather Weaver; Doug Powers; Lori Fuller; Rodney Davis; Brandon Worley; Donald Leathers; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; LeAvis Sullivan; Jeffrey Ward; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Mark Waldrop; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Carol Debord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook; James David Haddox; Aida Maxsam; Tessa Rios; Amy K. Thompson; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie Fowler; Mitchell "Chip" Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris

against them described in this paragraph, the "Related-Party Claims"); and the Court having jurisdiction to decide the Motion and the relief requested therein under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b); and there being due and sufficient notice of the Motion; and the Court having reviewed the Complaint, the September 18 Motion, the Debtors' brief in support of the September 18 Motion, the declarations in support of the September 18 Motion, and other evidence and argument submitted by the Debtors in support thereof; all pleadings filed in support of the September 18 Motion; and all objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the September 18 Motion, as well as all filed letters in response to the September 18 Motion; and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the September 18 Motion's request for entry of a preliminary injunction on October 11, 2019 (the "October 11 **Hearing**") and at the hearing held on November 6, 2019 (the "November 6 Hearing"); and, after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the Hearings, good and sufficient cause appearing having entered Orders on October 11, 2019 granting the Motion in part and on October 18, 2019 and November 6, 2019 amending such Order; and such Orders having contemplated a procedure to amend the Orders further; and having entered Orders on November 20, 2019, December 9, 2019, January 2, 2020, February 17, 2020 and March 4, 2020, amending such Orders further and enjoining actions brought by Additional Plaintiffs; and upon the Court having reviewed the Debtors' motion to extend the Preliminary Injunction for an additional 180 days filed on March 4 ("March 4 Motion," together with the September 18 Motion, the "Motions"), the declaration in support of the March 4 Motion, the Debtors' brief in support of the March 4 Motion, all pleadings filed in support of the March 4 Motion; and all

Hargrave; Brandon Hassenfuss; Joe Read; Andrew T. Stokes; Nathan C. Grace; Jaclyn P. Gatling; Leslie Roberson; Barbara C. Miller; Briann Parson-Barnes; Becca Beck Harville; Lindsey Bonifacio; Tammy Heyward; James Speed; Damon Storhoff; Diana C. Muller; and Draupadi Daley.

objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the March 4 Motion; and upon the record made at the telephonic hearing held on March 18, 2020 ("March 18 Hearing," together with the October 11 Hearing and the November 6 Hearing, the "Hearings"); and upon good and sufficient cause appearing to amend and extend such orders as provided therein, the Court grants the Debtors' request to amend and extend the orders as provided in this Amended Order, which amends and supersedes the prior orders. Now, therefore, the Court finds and concludes as follows:

- (a) The Defendants in this adversary proceeding are the Governmental Defendants and the Private Defendants, that, along with the Additional Plaintiffs, are listed in the "Underlying Plaintiffs" column of each of the charts annexed hereto as Appendix III and Appendix IV, with such Appendices being made a part of and incorporated in this Order. The Defendants in this adversary proceeding and the Additional Plaintiffs are all plaintiffs in judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings that seek to hold the Debtors and/or the Related Parties, as identified in Appendix IV, liable in connection with claims and/or causes of action arising out of or otherwise related to the Debtors' prescription opioid business.
- (b) The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).
- (c) The Debtors have demonstrated that the continuation of the active litigation against them and the Related Parties, identified in Appendix III and Appendix IV, respectively, would result in irreparable harm to the Debtors and their reorganization.
- (d) The representatives of the Raymond Sackler family and of the Mortimer Sackler family (collectively, the "Sackler Families") agreed on the record at the October

11 Hearing to toll all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions against any member of the Sackler Families, and to treat as inoperative all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in any currently pending Related Party Claim against any member of the Sackler Families, for the duration of this preliminary injunction.

- (e) Accordingly, this Court finds it appropriate to enter a preliminary injunction as provided herein pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 7065 of the Bankruptcy Rules.
- (f) The legal and factual bases set forth in the Complaint, the Motions, other supporting papers, and at the Hearings establish just cause for the relief granted herein.
- (g) Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin, the Ad Hoc Group of Non-Consenting States (as listed on the October 11, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 296 of Case No. 19-23649), the ad hoc committee of government and other contingent litigation claimants and each of its members (as listed on the October 10, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 279 of Case No. 19-23649), and the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group and each of its members<sup>3</sup> (as listed on the October 30,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The following members of the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group are not Potential Opt-Out Parties and are instead bound to the terms of this Order until October 5, 2020: (1) Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (2) Jennings H. Jones, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (3) Robert J. Carter, in his official

2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 409 of Case No. 19-23649) (collectively, the "Potential Opt-Out Parties") have each consented and agreed to continue to abide by the terms of the *Eighth Amended Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C.* § 105(a) Granting Motion For A Preliminary Injunction, without the need to have any order entered against them.

Based on these findings, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that the Governmental Defendants, other than those who are Potential Opt-Out Parties, the Private Defendants, and the Additional Plaintiffs that have been bound by the Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Amended Orders are prohibited and enjoined from (i) the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings against the Debtors and/or Related Parties that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under this title against the Debtors and/or the Related Parties arising from or in any way relating to the Debtors' prescription opioid business, including the actions reflected in Appendix III and Appendix IV, as well as (ii) from commencing or continuing any other actions against the Debtors or Related Parties alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in actions reflected in Appendix III and Appendix IV, in each case through and including Monday, October 5, 2020. The preliminary injunction period may be extended by further order of the Court.

ORDERED, that each Potential Opt-Out Party may withdraw its consent on July 8, 2020 (the "Opt-Out Date")—by filing with the Bankruptcy Court a notice (a "Withdrawal Notice") in the form attached hereto as Appendix II. Each Potential Opt-Out Party filing a Withdrawal

capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventeenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (4) Brent A. Cooper, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twenty-Second Judicial District, Tennessee; and (5) Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, Tennessee.

Notice must send a copy of the same to the Debtors' counsel at least two business days before such filing. If any Potential Opt-Out Party files a Withdrawal Notice on the Opt-Out Date, then, no later than three business days after the Opt-Out Date, counsel for the Debtors shall submit to the Court for immediate entry a new proposed order that, upon its entry, will terminate the voluntary compliance of and instead bind each Potential Opt-Out Party that timely filed and served a Withdrawal Notice to the same terms imposed on other parties by the this Order from the Opt-Out Date until October 5, 2020. For the avoidance of doubt, entry of this order shall not impair any rights of Potential Opt-Out Parties to appeal any subsequent order entered in connection with a Withdrawal Notice as contemplated herein.

ORDERED, that the March 4 Motion constitutes a joint motion of the Debtors and UCC to extend the stay beyond the Initial Stay Period as provided in paragraph 2 of the Amended and Restated Case Stipulation Among the Debtors, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors and Certain Related Parties, *In re: Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19:23649 (RDD) (Nov. 20, 2019) [ECF No. 518] ("UCC Stipulation"). All obligations under the UCC Stipulation, inclusive of obligations of any Covered Party as defined therein, that remain in effect during the Stay Period, as defined therein, shall remain in full force and effect so long as the Preliminary Injunction, as amended and extended, remains in effect. For the avoidance of doubt, the Initial Stay Period as defined in the UCC Stipulation shall expire on April 8, 2020.

ORDERED, that the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases continue to be subject to the Voluntary Injunction annexed hereto as Appendix I through and including October 5, 2020.

ORDERED, that the Debtors need not give security in connection with this injunctive relief.

ORDERED, that this Order shall be promptly filed in the Clerk's Office and entered into the record.

ORDERED, that the Debtors are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall prevent the Debtors from seeking a further extension of the requested injunction.

ORDERED, that if, while the preliminary injunction provided for in this Order is effective, either (i) any inactive litigation currently pending against the Debtors or Related Parties becomes active, or (ii) any new action is commenced against the Debtors or Related Parties (in either case, an "Additional Action"), the Debtors may promptly serve the plaintiff or plaintiffs in such Additional Action ("Applicable Plaintiff") with a copy of the Complaint, the Motions, the Debtors' memoranda of law in support of the Motions, and this Order (the "Service Documents"). The Debtors shall file a notice of such service on the docket promptly after service. If the Applicable Plaintiff in such Additional Action does not file and serve an objection within seven (7) days of service of the Service Documents, the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further proceedings. If the Applicable Plaintiff files and serves an objection, the Debtors shall have the right to file and serve a response to the objection within seven (7) days of service of the objection, after which the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further proceedings, or either party may seek to schedule and provide notice of a hearing.

ORDERED, that all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions, and all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in

any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or action brought by an Additional Plaintiff (including as agreed on the record at the October 11 Hearing by the representatives of the Sackler Families), shall be tolled or otherwise inoperative for the duration of this preliminary injunction. This is without prejudice to any party's rights to assert that any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or claim brought by an Additional Plaintiff is time barred, or that commencement of any Additional Action, or any other action taken by a party with respect to any Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or Additional Plaintiff after the entry of this Order would have been time barred or untimely had it been commenced or taken before the entry of this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall affect or abrogate the automatic stay as to the Debtors under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code.

ORDERED, that the time for all defendants to answer the Complaint is extended to October 19, 2020, subject to further extension by agreement of the parties and/or order of the Court. All claims and defenses of the parties, including those under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure made applicable to this proceeding by Rule 7012 of the Bankruptcy Rules, are expressly preserved.

ORDERED, that the Pre-Trial Conference in this adversary proceeding, currently scheduled for April 8, 2020 at 10:00 am (Prevailing Eastern Time), is adjourned to October 19, 2020 at 10:00 am (Prevailing Eastern Time) before the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas St., White Plains, New York, NY 10601.

# 19.90.823694-964dd DDood.715.75F1ledF046/140/2020/250telEenteval/01.40/2020/2071:5108:548ain Exobabilim1ent Preliminary Injunction and g/100.0016277/4 njunction Pg 11 of 275

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: April 14, 2020

White Plains, New York

/s/Robert D. Drain

THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

### Appendix I

**Voluntary Injunction** 

#### I. **DEFINITIONS**

- A. "Bankruptcy Court" or "Court" shall mean the court presiding over the chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- B. "Cancer-Related Pain Care" shall mean care that provides relief from pain caused by active cancer or ongoing cancer treatment, as distinguished from treatment provided during remission.
- C. "CDC Guideline Recommendations" shall mean the 12 enumerated Recommendations published by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the prescribing of opioid pain medication for patients 18 and older in primary care settings as part of its 2016 Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain (CDC Guidelines), as updated or amended by the CDC.
- D. "Company" shall mean the Debtors as defined in these chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- E. "Direct Customer Data" shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company's direct customers' orders, including direct customer's wholesale orders, order history, and customer files.
- F. "Downstream Customer Data" shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company's direct customers' sales to downstream customers, including chargeback data tied to the Company providing certain discounts, "867 data," and IQVIA data.
- G. "End-of-Life Care" shall mean care for persons with a terminal illness or at high risk for dying in the near future in hospice care, hospitals, long-term care settings, or at home.
- H. "Health Care Provider" shall mean any U.S.-based physician, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, dentist, pharmacist, podiatrist, nurse, or other person engaged in the business of providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product and any medical facility, practice, hospital, clinic, or pharmacy engaged in providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product in the United States.
- I. "Including but not limited to," when followed by a list or examples, shall mean that list or examples are illustrative instances only and shall not be read to be restrictive.
- J. "In-Kind Support" shall mean payment or assistance in the form of goods, commodities, services, or anything else of value.
- K. "Initial Covered Sackler Persons" shall mean the Estate of Beverly Sackler, David A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Kathe Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, Theresa Sackler, any trusts of which any of the foregoing are beneficiaries, and the trustees thereof (solely in their capacities as such), each Shareholder Party and each other entity or person that directly or indirectly owns equity in, or has voting control over, any of the Debtors, and in the event of the death of an

Initial Covered Sackler Person who is a natural person, other than a natural person who is an Initial Covered Sackler Person solely in the capacity as a trustee, the estate of such person.

- L. "Lobby" and "Lobbying" shall have the same meaning as such terms have under U.S. federal law and the law governing the person or entity being lobbied.
- M. "Opioid(s)" shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain. The term "Opioids" shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances when used exclusively to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioids listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- N. "Opioid Product(s)" shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain, and that are approved by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) and listed by the DEA as Schedule II or III drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act (including but not limited to codeine, fentanyl, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, methadone, morphine, oxycodone, oxymorphone, tapentadol, tramadol, and buprenorphine for the treatment of pain). The term "Opioid Products(s)" shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioid Products listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- O. "Promote," "Promoting," and "Promotion" shall mean the dissemination of information by the Company to a Third Party that is either likely or intended to influence prescribing practices of Health Care Providers in favor of prescribing greater amounts, quantities, doses, and/or strengths of Opioid Products.
- P. "Section" shall mean, unless the context requires otherwise, a Section of this injunction.
- Q. "Suspicious Order" shall have the same meaning as provided by the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904, and the regulations promulgated thereunder and analogous state laws and regulations
- R. "Third Party" shall mean any person or entity other than the Company or a government entity.
- S. "Treatment of Pain" shall mean the provision of therapeutic modalities to alleviate or reduce pain.

T. "Unbranded Information" shall mean any information regarding an Opioid or Opioid Product that does not identify a specific product(s).

#### II. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

#### A. Ban on Promotion

- 1. The Company shall not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including by:
  - a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products to Health Care Providers or patients;
  - b. Using speakers, key opinion leaders, thought leaders, lecturers, and/or speaking events for Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
  - c. Sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to medical education programs;
  - d. Creating, sponsoring, operating, controlling, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to any website, network and/or social or other media account for the Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
  - e. Creating, sponsoring, distributing, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for materials Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to brochures, newsletters, pamphlets, journals, books, and guides;
  - f. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements:
  - g. Engaging in Internet search engine optimization or other techniques designed to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by improving rankings or making content appear among the top results in an Internet search or otherwise be more visible or more accessible to the public on the Internet; and
  - h. Engaging in Internet marketing techniques that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by identifying or generating sales leads, including through pop up ads or information obtained from web forms completed by prospective patients or consumers.
- 2. Notwithstanding Sections II.A.1 and II.C, the Company may:
  - a. Maintain corporate websites;

- b. Maintain a website for any Opioid Product that contains principally the following content: the FDA-approved package insert, dosage strengths, dosage forms, packaging configurations, and medication guides,; a statement directing patients or caregivers to speak with a licensed Health Care Provider; Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) materials; contact information to report an adverse event or product complaint; and/or information regarding savings programs, savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products.
- c. Provide information or support the provision of information, as expressly required by (i) law, (ii) settlement agreement, (iii) court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, or (iv) any state or federal government agency, including providing all information necessary in order for the Company to comply with its regulatory obligations pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and/or (v) provide information about legal proceedings involving the Company;
- d. Engage Health Care Providers or other Third Parties to assist the Company in responding to, preparing for, and participating in, any initiatives, advisory committees, working groups, action plans, boards, meetings and/or hearings by any state or federal government or state or federal agencies or regulators, including the Food and Drug Administration.
- e. Provide the following by mail, electronic mail, on or though the Company's corporate or product websites or through other electronic or digital methods: FDA-approved package insert, medication guide, approved labeling for Opioid Products, Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy materials, or other prescribing information or guidelines for Opioid Products that are published by a state or federal government agency with jurisdiction;
- f. Provide scientific and/or medical information in response to an unsolicited request by a Health Care Provider concerning Opioid Products by providing truthful, balanced, non-misleading, non-promotional scientific or medical information that is responsive to the specific request. Such responses should be handled by medical or scientific personnel at the Company who are independent from the sales or marketing departments;
- g. Provide a response to any unsolicited question or request from a patient or caregiver by (i) directing the patient or caregiver to the FDA-approved labeling and reviewing the prescribing information with the patient as relevant to their inquiry, and, to the extent the question cannot be answered solely by reference to a specific provision of the FDA-approved labeling, providing a response that is truthful, balanced, non-misleading and fully consistent with the FDA-approved labeling, if applicable;

- (ii) recommending that the patient or caregiver speak with a licensed Health Care Provider without naming any specific provider or healthcare institution; (iii) directing the patient or caregiver to speak with their insurance carrier regarding coverage of an Opioid Product; and/or (iv) directing the patient or caregiver to information concerning savings programs, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products;
- h. Provide information to a payor, formulary committee, distributor, or other similar entity with knowledge and expertise in the area of health care economics concerning the cost or availability of a Company Opioid Product, including the costs compared to the cost of an Opioid Product manufactured or distributed by another company. Such information may include information about the stocking of the Opioid Product; product attributes of the Opioid Product as described in the FDA-approved labeling; tier status; applicable prescribing guidelines that are consistent with the FDA-approved labeling; step-edits for Opioid Products; restrictions; and/or prior authorization status concerning an Opioid Product;
- i. Sponsor or provide financial support or In-Kind Support for an accredited or approved continuing medical education program required by either an FDA-approved Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy program, other federal or state law or regulation, or settlement, through an independent Third Party, which shall be responsible for determining the program's content without the participation of Company;
- j. Provide Unbranded Information in connection with managing pain in Endof-Life Care and/or Cancer-Related Pain Care relating to: the use of Opioids for the Treatment of Pain, as long as the Unbranded Information identifies Company as the source of the information; and
- k. Provide information about, discuss, or comment on, issues regarding mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including (i) abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
- 3. The Company shall not engage in the following specific Promotional activity relating to any products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prohibits the Company's provision or dissemination of information or activities relating to: (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose:

- a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects to Health Care Providers or patients;
- b. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements; and
- c. Engaging in any other Promotion of products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects in a manner that encourages the utilization of Opioids or Opioid Products or normalizes the use of Opioids or Opioid Products for chronic pain.
- 4. Notwithstanding Section II.A.3 directly above, the Company may engage in other marketing activities for products that are indicated or used for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, so long as such activities do not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Sections II.A.3 or 4 shall limit or otherwise restrict the ability of the Company to Promote products for occasional constipation or restrict the Company from Promoting (i) products relating to the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) products relating to the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

#### 5. Treatment of Pain

- a. The Company shall not engage in Promotion of the Treatment of Pain in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
- b. The Company shall not Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
- c. The Company shall not knowingly use Third Parties to engage in the Promotion of the Treatment of Pain or Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in manners that encourage the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
- 6. To the extent that the Company engages in conduct permitted by Section II.A.2 above, the Company shall do so in a manner that is:
  - a. Consistent with the CDC Guidelines Recommendations, as applicable; and
  - b. Truthful, not misleading, accurate, and not deceptive.

7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company in any way whatsoever from taking legal or factual positions in litigation, the bankruptcy proceedings, investigations, regulatory actions and initiatives, or other legal or administrative proceedings, or exercising its right to legally challenge the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation, rule, or regulation, or in any way whatsoever prohibit or limit the Company's right to make public statements or respond to media reports or inquires relating to any legal, administrative, regulatory, or legislative proceedings.

#### B. No Financial Reward or Discipline Based on Volume of Opioid Sales

- 1. The Company shall not provide financial incentives to its sales and marketing employees, or take disciplinary actions against its sales and marketing employees, that are directly based on, or tied to, sales volume or sales quotas for Opioid Products, unless otherwise permitted by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 2. The Company shall not offer or pay any remuneration directly or through a Third Party, to or from any person in return for the prescribing, sale, use or distribution of Opioid Product. For the avoidance of doubt, this shall not prohibit the provision of rebates and/or chargebacks.

#### C. Ban on Funding/Grants to Third Parties to Promote Opioids

- 1. The Company shall not provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any Third Party for purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from directly or indirectly supporting Third Parties as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
- 2. The Company shall not operate, control, create, sponsor, or provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any medical society or patient advocacy group for the purpose of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from supporting any medical society or patient advocacy group as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
- 3. For the purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, the Company shall not provide links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distribute materials created by a Third Party relating to any Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from providing links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distributing materials created by a Third Parties that the Company supports as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.

- 4. The Company shall not knowingly use a Third Party, including Health Care Providers, to engage in any activity that the Company itself would be prohibited from engaging in pursuant to the injunction.
- 5. No director, officer, or management-level employee of the Company may concurrently serve as a director, board member, employee, agent, or officer of any entity that engages in Promotion relating to Opioids, Opioid Products, the Opioid-related Treatment of Pain, or products indicated to treat Opioid-related side effects.
- 6. The Company shall not advocate for the appointment of persons to the board, or hiring persons to the staff, of any entity that principally engages in the Promotion of Opioids and Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this paragraph shall prohibit the Company from fully and accurately responding to unsolicited requests or inquiries about a person's fitness to serve as an employee or Board member at any such entity.
- 7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Section II.C or this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company from providing financial or In-Kind Support to, or disseminating information about, Third Parties, including medical societies and patient advocate groups, who are principally involved in issues relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

#### **D.** Lobbying Restrictions

- 1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby for the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that:
  - a. Encourages or requires Health Care Providers to prescribe Opioids or sanctions Health Care Providers for failing to prescribe Opioids or failing to treat pain with Opioids;
  - b. Would have the effect of limiting access to any non-Opioid alternative pain treatments; or
  - c. Pertains to the classification of any Opioid or Opioid Product as a scheduled drug under the Controlled Substances Act.
- 2. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that supports:

- a. The use of non-pharmacologic therapy and/or non-Opioid pharmacologic therapy to treat chronic pain over or instead of Opioid therapy, including but not limited to Third Party payment or reimbursement for such therapies;
- b. The use and/or prescription of immediate release Opioids instead of extended release Opioids when Opioid therapy is initiated, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescriptions.
- c. The prescribing of the lowest effective dose of an Opioid, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescription;
- d. The limitation of initial prescriptions of Opioids to treat acute pain;
- e. The prescribing and other means of distribution of naloxone to minimize the risk of overdose, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for naloxone.
- f. The use of urine testing before starting Opioid therapy and annual urine testing when Opioids are prescribed, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such testing;
- g. Evidence-based treatment (such as using medication-assisted treatment with buprenorphine or methadone in combination with behavioral therapies) for Opioid Use Disorder, including but not limited to third party reimbursement or payment for such treatment; or
- h. The implementation or use of Opioid drug disposal systems that have proven efficacy for the Company's Opioid Products.
- 3. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation limiting the operation or use of PDMPs, including, but not limited to, provisions requiring Health Care Providers to review PDMPs when Opioid therapy is initiated and with every prescription thereafter.
- 4. Nothing in Section II.D or this Injunction, however, limits the Company from:
  - a. Challenging the enforcement of, or suing to stop the enactment of, or for declaratory or injunctive relief with respect to any legislation, rules, or regulations, including legislation, rules, or regulations relating to any issues referred to in Section II.D.1;
  - b. Communications made by the Company in response to a statute, rule, regulation, or order requiring such communication;

- c. Communications by a representative of the Company appearing before a federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee as result of a mandatory order, subpoena commanding that person to testify or an unsolicited request from an elected or appointed official, federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee.
- d. Responding to an unsolicited request for the input on the passage of legislation or the promulgation of any rule or regulation.
- 1. Communications by the Company, including to elected or appointed officials, federal or state legislative or administrative bodies, committees, or subcommittees regarding (i) mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications, (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
- 5. The Company shall require all of its officers, employees and representatives engaged in Lobbying to certify in writing to them that they are aware of and will fully comply with the provisions of this injunction with respect to Lobbying.

#### E. Ban on High Dose Opioids

1. The Company shall abide by any decision by the FDA on the pending Citizens Petition dated September 1, 2017 (docket number FDA-2017-P-5396) requesting a ban on specific high doses of prescription oral and transmucosal Opioids that, when taken as directed, exceed 90 morphine milligram equivalents per day.

#### F. Ban on Prescription Savings Programs

- 1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Promote savings card, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs to Health Care Providers for any Opioid Product. Nothing in this provision shall prohibit the Company from providing savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs, including electronic point-of-dispense programs: (i) in response to requests from Health Care Providers, patients, or other caregivers or (ii) on its website or product-specific websites.
- 2. The Company shall not directly or through a Third Party provide financial support to any Third Party to avoid the prohibited conduct in Section II.F.1 above.

#### G. Self-Monitoring and Reporting of Direct and Downstream Customers.

1. The Company shall operate an effective monitoring and reporting system that shall include processes and procedures that:

- a. Reasonably analyze all collected Direct Customer Data to identify a Suspicious Order of a Company Opioid Product by a direct customer;
- b. Reasonably utilize available Downstream Customer Data to identify whether a downstream customer poses a material risk of diversion of a Company Opioid Product;
- c. Analyze all information that the Company receives that indicates an unreasonable risk of diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product or an unreasonable potential for diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product, by a direct customer or a downstream customer, including reports by employees and customers of the Company, Health Care Providers, law enforcement, state, tribal, or federal agencies, or the media; and
- d. Unless otherwise required by law, upon a relevant state's request, report to the relevant state agency any direct customer or downstream customer in each state that the Company has identified as part of the monitoring required by (a)-(c), above, and any Company customer relationship in each state that was terminated by the Company because of an unreasonable risk of diversion or unreasonable risk for potential for diversion.
- 2. Upon request, the Company shall promptly provide reasonable assistance to law enforcement investigations of potential diversion and/or suspicious circumstances involving the Company's Opioid Products subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.
- 3. If one or more of the nation's three largest pharmaceutical distributors establishes a system to aggregate data concerning transactions of Opioid Products and/or concerning reports of Suspicious Orders of Opioid Products, and the system is designed to use information provided by manufacturers of Opioid Products, the Company shall provide information to such system to the extent reasonably available and feasible, subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.
- 4. The Company agrees that it will refrain from acting as a distributor of Opioid Products by providing an Opioid Product directly to a retail pharmacy or Health Care Provider or otherwise engaging in activity that requires it to be registered as a distributor under the Controlled Substances Act unless otherwise required by local, state, or federal law. Nothing in this provision, however, prevents the Company from acting as a distributor of medications relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

#### H. Appointment and Responsibilities of Monitor.

- 1. The Company shall retain a Monitor. On February 21, 2020, the Debtors retained Thomas J. Vilsack to serve as Monitor.
- 2. The Monitor shall perform its duties according to the terms of this injunction and shall be vested with all rights and powers reasonably necessary to carry out such powers, duties, authority, and responsibilities enumerated herein.
- 3. The Monitor shall work with all diligence to confirm and oversee compliance with this injunction, and shall provide reports to the Company's Board of Directors and the Bankruptcy Court as outlined below.

#### 4. The Monitor shall:

- a. subject to any legally recognized privilege and as necessary or to perform their duties hereunder, have full and complete access to the Company's personnel, books, records, and facilities, and to any other relevant information, as the Monitor may request. The Company shall develop such information as the Monitor may request and shall fully, completely and promptly cooperate with the Monitor. The Monitor may raise with the Court any issues relating to any failure of or delay in such cooperation for an expedited resolution by the Court;
- b. serve, without bond or other security, at the cost and expense of the Company, with the Monitor's fees subject to final approval by the Court. The Monitor shall have the authority to employ, upon Court approval, at the cost and expense of the Debtors' estates, such consultants, accountants, attorneys, and other representatives and assistants as are necessary to carry out the Monitor's and responsibilities. The Monitor shall serve throughout the term of this injunction and submission of a final report;
- c. have no obligation, responsibility or liability for the operations of the Company;
- d. file a report no less than every 90 days regarding compliance by the Company with the terms of this injunction; provided that elements of any such report may be filed under seal or subject to such other confidentiality restrictions contained in the Protective Order. The Court may, in response to such reports, provide further direction to the Monitor as it deems appropriate;
- e. sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court in this matter, and any confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties, and each of the Monitor's consultants, accountants, attorneys and other representatives and assistants shall also sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court, and any

- confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties; *provided*, *however*, that nothing shall restrict the Monitor from providing any information to the Court and the parties consistent with the terms of the Protective Order; and
- f. promptly seek an order requiring compliance or such other remedies as may be appropriate under the circumstances should the Company not comply with this injunction.

#### 5. **Disputes Regarding Compliance**

- a. If an Attorney General should have a reasonable basis to believe the Company is not in compliance with the terms of this injunction, the Attorney General shall notify the Company, via the Company's General Counsel, in writing of the specific objection, including identifying the provisions of this injunction that the practice appears to violate, and give the Company thirty (30) days to respond to the notification and cure the conduct at issue, if necessary.
- b. The Attorney General shall provide notification to the Monitor at the same time as notification is provided to the Company. To the extent that the Company fails to cure the alleged conduct within the thirty (30) day period, the Monitor shall have ten (10) days to determine the appropriate action and response. After that ten (10) day period and unless otherwise ordered by the Monitor or Bankruptcy Court, any Attorney General may petition the Bankruptcy Court to enforce the terms of this injunction and/or to obtain any remedy as a result of alleged non-compliance with the Company.

#### I. Initial Covered Sackler Persons

c. The Initial Covered Sackler Persons shall not actively engage in the opioid business in the United States (other than by virtue of their ownership of beneficial interests in the Company), and shall not take any action that would interfere with the Company's compliance with its obligations under this injunction.

## **Appendix II**

Form of Withdrawal Notice

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
In re:	Chapter 11		
PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,	Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)		
Debtors. <sup>4</sup>	(Jointly Administered)		

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

#### NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL FROM THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

By this notice of withdrawal (this "Withdrawal Notice"), [NAME OF PARTY] hereby provides notice of its withdrawal from voluntary compliance with the terms of the *Eighth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [Docket No. •] (the "Preliminary Injunction Order"), effective upon entry of the amended order (the "Amended Order") involuntarily binding [NAME OF PARTY] to the terms of such Amended Order until and including October 5, 2020.

[NAME OF PARTY]

By:			

<sup>4</sup> The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF LP (0495), SVC Pharma LP (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors' corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

## **Appendix III**

**Chart of Governmental Actions** 

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
tate	Actions		, , ,		
. •	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty. 03-CV-2019-901174
2.	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud. Dist. 3AN_17-09966
3.	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. Pima Cnty. C20 2471
	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	U.S. Supreme Court No. 20151
5.	AG	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pulaski Cnty.
5.	AG	California	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Los Angeles Cnty. Super. Ct. 1987 PC V19045
7.	AG	Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel. Phil Weiser, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D
8.	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hart ard State Super. Ct.
9.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sime Ct. District of Columbia
10.	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sport Ct. of Delaware Charles N18C-01-223 MMJ (Carles)
1.	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Casta Pasco Cnty.
12.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	State of Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Supply Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A 00060-4
13.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Territory of Guam v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Sport Ct. Guam, Hagatna CNJ 20-19
(rror!	Unknown document pr	vonerfy name			でもひ8:50をain用Xendabolito
PLLOL!	CHKHOWH GOCUMENT PR	орену паше.			1 EXercitorio

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
14.	AG	Hawaii	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E.	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E.	1st Cir. Ct. of Hawaii
			Connors, Attorney General	Connors, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	09-1-0862-06 ЈНА
15.	AG	Idaho	State of Idaho, Through Attorney	State of Idaho, Through	Ada County District Court
			General Lawrence G. Wasden	Attorney General Lawrence G.	CV01-19-10061
				Wasden vs. Purdue Pharma	19.
1.6	A.C.	T11' '	TI D 1 Cd Cd CHI'	L.P., et al.	Cir Cook Cnty.
16.	AG	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of	2019 CH-04406
				Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019 <b>3</b> CH-04406
17.	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purdue	Cir. Superior Ct. Marion Cnty.
1 / .	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	Pharma L.P., et al.	49D <b>=</b> -1811-PL-045447
18.	AG	Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller,	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller,	Prik Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			Attorney General of Iowa	Attorney General of Iowa v.	E 084514
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
19.	AG	Kansas	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek	Shavinee Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			Schmidt, Attorney General	Schmidt, Attorney General v.	2€194€v-000369
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	<u> </u>
20.	AG	Louisiana	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept.	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana	1 Hadicial District Court, Parish
			of Health	Dept. of Health v. Purdue	offest Baton Rouge
21	A.C.	3.6 :	Cr. t. CM:	Pharma L.P, et al. State of Maine v. Purdue	65 B
21.	AG	Maine	State of Maine	Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma	State of Maine Kennebec County
				Inc., Richard Sackler, Jonathan	Simple or Court
				Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler	
				and Kathe Sackler	GOV20112 COV20120/E
22.	AG	Maryland	Consumer Protection Division	Consumer Protection Division	Commer Protection Division of the
			Office of the Attorney General	Office of the Attorney General	of the Attorney General
			(Md.)	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	(Md 2) Office of Administrative
					Pēa i grandēja grandē
					CPD ase No.: 311366
	1.6	26 1	0 11 016 1	0 11 0	CALCase No. 1923474
23.	AG	Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Commonwealth of	Smp Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Massachusetts v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1884 <b>2</b> v-01808 (BLS2)
24.	AG	Minnesota	State of Minnesota by its Attorney	State of Minnesota by its	
۷4.	AU	willinesota	General, Keith Ellison	Attorney General, Keith Ellison	Const-File No. 27-CV-18-10788
			Conclus, Telui Ellison	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	9 B
1	1	L	<b>'</b>		28
					415. Fed. Dist. Ct., Hennepin Cnty. Contest file No. 27-CV-18-10788  Of D  22:55  Aniii  H  Oniii
			2		Ħ
					Ħ
					<del>()</del>

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
25.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hinds Cnty. 25CH1:15-ev-001814
26.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City 1722-CC10626
27.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Lew <b>2</b> & Clark Cty. AD <b>2</b> 017-949
28.	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKesson Corp., et al.	Dist of t. Clark County A-195 96755-B
29.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Merinack Super. Ct. 217-2017-CV-00402
30.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Spec. Ct. NJ Chancery Div., Essex Cs. D Estate: 245-17
31.	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Santiffe Dist. Date: CV-201702541 un co
32.	AG	New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sign. Agricultus Sign. S
33.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Store Ct. Wake Cnty. 120 0051
34.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	りを表する Burleigh Cnty. 0を2を 8-CV-01300 計: あります。
35.	AG	Ohio	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. <b>B</b> oss Cnty. 1, <b>7 R</b> 00261
					1.5108:548:
			3		L508:58ain EXebabolity 1 of 275

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
36.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 18CV40526
37.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV22185
38.	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Control on Wealth Ct. of PA 257-6d-19
39.	AG	Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Spect. Ct. San Juan SBCV01659
40.	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter Neronha, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super.
41.	AG	South Carolina	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C큐.Richland Cnty. 2년 전:P-4004872
42.	AG	South Dakota	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CH. S. Hughes Cnty. 35 18-000065
43.	AG	Tennessee	State of Tennessee, ex rel. Herbert H. Slatery III, Attorney General and Reporter	State of Tennessee, ex rel. Herbert H. Slatery III, Attorney General and Reporter v. Purdue Pharma L.P., a foreign limited partnership	Chr. St. Hughes Cnty. 365 1818-000065  Chr. Chr. Knox Cnty. 1777 18  1777 18  Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2618 277003
44.	AG	Texas	State of Texas	State of Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	High Cnty. Dist. Ct. 20 80 7003
45.	AG	Utah	Utah Division of Consumer Protection	In the Matter of Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Div. Consumer Protection DOP Case No. 107102
46.	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Q Q Q Q Q ; ; , 1 Q; D;
			4		757-2518-CRCV Of 2753
					Exorcibility

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
47.	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
48.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2 <sub>F</sub> 25505-0 SEA
49.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. 19-C 2
50.	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. 5t. Dane Cnty. 2019 X000009
51.	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 1 199-56 1 199-67 1 199-74 199-74
Triba	l (MDL)		'	,	d 75 nary
52.	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of Indians	Puyallup Tribe of Indians v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	NED ophio 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
53.	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	No. 178 (20) hio 178 (20) -45749 Massey Case No. 17-md-2804
54.	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	No Shio 118 pp-45459 Mas 2 Case No. 17-md-2804
Triba	l (State Court)				<u>₩</u>
55.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D号C量 Caddo Cnty. C走2回9-69
Loca	l Government (Sta	ute Court)			<b>₩</b> Œ
56.	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Mole e Cnty. Super. Ct. Case 50. S8015cv201900591
57.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Mariopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-010792
					LSD8:508ain EXendabolin
			5		in <b>Dag</b> a

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
58.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescott	City of Prescott v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. P1300cv201900393
59.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-003439
60.	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S0100cv201900101
61.	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Allergan PLC, et al.	La Par Cnty. Super. Ct. Caso No. S1500ev201900053
62.	Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	Pinal County v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Sun (Ot Pinal Cnty
63.	Prosecuting Attorney	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington; City of Little Rock; City of Fort Smith; City of Springdale; City of Jonesboro; City of North Little Rock; City of Conway; City of Rogers; City of Pine Bluff; City of Bentonville; City of Hot Springs; City of Benton; City of Texarkana; City of Sherwood; City of Jacksonville; City of Monticello; County of Arkansas; County of Arkansas; County of Benton; County of Benton; County of Benton; County of Boone; County of Bradley; County of Calhoun; County of Carroll; County of Chicot; County of Clark;	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S1188 CV201901448 C:

		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		County of Clay;		
		County of Cleburne;		
		County of Cleveland;		
		County of Columbia;		
		County of Conway;		
		County of Craighead;		<b>P</b>
		County of Crawford;		) <del>,</del> 6
		County of Cross;		<b>9</b>
		County of Dallas;		
		County of Desha;		<b>\$</b>
		County of Faulkner;		90
		County of Franklin;		ੵ€
		County of Fulton;		) LE
		County of Garland;		≝; 6
		County of Grant;		⊒.60
		County of Greene;		17 17
		County of Hempstead;		<b>~</b> [2]
		County of Hot Spring;		
		County of Howard;		프실
		County of Independence;		nct ed
		County of Izard;		joi 型
		County of Jackson;		n <b>e</b>
		County of Johnson;		<u>#</u> <b>5</b>
		County of Lafayette;		ල් කි.   මේ කි.
		County of Lawrence;		& <b>?</b> 0
		County of Lee;		<del>1</del>
		County of Lincoln;		of St
		County of Little River;		XX
		County of Logan;		4 <u>7</u>
		County of Lonoke;		<u> </u>
		County of Madison;		nc <del>(S</del>
		County of Miller;		l ∷tic <b>∑</b>
		County of Mississippi;		19. എമ്മായ് നെ പ്രാപ്പാര് പ്രാപ്പാര്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രാപ്പാര്യം പ്രാപ്പാര്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രാപ്പാര്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാത്യം പ്രവാദ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ്യാദ്യം പ്രവ
		County of Monroe;		_ <del>2</del>   _0
		County of Montgomery; County of Ouachita;		P <sub>C</sub>
				<b>0</b> 7
		County of Perry; County of Phillips;		5 <b>1:5</b>
		County of 1 mmps,	1	<u> </u>
				<b>V/207:5</b> 108: <b>5√8</b> ain <b>E&gt;√odoinit</b> Pg 35 of 275
		-		5 <b>8</b>
		7		品
				<del>5</del>
				<del>-</del>

	Т	C4-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Comp N	Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
			County of Pike; County of Poinsett; County of Polk; County of Pope; County of Prairie; County of Randolph; County of St. Francis; County of Saline; County of Scott; County of Searcy; County of Sebastian; County of Sevier; County of Stone; County of Stone; County of Union; County of Washington; County of Washington; County of Woodruff; County of Yell		19. <b>കമായുളെ</b> ddd D <b>Dcd 75.75Fil</b> ed Preliminary Injund
64.	Municipality	California	City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez	City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	EFVEnte County Super. Ct. 198 EV10532 an Bly 20
			8	et al.	Super. Ct. Super. Ct. Super. Ct. County Super. Ct. Ct. Ct. Ct. Ct. Ct. Ct. Ct. Ct. Ct

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
65.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian	City of Santa Ana, et al. v. Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al.	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty. 30-2019-01101802  19-9-82899-9-edd DDcd 75-75-1 Preliminary Inju
66.	Municipality	California	Bettenhausen  County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	K元和Cnty. Super. Ct. B号V西9-100861 n
67.	Municipality	California	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker v. Purdue Pharam L.P., et al.	Carrie Co. Super Ct. 3 22 14-00725287-CU-BT-CXC (Special Control of Control o
			9		<b>20</b> 72: <b>5</b> 0 275 19 37 of 275

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
68.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Ansonia;	The City of Ansonia, The City	Hartford State Super. Ct.
			The City of Danbury;	of Danbury, The City of Derby,	HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
			The City of Derby;	and The City of Norwalk v.	
			The City of Norwalk	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
69.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v. Purdue	Hartford State Super. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD_CV-18-6087132-S
70.	Municipality	Connecticut	The Borough of Naugatuck;	The City of Bridgeport, et al. v.	Hart or State Super. Ct.
			The City of Bridgeport;	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHI <b>S</b> CV-18-6088462-S
			The City of Bristol;		1 53
			The City of Milford;		
			The City of Shelton;		<b>9</b> 6
			The City of Torrington;		_ <u>_</u>
			The City of West Haven;		ore
			The Town of Beacon Falls;		
			The Town of East Hartford;		⊒. ලී
			The Town of Fairfield;		ora na
			The Town of Newtown;		28949ekuld DDccd 75-75-11edRJA
			The Town of North Haven;		l n 75
			The Town of Oxford;		'⊑' <b>∐</b>
			The Town of Southbury;		nc ec
			The Town of Southington;		ട്ടിed <b>ലൂർ മു</b> Injunction an <b>ങ</b> ്
			The Town of Thomaston;		) Ď
			The Town of Tolland'		14.
			The Town of Woodbury		
71.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New Haven	The City of New Haven v.	Hastlerd State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHIECV-17-6086134-S
72.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New London	The City of New London v.	Hat grd State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	H CV-18-6094421-S
73.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Waterbury	The City of Waterbury v.	Heterd State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	H⊞I <b>ਨ</b> CV-17-6088121-S

HENDELLA State Super. Ct.
HENDELLA CV-17-6088121-S

Inction Pg 38 of 275

Pg 38 of 275

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
74.	Municipality	Connecticut	The Town of Berlin; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of Coventry; The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Stratford; The Town of Wolcott	The Town of Berlin; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of Coventry v. Purdue Pharma L.P., The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Stratford; and The Town of Wolcott; et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
75.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hart ard State Super. Ct. HHIECV-18-6094422-S
76.	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake; Michael Nerheim, Lake County State's Attorney; Mark C. Curran, Jr., Lake County Sheriff; Dr. Howard Cooper, Lake County Coroner; The County of Lake in the Name of the People of the State of Illinois	County of Lake, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Cook Cnty. 2th Cook Cnty.
77.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C <del>17</del> . Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008147
78.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	CH. 61. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008147
79.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Burbank	The City of Burbank v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C <b>E 2</b> . Cook Cnty. 2 <b>2</b> . 6 <b>1</b> . 012659
80.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Countryside	The City of Countryside v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C. Cook Cnty. 2018 2 -012640 C. S. S. Boone Cnty.
81.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 2-000007
			11		2004539  2004539  Of 275  Sain Example 1

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
82.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
83.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty. 2018-L-000006 Cir. Cook Cnty. 2018-2-005935
84.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. 2. Cook County 2017 2-013180
85.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	P. DeKalb Cnty.  201.61-000072  a. 21. Cook Cnty.  201.61-013655
86.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C证记 Cook Cnty. 2 对 企 Cook Cnty. 2 可 企 Cook Cnty.
87.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CH. Cook Cnty. 2. Cook Cnty. 2. Cook Cnty. 2. Cook Cnty.
88.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Car. 5. Cook Cnty.  24. 5. Cook Cnty.  25. Cook Cnty.  27. 5. Cook Cnty.  28. 5. Cook Cnty.
89.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C#. Cook Cnty. 28 20-202943 PD 20
					12 - 1
			12		7:5008:508ain EXobolomin 10 of 275

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
90.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
91.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018 1-000078 Cir. Cook Cnty. 2018 1-012741
92.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. (c). LaSalle Cnty. 2019 -000052 C C. Cook Cnty. 2019 -008722
93.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cast Cook Cnty. 2028年2-002916 
94.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cg. 图t. Macoupin Cnty. 2页图000030 
95.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Capt. Cook Cnty. 2002948 of an
96.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McLean County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and McLean County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	CARSI. McLean Cnty. 221.0000108 nction Piatt Cnty.
97.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 <b>9</b> ,-000007 <b>PQ</b>
			13		201. Cook Cnty. 201. 012689 Of 275
					Et Adricio ii ir

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
98.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004546
99.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Bedford Park	The Village of Bedford Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018 <u>-</u> L-008819
00.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. 19. Cook Cnty. 2018 -009526
01.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Evergreen Park	The Village of Evergreen Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. 3. Cook Cnty. 2018 -012652
02.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	Village of Hodgkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. 5. Cook Cnty. 2015-009848
03.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Lyons	The Village of Lyons v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2∰84008746
04.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Summit	The Village of Summit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C量: <b>C</b> t. Cook Cnty. 2 <b>D</b> 名 -008803
105.	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County, Maryland	Anne Arundel County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C술. 은. Anne Arundel Cnty. C크얼CV-18-000021
06.	Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Con. Br. Baltimore City
07.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston; The Boston Public Health Commission; The Boston Housing Authority	City of Boston, The Boston Public Health Commission, The Boston Housing Authority v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Strong Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 16542 V02860B  Strong Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 15444
08.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	City of Cambridge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Strong Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
09.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Chicopee	City of Chicopee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S Ct. Hampden Cnty.
10.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Spe Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 1案3会3
11.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	City of Gloucester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Supple Ct. Essex Cnty. 1877 V01773
12.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Haverhill	City of Haverhill v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Supplied Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899 V01762A
					L508:548:
			14		であり、ある。 2 of 275

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
113.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Salem	City of Salem v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01767A
114.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Worcester	City of Worcester v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
115	3.6 1.1 11.	3.5 1		Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 1984CV00543
115.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Town of Canton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 18-1 <u>5</u> 82
116.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. Essex Cnty. 1898 V01769D
117.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Natick v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
118.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 1982 V00400
119.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Town of Springfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sper. Ct. Hampden Cnty.
120.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Wakefield	Town of Wakefield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	SHOW Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
121.	Municipality	Missouri	Butler County; Cape Girardeau County; Christian County; City of Independence; City of Joplin; Crawford County; Dent County; Dunklin County; Franklin County; Greene County; Iron County; Jasper County; Jefferson County; Madison County; Perry County; Ste. Genevieve County; Stone County; Taney County; Texas County; Washington County	Jefferson County, et al. v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et al.	Midicial Cir. Ct., St. Louis City 1572-1edHile/1403/20/20 28 Signature Entered (1403/20/20/20 29 Signature (1504) (1403/20/20/20 20 Signature (1504) (1403/20/20/20 20 Signature (1504) (1403/20/20/20 20 Signature (1504) (1403/20/20/20 20 Signature (1504)
122.	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Cw. G. Polk Cnty. 1921-CC11660

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
123.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	City of Henderson v. Purdue	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty.
123.	Withhelpanty	revada	City of Frenderson	Pharma L.P., et al.	A-19-800695-B
				1 1111111111111111111111111111111111111	Dept. 11
124.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty.
	1 ,		3	Pharma L.P., et al.	A-19-800697-B
				,	Dept_27
125.	Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegas v.	Eigh Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	A-1 <b>2</b> 00699-B
					Dep 🖸 1
126.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purdue Pharma	Eigh Jud. Dist Ct. Washoe Cnty.
				L.P., et al.	CV1 <del>2</del> 01895
127.	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue	Sup Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty. MER-L-001167-19
				Pharma L.P., et al.	MER-L-001167-19
128.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, NJ	County of Ocean, NJ v. Purdue	SEC Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	O€16L-0014740-19
129.	Municipality	New York	The City of Albany	The City of Albany v. Purdue	Sஹ்.பூt. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4800094/2019
130.	Municipality	New York	City of Alma, GA;	City of Alma, GA, et al. v.	Smp. Gt. Suffolk Cnty.
			Village of Amityville, NY;	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	499081/2019
			Town of Babylon, NY;		Ct. OR
			Village of Babylon, NY;		on A
			Village of Babylon, NY;		a <b>4</b>
			Bacon County, GA;		na Os
			City of Bayonne, NJ; Village of Bellport, NY;		& <u>&amp;</u>
			City of Blackshear, GA;		<b>\$</b> 0.
			Town of Brookhaven, NY;		
			City of Brunswick, GA;		<b>2</b> 5 fe
			Chatham County, GA;		<u>%</u> ₫
			Town of Clarkstown, NY;		<u> </u>
			City of Clifton, NJ;		n n
			Town of Clinton, NJ;		Cti 102
			Dade County, GA;		Or 02
			City of Demorest, GA;		\$1900 And
			East Hampton Village, NY;		P/Z
			Village of East Rockaway, NY;		0 v 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
			City of Elizabeth, NJ;		144
			Village of Farmingdale, NY;		9 <del>1</del>

		<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		Court /
Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		Village of Floral Park, NY;		
		Village of Garden City, NY;		
		Village of Greenport, NY;		
		Town of Haverstraw, NY;		
		Town of Hempstead, NY;		
		Town of Huntington, NY;		<b>⊢</b>
		Village of Island Park, NY;		ි ජි 
		Village of Islandia, NY;		[
		Town of Islip, NY;		&
		Village of Lake Grove, NY;		
		Village of Lawrence, NY;		964
		Village of Lindenhurst, NY;		
		Village of Lloyd Harbor, NY;		l re
		City of Long Beach, NY;		
		Village of Lynbrook, NY;		⊒:6   ar
		Village of Massapequa Park, NY;		L ZI
		Village of Mill Neck, NY; Village of Millerton, NY;		<u>Y</u>
		Village of New Hyde Park, NY;		흘위
		Village of Nissequoge, NY;		un <b>≅</b>
		Town of North Hempstead, NY;		요휴
		Village of Northport, NY;		이 <b>있</b>
		Village of Old Westbury, NY;		1 a <b>4.</b>
		Town of Orangetown, NY;		
		Town of Oyster Bay, NY;		
		Borough of Paramus, NJ;		<b>\</b> 200
		Village of Patchogue, NY;		
		Pierce County, GA;		<b>6</b> 3 it
		City of Pooler, GA;		19-ഇമ്മുള്ളിളർൽd DDതർ 7.5.75FiledHelk/1405/20/20/20/20/20/20/207207:51
		Village of Poquott, NY;		
		Village of Port Washington North,		un 📽
		NY;		l Ct. Apr
		City of Richmond Hill, GA;		ior 🕏
		Town of Riverhead, NY;		
		Village of Saltaire, NY;		₽ <b>S</b>
		Town of Smithtown, NY;		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
		Town of Southampton, NY;		45 2
		Town of Southold, NY;		0 0 1

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
	2,70		Village of Stewart Manor, NY; Village of Suffern, NY; Village of Valley Stream, NY; Village of the Branch, NY; Town of Wappinger, NY; Village of Wappinger Falls, NY; Village of West Hampton Dunes, NY; Village of West Haverstraw, NY; Village of Westbury, NY; Village of Hempstead, NY		19.92389196
131.	Municipality	New York	The City of Buffalo	The City of Buffalo v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. 15. Erie Cnty. 8.17. 39-2019
132.	Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sign. St. Suffolk Cnty 4 m log 2/2018
133.	Municipality	New York	The City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Vernon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sp. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 4000F6/2019
134.	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Smp. Gt. Suffolk Cnty 4000 Uk/2018
135.	Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Plattsburgh v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Smp. &t. Suffolk Cnty. 450 (1987) (2019)
136.	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sm. t. Suffolk Cnty.
137.	Municipality	New York	City of Troy	City of Troy v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	SEC. Suffolk Cnty. 4 (2019)
138.	Municipality	New York	City of Yonkers	City of Yonkers v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Subsection Suffolk Cnty.
139.	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	County of Broome v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Str. 2t. Suffolk Cnty. 4 2/2017
140.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sm. Ot. Suffolk Cnty. 490027/2019
141.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	SE St. Suffolk Cnty.
142.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chautauqua	The County of Chautauqua v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup St. Chautauqua Cnty.
143.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chenango	The County of Chenango v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. St. Suffolk Cnty. 490 31/2019

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
144.	Municipality	New York	The County of Clinton	The County of Clinton v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400003/2018
145.	Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
1.4.6	3.6	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	TIL C	Pharma L.P., et al.	400015/2018
146.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cortland	The County of Cortland v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
1.47	3.6	N. W. 1	G (D) (1	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400019/2018
147.	Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue	Sup. 2t. Suffolk Cnty.
1.40	3.6 17	NT N7 1	C + CE:	Pharma L.P., et al.	4000 5/2017
148.	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue	Sup. St. Suffolk Cnty.
1.40	Maniainalia	N V1-	The Country of Econo	Pharma L.P., et al.	400008/2017
149.	Municipality	New York	The County of Essex	The County of Essex v. Purdue	Sup. t. Suffolk Cnty
150	M	Name Wanda	The Country of English	Pharma L.P., et al.	400(29)/2019
150.	Municipality	New York	The County of Franklin	The County of Franklin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Spp. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 40052/2018
151	Municipality	New York	The County of Fulton	The County of Fulton v. Purdue	SED. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
151.	Municipanty	New York	The County of Fulion	Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>0</b> 00 <b>1</b> 8/2018
152.	Municipality	New York	The County of Genesee	The County of Genesee v.	Sap. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
132.	Municipanty	New Tolk	The County of Genesee	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400(4)1/2018
153.	Municipality	New York	The County of Greene	The County of Greene v. Purdue	Sap. t. Suffolk Cnty.
133.	Municipanty	New Tolk	The County of Greene	Pharma L.P., et al.	400008/2018
154.	Municipality	New York	The County of Hamilton	The County of Hamilton v.	Sup. t. Suffolk Cnty
134.	Municipanty	New Tolk	The County of Hamilton	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4000 <u>4</u> 5/2018
155.	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue	Sup St Suffolk Cnty
133.	WithinCipality	New Tork	County of Herkiniei	Pharma L.P., et al.	Sign. St. Suffolk Cnty. 460 (83/2019 Sec. Ot. Suffolk Cnty. 460 (67/2019
156.	Municipality	New York	The County of Lewis	The County of Lewis v. Purdue	St Suffalk Cnty
150.	WithinCipality	New Tork	The County of Lewis	Pharma L.P., et al.	/ Supple 7/2019
157.	Municipality	New York	The County of Livingston	The County of Livingston v.	Sub Z't Suffolk Cnty
137.	Withincipality	New Tork	The County of Livingston	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S取乏t. Suffolk Cnty. 400年3/2018
158.	Municipality	New York	The County of Madison	The County of Madison v.	Sep. et. Suffolk Cnty.
	Withinespassey	INCW TOIR	The county of Madison		4 <b>cc</b> ) ( <b>2</b> 8/2019
				I Piirdiie Pharma I. P. et al	1 4000 48//019
	Municipality	New York	The County of Monroe	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  The County of Monroe v	
	Municipality	New York	The County of Monroe	The County of Monroe v.	Spp. att. Suffolk Cnty.
159.	1		•	The County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Spp. St. Suffolk Cnty. 4800 7/2018
159. 160.	Municipality  Municipality	New York New York	The County of Monroe  County of Montgomery	The County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. County of Montgomery v.	Smp. St. Suffolk Cnty. 480067/2018 Smp. St. Suffolk Cnty.
159.	1		•	The County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Spp. St. Suffolk Cnty. 4800 7/2018

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
162.	Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	County of Niagara v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
1	2.5 1.1 11		m c c c	Pharma L.P., et al.	400012/2017
163.	Municipality	New York	The County of Ontario	The County of Ontario v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
1.7.1	N. 6	N V1-	Contraction (Contraction)	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400001/2019
164.	Municipality	New York	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 4000 <u>04</u> /2017
165.	Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Purdue	Sup. <b>L</b> t. Suffolk Cnty.
105.	Withincipanity	New Tolk	County of Oswego	Pharma L.P., et al.	400(27/2018
166.	Municipality	New York	The County of Otsego	The County of Otsego v. Purdue	Sup. St. Suffolk Cnty.
100.	- Wanterparty	TVOW TOTAL	The county of ottoge	Pharma L.P., et al.	400(24/2019
167.	Municipality	New York	The County of Putnam	The County of Putnam v.	Sup. t. Suffolk Cnty.
	1 3			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400 4/2019
168.	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	County of Rensselaer v. Purdue	Spp. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>±</b> 0 <b>5</b> 1/2017
169.	Municipality	New York	County of St. Lawrence	County of St. Lawrence v.	S t. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>5</b> 0( <b>1</b> 02/2019
170.	Municipality	New York	County of Saratoga	County of Saratoga v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	3.5 1.1 11		2 22 1	Pharma L.P., et al.	450(10)/2018
171.	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenectady v.	S t. Suffolk Cnty.
170	3.6 1.4	N	G + (C 1 1 :	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4000009/2017
172.	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v. Purdue	S∰. ⊈t. Suffolk Cnty. 400(£0/2017
173.	Municipality	New York	The County of Schuyler	Pharma L.P., et al. The County of Schuyler v.	Sign. St. Suffolk Cnty.
1/3.	Municipanty	New Tolk	The County of Schuyler	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	465(8) 4/2018
174.	Municipality	New York	County of Seneca	County of Seneca v. Purdue	460 24/2018 See Oct. Suffolk Cnty.
1 / 1.	Withinesparity	TW TOTA	County of Scheed	Pharma L.P., et al.	4 0006/2017
175.	Municipality	New York	The County of Steuben	The County of Steuben v.	4 (2017) Sign & t. Suffolk Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	40004/2018
176.	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue	S t. Suffolk Cnty.
	1			Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <del>a</del> )( <b>2</b> )1/2017
177.	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	County of Sullivan v. Purdue	S்ன். 🥸 t. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>₹</b> 0 <b>€</b> 7/2017
178.	Municipality	New York	The County of Tioga	The County of Tioga v. Purdue	Sup. t. Suffolk Cnty.
	25 1 1 11			Pharma L.P., et al.	40002/2019
179.	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	County of Tompkins v. Purdue	Scop. St. Suffolk Cnty
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400 11/2018
					약 <b>)</b>
					1:5:08:5:6ain Extraction 18 of 275
					75 <b>&amp;</b>
			20		الا آ
			_0		贯

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
180.	Municipality	New York	The County of Ulster	The County of Ulster v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
101	3.6	N. W. 1	TI C CW	Pharma L.P., et al.	400011/2019
181.	Municipality	New York	The County of Warren	The County of Warren v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
182.	Municipality	New York	The County of Washington	The County of Washington v.	400030/2019 Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
102.	Municipanty	New Tolk	The County of Washington	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400 <u>1</u> 0/2019
183.	Municipality	New York	County of Westchester	County of Westchester v.	Sup. Lt. Suffolk Cnty.
105.	Withinespainty	Tiew Tolk	County of Westerlester	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400(2018
184.	Municipality	New York	The County of Wyoming	The County of Wyoming v.	Sup. 2t. Suffolk Cnty
	1 3			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	40003/2018
185.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Amherst	The Town of Amherst v. Purdue	Sup. t. Erie Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	8038 7-2018 Sm. Ct. Erie Cnty. 8 51-2018
186.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Cheektowaga	The Town of Cheektowaga v.	Spp. t. Erie Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8年51-2018
187.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Lancaster	The Town of Lancaster v.	SED. Tt. Erie Cnty.
100	3.6	N. W. 1	THE TO CONT.	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	860 2018
188.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Tonawanda	The Town of Tonawanda v.	Sap. et. Erie Cnty.
100	M:-1:4	New York	The Terms of Wessell and News	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8 15 72 33 - 2018 S 15 5 15 ± t. Dutchess Cnty.
189.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et	2019254549
			TOIK	al.	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
190.	Municipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls,	The Village of Wappingers	Sup. t. Dutchess Cnty.
170.	- Wanterparty	110W TOTA	New York	Falls, New York v. Purdue	2019=53838
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 5 3 8 3 8 9 5 3 8 3 8 9 5 3 8 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9
191.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio;	The County of Medina, Ohio;	Carlo dedina Cnty. 150(Pe) -0838 120 (fc) 150 (fc) 150 (fc)
			The State of Ohio ex rel.	The State of Ohio ex rel.	1 <b>E</b> Q <b>H</b> V-0838
			Prosecuting Attorney of Medina	Prosecuting Attorney of Medina	
			County, S. Forrest Thompson	County, S. Forrest Thompson v.	
100	3.6	0111	D 1.00	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
192.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	DECECleveland Cnty.
			Cleveland County	Commissioners of Cleveland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	(5-2 <u>4</u> 9-392
				et al.	(F) 29-592
193.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	D. Coreer Cnty.
175.	Withinespainty	Oktunoma	Greer County	Commissioners of Greer County	GE 2010-12
			and a sussign	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	0,4
	•	•	•		7.508:58 49 of 275
					1:5:08:5-62ain Extractioning of 275
					2 <b>:</b> 7 <b>:</b>
			2 -		5 8
			21		Ē
					<u>76.</u>
					<b>=</b>

	Т	84-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Casa Name	Core Number
104	Type	State Oklahoma	(Last, First)  Board of County Commissioners of	Case Name	Case Number
194.	Municipality	Oklanoma	Hughes County  Hughes County	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty. CJ-2019-36
195.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty. CJ-2019-54  D. Con Noble Cnty.
196.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CJ-2 <b>20</b> 9-05
197.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	City of Burns Flat v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D <del>Ct.</del> Washita Cnty. C <del>=</del> 2 <b>2</b> 9-29
198.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bedford County	Bedford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C⊒. 6 deford Cnty. 1
199.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	G. Philadelphia Cnty. Juty Germ 2018 No. 01963
200.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C. Elinton Cnty. 1전윤018
201.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Co. Eniladelphia
202.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Carlegheny Cnty. 1808 153 Carlegheny Cnty.
203.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cast Belaware Cnty. Cast Philosophy Control of the
204.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C语: ehigh Cnty. 2至Ction
					/ <b>/20</b> :
			22		72: <b>5:</b> 008: <b>5:0</b> 8:in <b>Extraction</b>

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
205.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th Jud. Dist. 2019-CV-7795-CV
206.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Prie Cnty., 6th Jud. Dist. 12839 19
207.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County v. AmerisourceBergen	C. Delaware Cnty. C. Delaware Cnty. C. Delaware Cnty. C. Delaware Cnty.
208.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C3. Berks Cnty. 18:18:32 nction ar
209.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	CH. Berks Cnty.  1 Eil 232  Ch. Berks Cnty.  2 Eil 200  Ch. Bucks Cnty.  2 Colombia Colombia Cnty.  2 Colombia Cnty.  2 Colombia Cnty.  2 Colombia Cnty.
210.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County, PA	Armstrong County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017 570-CV
211.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Car. Silegheny Cnty. 1806 155
212.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty.
213.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	(T) Dundford Cuty
			23		2018 V-0059  Of 275 Bain Exemples in Exemp
					<b>Exercises</b>

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
214.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purdue Pharma	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
21.5	3.6	D 1 '		L.P., et al.	No. 2018-03144
215.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	C.P. Cambria Cnty
216	Municipality	Donnardrania	County of Carbon	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. County of Carbon v. Purdue	2017-4131
216.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Carbon	Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Carbon Cnty. No. <u>1</u> 8-0990
217.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Purdue	C.P. Clarion Cnty.
217.	Municipanty	1 Chiisylvania	County of Clarion	Pharma L.P., et al.	285- <b>C</b> D-2018
218.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania	C.P. Selearfield Cnty.
210.	wamerpanty	1 ching ivama	Clearment County	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 484-CD
219.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purdue	C.P. Clinton Cnty.
	1 3		j	Pharma L.P., et al.	
220.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberland v.	752- Cumberland Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>€₹8⊖)</b> 2147
221.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v. Purdue	C⊋. Dauphin Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>₫ €</b> CV-716-CV
222.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County, Pennsylvania	C.P. Belaware Cnty.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 217-008095
223.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue	CD. Frie Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1552-18
224.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Fayette v. Purdue	Co. Cayette Cnty.
225	M''1'	D	F - 11' - C t -	Pharma L.P., et al.	20 2676
225.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	Franklin County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C. Granklin Cnty. 265 2445
226.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue	Coreene Cnty.
220.	Municipanty	Tellisylvallia	County of Greene	Pharma L.P., et al.	79 -012
227.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County,	Cap Lackawanna Cnty
227.	Withinesparity	1 Cillisyivailla	Lackawamia County, 1 cmisyivama	Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma	Cog. Lackawanna Cnty.
				L.P., et al.	
228.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	Œ. <b>P</b> eaver Cnty
	1 ,			v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 📆 🥵 2017
229.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purdue	C₹. Mercer Cnty.
			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>H 8</b> 596
230.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton County and	People of Northampton County	C.P. orthampton Cnty.
			Northampton County, PA	and Northampton County, PA v.	26 13 1557
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	55
					0 0
					f 28
					75
			24		77:5008:508ain⊞Xohabiin 52 of 275
			24		Ħ
					<del>Š</del>
					<u>∵</u>
					₹

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
231.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue	C.P. Pike Cnty.
222	3.5 111	D 1 :		Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 602-2018
232.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty.
233.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue	S-1241-18 C.P. Monroe Cnty.
233.	WithinCipality	1 chiisyivama	County of Monroe	Pharma L.P., et al.	397 <u>2-</u> CV-18
234.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue	C.P. Pioga Cnty.
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	T SILLE J I V MILLE	a county of frequency	Pharma L.P., et al.	563-6V-2018
235.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	County of Washington v.	C.P. Washington Cnty.
	1 7			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	201 268
236.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Westmoreland	County of Westmoreland v.	C.P. estmoreland Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017 1 201
237.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Purdue	C. York Cnty.
220	3.5 1 1 11.	D 1 :	mi ac i i i i as i	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 (20) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3
238.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of Norristown	The Municipality of Norristown	Carlo Contgomery Cnty.
			and The Township of West Norriton	and The Township of West Norriton v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	25 2178
			Norriton	et al.	751 7 In
239.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township v. Purdue	C⊋. ∰niladelphia Cnty.
239.	Withincipanty	1 Chilisylvania	Manoning Township	Pharma L.P., et al.	1820603466
240.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	Newtown Township v. Purdue	CO. Qucks Cnty.
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1 cims j i vania	1.0.we was 10 wasaap	Pharma L.P., et al.	20 93043
241.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Warrington Township v. Purdue	C⊋. Bucks Cnty.
	1	•		Pharma L.P., et al.	C. Bucks Cnty. 26 494956
242.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	City of Charleston v. Purdue	Carleston Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>4</b> 9 <b>1</b> CP-10-04294
243.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of North Charleston	City of North Charleston v.	Car. Charleston Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019 CP-10-03978
244.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville	County of Abbeville v. Rite Aid	bbeville Cnty.
145	Manufata 114	G	Complete CATION	of South Carolina Inc., et al.	200 9 CP-01-00154
245.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	County of Aiken v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C录:基iken Cnty. 2年96CP-02-01086
246.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	County of Allendale v. Purdue	C.P. Sillendale Cnty.
240.	Withincipanty	South Caronna	County of Attendate	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 CP-03-00125
247.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite Aid	Anderson Cnty.
2 . , .	iviamorpanty	South Curonna	County of Timedison	of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2018 CP-04-01108
	l			,	
					D8
					:5∙08:5√8ain⊞xoboiolio
					υ <b>6</b>
			25		品
					<del>U</del>
					<u> </u>
					<b>⋽</b>

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
248.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Bamberg	County of Bamberg v. Purdue	C.P. Bamberg Cnty.
240	Municipality	South Carolina	Country of Domission 11	Pharma L.P., et al. County of Barnwell v. Purdue	2018-CP-05-00189 C.P. Barnwell Cnty.
249.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-06-00329
250.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Purdue	C.P. Beaufort Cnty.
250.	iviameipanty	South Curonna	County of Beautoft	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 <u>-</u> CP-07-01245
251.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite Aid	C.P. Calhoun Cnty.
	1 ,			of South Carolina Inc., et al.	201 P-09-00065
252.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	County of Cherokee v. Rite Aid	C.P. herokee Cnty.
				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018 P-11-00503
253.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	County of Chesterfield v. Rite	C.P. hesterfield Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018 P-13-00410
				al.	- <del> </del>
254.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	County of Clarendon v. Rite Aid	C\(\frac{1}{2}\). \( \text{Glarendon Cnty}. \)
255	N. 6	G	Company CO 11 4	of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2 0 0 CP-14-00236
255.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	County of Colleton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cap. Colleton Cnty. 264 8 € CP-15-00438
256.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Rite Aid of	
230.	Municipanty	South Carolina	County of Dillon	South Carolina Inc., et al.	Cच. pillon Cnty. 2⊕9€:P-17-00213
257.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Purdue	CP. Dorchester Cnty.
231.	Withinesparity	Bouth Caronna	County of Dorenester	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>6</b> 2 P-18-01122
258.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield v. Rite Aid	Car. Edgefield Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019 CP-19-00120
259.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	County of Fairfield v. Rite Aid	200 SCP-19-00120 GE Sairfield Cnty.
	1		·	of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	26 P-20-00272
260.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Florence	County of Florence v. Rite Aid	C. Porence Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2 <b>₹</b> 9 <b>₹</b> CP-21-01213
261.	Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. Purdue	Gal. Freenville Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 ECP-23-01294
262.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v. Rite	Car. Cherokee Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2 <b>9 E</b> CP-24-00775
262	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Homenton	al.	C. P. Bampton Cnty.
263.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <u>01</u> <b>S</b> CP-25-00258
264.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Horry	County of Horry v. Rite Aid of	Car. Norry Cnty.
204.	Withinesparity	South Caronna	County of Horry	South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019 CP-26-02684
				South Carolina Inc., et al.	
					약었
					:508:50Bain EXebribain
					ப த
			26		in In
					<b>2</b>
					<del>) (</del>
					<b>₽</b> `

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
265.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Jasper	County of Jasper v. Purdue	C.P. Jasper Cnty.
266.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	Pharma L.P., et al. County of Kershaw v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-27-00332 C.P. Kershaw Cnty. 2018-CP-28-00553
267.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lancaster	County of Lancaster v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Lancaster Cnty. 2019 <u>-</u> CP-29-00540
268.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	Coun-02ty of Laurens v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Caurens Cnty. 2018 P-30-00606
269.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lee	County of Lee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Lee Cnty. 2018 CP-31-00207
270.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Lexington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P.
271.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C <b>=</b> .
272.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	CD. McCormick Cnty. 281 9-CP-35-00031
273.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	County of Oconee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	Ç <b>⋥</b> . <b>\(\varphi\)</b> conee Cnty. 2\(\varphi\)8\(\varphi\)P-37-00458
274.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburg v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	COP. Durangeburg Cnty. 2008 2018-38-00841
275.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C
276.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Saluda	County of Saluda v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C∰. 6larendon Cnty. 2∰9€P-41-00111
277.	Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Car. Spartanburg Cnty. 200 CP-42-00760
278.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	County of Sumter v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C. Sumter Cnty. 2019 CP-43-00891
279.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Union	County of Union v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C录.器nion Cnty. 2贯验CP-44-00288
280.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Williamsburg	County of Williamsburg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C. P. Williamsburg Cnty. 201
281.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	County of York v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	Car. Sork Cnty. 2018 CP-46-02446
					:508:508ain EXebribain
			27		Seainf
					Đường

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
282.	Municipality	South Carolina	Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04302
283.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, Including Clay County, City of Celine, Cumberland County, City of Crab Orchard, City of Crossville, Town of Pleasant Hill, Dekalb County, Town of Alexandria, Town of Dowelltown, Town of Liberty, City of Smithville, Overton County, Town of Livingston, Pickett County, Town of Byrdstown, Putnam County, City of Algood, Town of Baxter, City of Cookeville, Town of Monterey, White County, Town of Doyle, City of Sparta;  Jenning H. Jones, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixteenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Cannon County, Town of Auburntown, Town of Woodbury, Rutherford County, City of Eaglevill, City of La Vergne, City of Murfreesboro, Town of Smyrna;  Robert J. Carter, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventeenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein,	Bryant C. Dunaway, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty. CCI-2018-CV-6331  19-9823899chdd DD&d 75.75FiledHip/d D3/20/20/20/20/20/2020/20/20/20/20/20/20/2

		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
Type	State	• •	Case Name	

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
			Town of Viola;		
			Baby Doe, by and through his		
			Mother.		
284.	District Attorney	Tennessee	Jared Effler, in his official capacity	Jared Effler, et al. v. Purdue	Eastern Section at Knoxville Court
	General /		as the District Attorney General for	Pharma L.P., et al.	of A <del>pp</del> eals
	Municipality		the Eigth Judicial District, TN;		No. 2018-01994-COA-R3-CV
					<b>8</b>
			Charme Allen, in her official		<b>8</b>
			capacity as the District Attorney		∯ ₩
			General for the Sixth Judicial		) ela
			District; Dave Clark, in his official		På
			capacity as the District Attorney		
			General for the Seventh Judicial		
			District, TN;		in 24
			Russell Johnson, in his official		ar)
			capacity as the District Attorney		
			General for the Ninth Judicial		글 원
			District, TN;		l nc
			District, 114,		英五
			Stephen Crump, in his official		ĭ <b>ĕ</b>
			capacity as the District Attorney		ar <b>14</b>
			General for the Tenth Judicial		
			District, TN;		
					)/2   //2
			Jimmy Dunn, in his official		
			capacity as the District Attorney		<u> </u>
			General for the Fourth Judicial		
			District, TN;		ju <b>f</b>
					Eastern Section at Knoxville Court of Appeals No. 25.018-01994-COA-R3-CV  Preliminary Injunction analy Solution Pg 58 of Preliminary Injunction analy Solution Pg 58 of Preliminary Injunction Appeals  Preliminary Injunction
			Mike Taylor, in his official		itic <b>∑</b>
			capacity as the District Attorney		ĭ 🕸
			General for the Twelfth Judicial		
			District, TN		P <sub>9</sub>
			D. 1. D. //1		_ <b>0</b>
			Baby Doe #1;		<sup>∞</sup> 59
			Baby Doe #2		1

		g, ,	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	a v	Court /
205	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
285.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Barry Staubus, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Second Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein;	Barry Staubus, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty. No. C-41916
			Tony Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the First Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein;  Dan Armstrong, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Third Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein;		19.982899etmld DDccd 75.75Filed的数0.47 Preliminary Injunctions are
			Baby Doe, by and through his Guadian Ad Litem		SF11ec
286.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CE: ED: Shelby Cnty. No. ED: -004500-17 ar
287.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston, Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hand Cnty. Dist. Ct.
288.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	County of Bee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Herris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2 <b>9.</b> \$76897
289.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hering Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2007/7066
290.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	20 277066 Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 20 877090
291.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
292.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
293.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harr Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2005 6907

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
294.	Municipality	Texas	County of Coryell	County of Coryell v. Purdue	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	1.1minospanity	1 5.14.5		Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77097
295.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dallas	County of Dallas v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	1 ,			Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77098
296.	Municipality	Texas	County of Delta	County of Delta v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp.,	2018 <u>-</u> 37104
				et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
297.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dimmit	County of Dimmit v. Purdue	Harr Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 6933
298.	Municipality	Texas	County of Ector	County of Ector v. Purdue	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	201 (2018) 6934
299.	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	County of El Paso v. Purdue	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	26 8 7 6 9 7 0
300.	Municipality	Texas	County of Falls	County of Falls v. Purdue	Harres Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	20 877106 Hours Cnty. Dist. Ct.
301.	Municipality	Texas	County of Fannin	County of Fannin v. Purdue	Hoorris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			_	Pharma L.P., et al.	2618-76974
302.	Municipality	Texas	County of Grayson	County of Grayson v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
202		_	0.77	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 8 6994
303.	Municipality	Texas	County of Harrison	County of Harrison v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
20.4	3.6		C . CII'11	Pharma L.P., et al.	2008-7108
304.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hidalgo	County of Hidalgo v. Purdue	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
205	3.6 1.4	T	C + CH 1:	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 7109
305.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hopkins	County of Hopkins v. Purdue	Horry Cnty. Dist. Ct.
306.	M:1:4	Texas	County of Houston	Pharma L.P., et al. County of Houston v. Purdue	2900 /111 11 Cuta Diat Ct
306.	Municipality	Texas	County of Houston	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018 7109 Harry Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 7111 Harry Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 77021
307.	Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue	Harry Cnty. Dist. Ct.
307.	WithinCipanty	Texas	Johnson County	Pharma, L.P. et al.	2 8 8 7346
308.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kendall	County of Kendall v. Purdue	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
300.	Widincipanty	TCAUS	County of Kendan	Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>A S</b> 7023
309.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kerr	County of Kerr v. Purdue	
] 507.	1.1amorpanty	TOAUS	County of Ren	Pharma L.P., et al.	Heins Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 7114
310.	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	County of Liberty v. Purdue	Harris Cntv. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	26 8 7116
311.	Municipality	Texas	County of Limestone	County of Limestone v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 26: 827116 Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>1</b> 2 2 2 5

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
312.	Municipality	Texas	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77026
313.	Municipality	Texas	County of McMullen	County of McMullen v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77067
314.	Municipality	Texas	County of Milam	County of Milam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018–77141
315.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nacogdoches	County of Nacogdoches v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 7027
316.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nueces; Nueces County Hospital District	County of Nueces and Nueces County Hospital District v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harry Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 7083
317.	Municipality	Texas	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hand Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2tt 8-77036
318.	Municipality	Texas	County of Panola	County of Panola v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	H Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2 全 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
319.	Municipality	Texas	County of Parker	County of Parker v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2818-77143
320.	Municipality	Texas	County of Potter	County of Potter v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 7039
321.	Municipality	Texas	County of Robertson	County of Robertson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct. 20 27043 Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
322.	Municipality	Texas	County of San Patricio	County of San Patricio v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harra Cnty. Dist. Ct.
323.	Municipality	Texas	County of Shelby	County of Shelby v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	20 8 7075 Hours Cnty. Dist. Ct. 20 8 7062
324.	Municipality	Texas	County of Travis	County of Travis v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harts Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2ft 8 7144 Harts Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2ft 8 7080
325.	Municipality	Texas	County of Trinity	County of Trinity v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cnty. Dist. Ct.
326.	Municipality	Texas	County of Van Zandt	County of Van Zandt v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Henris Chry. Dist. Ct. 2018 7150
327.	Municipality	Texas	County of Waller	County of Waller v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harry Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018 7153
328.	Municipality	Texas	County of Wood	County of Wood v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2008 7081
_			33		:508:508ain Extractionin
			33		7 EXercitorin

			<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
329.	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah;	Cache County, Utah; Rich	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty.
			Rich County, Utah	County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma	190100112
				L.P., et al.	
330.	Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdue Pharma	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty.
221	3.5 1.1 11.	77. 1		L.P., et al.	180700870
331.	Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdue Pharma	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty.
222	3.5	TT. 1	I. C.	L.P., et al.	180 <b>79</b> 0040
332.	Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purdue Pharma	5th Jed. Dist. Ct. Iron Cnty.
333.	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	L.P., et al.  Millard County v. Purdue	1805 0149 4th Jul. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty.
333.	Municipality	Otan	Williard County	Pharma L.P., et al.	1807 <b>6</b> 0044
334.	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. Purdue	3rd &d. Dist. Ct. Salt Lake Cnty.
334.	Municipanty	Otali	Salt Lake County	Pharma L.P., et al.	130902421
335.	Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	San Juan County v. Purdue	7th led Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty.
555.	withinespainty	Otan	San Juan County	Pharma L.P., et al.	180 90040
336.	Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Purdue	6th Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete Cnty.
	in a second second		Sumpers Sounds	Pharma L.P., et al.	180 <del>00</del> 0095
337.	Municipality	Utah	Emery County;	Sevier County, Utah; Juab	6th Junel. Dis. Ct. Sevier Cnty.
	1 3		Juab County;	County, Utah; Emery County,	1 <b>至</b> )⊕0050
			Piute County	Utah; Wayne County, Utah; and	ed ed
			Sevier County;	Piute County, Utah v. Purdue	
			Wayne County;	Pharma L.P., et al.	Indeed 田崎
338.	Municipality	Utah	Summit County, Utah	Summit County, Utah v. Purdue	3 Best. Ct. Summit Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	185 20119
339.	Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Tooele County, Utah v. Purdue	3 St. Ct. Tooele Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	150340423
340.	Municipality	Utah	Uintah County, Utah;	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne	8 That. Ct. Uintah Cnty.
			Duscesne County, Utah;	County, Utah; Daggett County,	1.208.0056
			Daggett County, Utah;	Utah; and Tri-County Health	kirchest. Ct. Uintan Cnty.
			Tri-County Health Department	Department v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	l n
341.	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v.	4 St. Ct. Wasatch Cnty.
J <del>4</del> 1.	Municipanty	Otali	wasaten County, Otan	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 <b>3032</b> 0079
342.	Municipality	Utah	Washington County, Utah;	Washington County, Utah; Kane	5th Lest. Ct. Washington Cnty.
J 12.	Mamorpanty	o tun	Kane County, Utah;	County, Utah; Beaver County,	128 100 179
			Beaver County, Utah;	Utah; Garfield County, Utah v.	
			Garfield County, Utah	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	12.5   12.5
			•		<u> </u>
					3: <b>5</b> 27
					77.5008:568ain EXmidiation 62 of 275
			34		Ē
					₽
					<del>OCI</del>

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
343.	Municipality	Utah	Weber County, Utah	Weber County, Utah v. Purdue	2nd Dist. Ct. Weber Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180903087
344.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia v.	Cir. Ct. Martinsville Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CL18000240-00
345.	Municipality	Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia v.	Cir. Ct. Dinwiddie Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CL19000317-00
346.	Municipality	Virginia	The County Board of Arlington	The County Board of Arlington	Cir. 😭. Arlington Cnty.
			County, Virginia	County, Virginia v. Purdue	CL1 <b>23</b> 01081-00
				Pharma L.P., et al.	<u> </u>
347.	Municipality	Virginia	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County, Virginia	Cir. <b>©</b> . Mecklenburg County
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CL1 <b>8</b> 00558-00
348.	Municipality	West Virginia	Brooke County Commission;	Brooke County Commission,	Cir Marshall Cnty. 1762-248H
			Hancock County Commission;	Hancock County Commission,	176C-248H
			Harrison County Commission;	Harrison County Commission,	1号CD 49H 1号CD 50H
			Lewis County Commission;	Lewis County Commission,	1 <b>₹</b> ©250H
			Marshall County Commission;	Marshall County Commission,	1로(급51H
			Ohio County Commission;	Ohio County Commission,	1₹0 <b>-2</b> 52H
			Tyler County Commission;	Tyler County Commission, and	1 <u>五</u> 分3H
			Wetzel County Commission	Wetzel County Commission v.	1 <u>E</u> G₩54H
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 <b>준</b> 從55H
					og <b>4</b>
				Consolidated before MLP	Cir. S. Kanawha County
				In re Opioid Litigation	1 <b>5</b> C <b>2</b> 9000

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
349.	Municipality	West Virginia	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; and Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall County  19-C-4H 19-C-5H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C-3H 19-C-3H 19-C-3H C-3-C-3H C-3-C-3-C-3-C-3-C-3-C-3-C-3-C-3-C-3-C-3
350.	Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton; Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi	In re Opioid Litigation  Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton, and Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	で記れ、Marshall Cnty. 1年であり 1年では52 1年では52 nction で ではいるで
351.	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	150 650000 Con 151 Marshall Cnty. 152 65233H 152 65233H 152 65235H 152 65236H Ction 253 6H Ction 253 Ction 253 County 194 653000
			36		(0)20 7:508:56ain Exercision of 275

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
352.	Municipality	West Virginia	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.  Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-96H 19-C-108H  19-C-108H  Prelime of the county 13ary
Loca	l Government (Mi	DL)	ı	1	175-1 175-1
353.	Municipality	MDL	Broward County, Florida	Broward County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	NED Pohio 1 = 8 = 15332 Mas
354.	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission; City of Huntington, West Virginia	Cabell County Commission and City of Huntington, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N D Dhio. 1.750p-45053 (Cabell) 1.575p-45054 (Huntington) Master Case No. 17-md-2804
355.	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N Sphio 1 1 7 5 pp-45169 Mss P Case No. 17-md-2804
356.	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N → Sop hio 1 → 8 → 25 + 45132 Massa Case No. 17-md-2804
357.	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan, Alabama	City of Dothan, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19 p-45886 Muser Case No. 17-md-2804
			37		72. <b>5</b> .08: <b>5.6</b> 8ain <b>王&gt;dodoint</b> 65 of 275

		a	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	G N	Court /
150	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
358.	Municipality	MDL	City of Henderson, Kentucky	City of Henderson, Kentucky, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated home rule cities v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45062 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
359.	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20 p-45124 Mas Case No. 17-md-2804
360.	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County, Missouri	Clinton County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Phio 1:20 p-45130
361.	Municipality	MDL	County of Alameda; City of Costa Mesa; City of Anaheim; City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Alameda County Counsel Donna Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow, and Anaheim City Attorney Robert Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Leslie Devaney, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	County of Alameda, et al. v. Richard Sackler, et al.	N-7:Emminary Injunction and g/ostuntary4njunction Pg
			38		72.5±08:558ain EXeminion 66 of 275
					X <del>o</del> dicio il ir

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
362.	Municipality	MDL	County of Summit, Ohio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh; Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	County of Summit, Ohio, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45090 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
363.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Phio 1:18 p-45158
364.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Mas Case No. 17-md-2804  N.D. Dhio 1-20-op-45063  Mas Case No. 17-md-2804
365.	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Pike County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N
366.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N=0 5 bio 1 20 5 n-45934
367.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita, Kansas	The City of Wichita, Kansas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Mas Proposition Company Compan
368.	Municipality	MDL	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v. Actavis PLC, et al.	N. Dhio 1:20 p-45052 Margar Case No. 17-md-2804
			39		Masker Case No. 17-md-2804  ND Phio 1:20 p-45052  Masker Case No. 17-md-2804  Of Case No. 17-md-2804

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
369.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio, and State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley v. Purdue Pharma	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45004 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
				L.P., et al.	
		deral Court Pending			မှ
370.	Municipality	Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 3:19 v-00630
371.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D 2 la. 2:19 v-01812
372.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	NDBAla. 7=9-cv-01565
373.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	MBDAla. 2⊒9@v-00861 NaDAla.
374.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2. <b>₹</b> 9 <b>€</b> v-01812
375.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S D C la. 1 2 0 v - 00117
376.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	MDDAla. 2 494-00862
377.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	ND Ala. 220 Sv-00202 MD Ala. 150 Sv-00763
378.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	MD Ala. 159 6v-00763
379.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	MaDriAla. 1 79 2 v - 00886
380.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	NationAla. 3-19-20-00885
381.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	NED Ala. 2 20 8 v-00201
	Municipality	Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	MEDEAla. 1:20 V-00137
382.					<del></del>
382. 383.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. 201a. 2000 v-00129 Mod 21a. 2000 v-00136 2000 v-00136 275 deann Hooding

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
385.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
• • • •				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-01401
386.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
387.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. City of Satsuma, Alabama v.	4:20-cv-00203 S.D. Ala.
307.	Withincipality	Alaballa	City of Satsuma, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20 <sub>E</sub> cv-00127
388.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama v.	S.D. <b>!</b> \$la.
500.	- Triamerpancy	THUGUING	City of Smontown, Thacama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20 Sev-00128
389.	Municipality	Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama v.	M.D <b>A</b> la.
	1		•	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19 <b>4</b> v-00752
390.	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama v.	M.D.Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19 v-00753
391.	Municipality	Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama v.	SÃ.Ãla. 1≅0 <b>g</b> y-00134
202	Municipalita	Alabama	Canava County, Al-L	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 ± 0 6 V - 0 0 1 3 4
392.	Municipality	Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M → D → Ala. 1
393.	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
373.	iviamorpanty	Thabama	1 only County, Madama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <u>3</u> 0 <b>£</b> v-00113
394.	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County, Alabama	Russell County, Alabama v.	MEDEAla.
	1 3		3,	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	MD Ala. 309 av-00646
395.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island,	SD
				Alabama v. Purdue Pharma	170 <b>%</b> v-00135
				L.P., et al.	<u>n</u> 6
396.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama v.	S. S. S. Ia. 200 ov-00142
397.	M: - : 1:4	Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., etal.  Town of Vance, Alabama v.	2 <b>9</b> 0 <b>6</b> 0V-00142
397.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of vance, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	260-ov-00142 ND Pala. 719-ev-01564 ND Pala. 719-ev-01564 ND Pala. 319-ev-02324 Junction Pg 6.
398.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and the People	City of Santa Ana and the	Name Cal.
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		of the State of California, by and	People of the State of	3 = 9 = v-02324
			through Santa Ana City Attorney	California, by and through Santa	nji. Pojet
			Sonia R. Carvalho	Ana City Attorney Sonia R.	nc <del>(2)</del>
				Carvalho v. Purdue Pharma	tio 👰
				L.P., et al.	
					я́ ę̃
					0 0
					) <u>7</u> 1:
					9 <b>.</b>
					2.8
					75 <b>&amp;</b>
			41		ية. عاد
					罗
					<b>)71:5:</b> 008: <b>5:6</b> 8ain EXobabilo 69 of 275

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
399.	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02321
400.	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D.Cal. 3:19(2)-02323
401.	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California, by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D 2 al. 4:19 av-02326 Prelimina 2 al. N.D 2 al.
402.	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	MD Eal.  4±97-02320  unction Eal.  ND Eal.
403.	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.T.) (C) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S
404.	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	ND Stal. 313 (ev-02307 100 (ev-02307) 100 (
405.	Municipality	California	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2 <b>0/20</b> Pg
			42		72:5008:508ain Extraction in 70 of 275
					xobabilit

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
406.	Municipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; and Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Del. 1:19-cv-00581
407.	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. la. 5:19 v-00440
408.	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	County of Hawai'i v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Hav.
409.	Municipality / Class Action	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class v. CVS Health Corporation, et al.	Dippov-00581  Dippov-00581  Dippov-00377  11980v-00377  125 75-11ed Policies  2300-14521
410.	Municipality	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	E.D. & a. 2.39 & v-14521
411.	Municipality	Louisiana	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	E-D. Ca. 22:90 EV-14521 E-D. Ca. 22:90 EV-14521 E-D. Ca. 22:90 EV-14516 E-D. Ca. 22:10 EV-14516 E-D. Ca. 22:10 EV-14516 E-D. Ca. 23:10 EV-14516 E-D. Ca. 24:10 EV-14516 E-D. Ca. 24:10 EV-14516 E-D. Ca. 24:10 EV-14516 E-D. Ca. 25:10 EV-14516 E-D. C
412.	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	DENS. 2 = 199 EV-00373
413.	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of Maine	Knox County, State of Maine, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	DENGE 2:19 Ev-00371 PO EV
414.	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D: NG. 1996v-02116 N
			42		<b>5</b> Meai: 75
			43		8:50 Beain Extraction in

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
15.	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of Harrison	Charter Township of Harrison v.	E.D. Mich.
. 1 .	1.1amorpanty	1viiciiiguii	Charter Township of Harrison	The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et	2:19-cv-11681
				al.	2.17 07 11001
416.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	City of Sterling Heights v. The	E.D. Mich.
	- I amorpanty		The state of the s	Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	2:19-cv-11685
417.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The Pain	E.D. Mich.
/ .	1.1amorpanty	14110111Gull	ony or waren	Center USA, PLLC, et al.	2:19 <b>/2</b> v-11687
418.	Municipality	Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota	D. Mann.
.10.	- I amorpanty		or coon rapido, minicoon	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	0:19 v-02379
419.	Municipality	Missouri	Camden County, Missouri	Camden County, Missouri v.	E.D. 62379
.17.		1.11550 411	Camaon County, missouri	Williams et al.	4:19 <b>%</b> v-02930
420.	Municipality	Missouri	St. François County	St. François County v. Dannie	F.D. <b>9</b> 40.
.20.	- I amorpanty	1,11000 411	2. Transcis County	E. Williams, M.D., et al.	E.D. 10. 4 29-cv-01722
421.	Municipality	Nevada	Clark County	Clark County v. Purdue Pharma	DENSY.
.21.	1.1amerpanty	1.07444	Claim County	L.P., et al.	2 <u>₹</u> 9 <b>©</b> v-01616
422.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Carroll County	Carroll County v. Teva	Dan H.
	1.1amerpanty	1.0W Hampsime	Carron County	Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	1.₹9€v-01097
				al.	
423.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Coos County	Coos County v. Teva	
123.	Triumorpanty	110W Hampshire	2003 County	Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	1 <u>0</u> 10000000000000000000000000000000000
				al.	
424.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Burlington, NJ	County of Burlington, NJ v.	
	- I January			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1 <b>→</b> 13684
425.	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County v. Purdue	1595v-13684 D50v-19134
		1.5,7 00150	Compensation Country	Pharma L.P., et al.	1596v-19134
426.	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	Township of Brick v. Purdue	DEN.
			r	Pharma Inc., et al.	DENT 3 79 2 v-17998 ND Y. 1 2 9 2 v-00896
427.	Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue	N S S.Y.
	r <i>j</i>			Pharma L.P.	1 = 9 = v-00896
428.	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purdue	VŒD <b>Q</b> V.Y.
	r <i>j</i>			Pharma L.P., et al.	639 <b>2</b> v-06490
429.	Municipality	New York	City of Ogdensburg	The City of Ogdensburg v.	N <b>⊕</b> N.Y
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8.₹9 <b>%</b> v-00782
430.	Municipality /	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkeepsie,	S.D. S.Y. 7.69 S.V-06800
	Class Action			individually, and on behalf of all	76800 76800
				others similarly situated v.	<b>  0</b> 2
				Purdue Pharma L.P.	2 <b>.6</b>
	•	•	•		72.5008:508ain Extraction 72 of 275
					27. <b>5</b> 7
					01 <b>§</b>
			44		<u></u>
					₿
					<del>0</del> .
					<u>ş</u> .

	Т	54-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Cogo Norma	Court /
431.	Type	State New York	(Last, First) City of Rochester	Case Name City of Rochester v. Purdue	Case Number E.D.N.Y.
t31.	Municipality	INEW I OFK	City of Rochester	Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D.N. Y. 2:18-cv-03800
132.	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Springs v.	N.D.N.Y.
<b>T</b> 32.	Withincipanty	New Tork	City of Saratoga Springs	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00789
433.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette, Ohio;	The County of Fayette, Ohio;	S.D. Ohio
155.	Wanterparty		The State of Ohio ex rel.	The State of Ohio ex rel.	2:19 <sub>E</sub> cv-04347
			Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette	Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette	<u>μ</u>
			County, Jess Weade	County, Jess Weade v. Purdue	<b>9</b>
				Pharma L.P., et al.	
434.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	E.D. Sp. kla.
			Atoka County	Commissioners of Atoka	6:19 <b>%</b> v-00279
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Med -
				et al.	
435.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	WED Okla.
			Caddo County	Commissioners of Caddo	1 3 <b>1</b> 9 E&V - UU / 1 U
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	d 75.
10 -	25 11 11	0111		et al.	
436.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	WEDGO Kla.
			Cimarron County	Commissioners of Cimarron	5∰v-00776
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	<u>유</u> 휴
127	Maniain 1'4	01-1-1-	D1-fCtC	et al.	Valua (1900) kla.  519 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
437.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	E.D. 20kla.
			Coal County	Commissioners of Coal County	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
138.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Board of County	WST Poles
130.	Municipanty	Oktanonia	Grady County	Commissioners of Grady	5 H 00703
			Grady County	County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	<u>B</u> <u>6</u>
439.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	E kla.
			Haskell County	Commissioners of Haskell	679 6v-00280
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	In <b>&amp;</b>
				et al.	
440.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	E-Bokla. 6-1960v-00280 ct. 6-1000kla.
			Jackson County	Commissioners of Jackson	5:19\textbf{v}\cdot \cdot -01108
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	<b>b/zo</b> :
				et al.	
					1: <b>9</b> 108: <b>5</b> 88
					LSD8:508ain用Xobdoito 3 of 275
					27. <b>5</b>
					<b>51 β</b>
			45		Ē
					<del>)</del>
					事 <sup>·</sup>

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
441.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00721
442.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19 <sub>F</sub> cv-00884
443.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Skla. 6:19 Sv-00282
444.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Bukla. 6-19-cv-00362  E.D. Bukla. 6-19-cv-00362
445.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩
446.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	VADBOkla. 55950-00984 a. a. b. kla.
447.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Love County	Board of County Commissioners of Love County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	F. S. Valle. 650 S. V-00320 Valle Okla.
148.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Major County	Board of County Commissioners of Major County v. Purdue Pharma L.P	5.599v-00879
149.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	nju
450.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	WD Okla. 5:19 <b>0</b> -00926 4 <b>5</b> 9 0
			46		Z:9·08:5√Bain EXchoichin
					<b>E</b> X <del>o</del> bability

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
451.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00880
452.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19 <sub>FGV</sub> -00987
453.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D.Dkla. 5:19 <b>2</b> v-00878
454.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County	County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	90.0kla. WD.Okla. 50.0v-01110 Dina.
455.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W≥D±Okla. 5±9€v-00815
456.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	₩ <u></u> D <u>+</u> Dkla. 5 <del>1</del>
457.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	<b>VoD</b> Bokla. 5₹9\$\\\_00816
458.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	ND Skla. 4208v-00380
459.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	City of Seminole v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E. Skla. 6 Nov-00291
460.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	v DSOkla. 5. DSV v-00711
461.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Adams County	Adams County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E 2 2 a. 2 ± 9 cv - 04438
462.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	E表 <b>经</b> a. 5 <b>5</b> 9 <b>E</b> v-03884
463.	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v. Allergan PLC, et al.	S.D. <b>S</b> ex. 4. <b>3</b> Sev-03590
464.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	County of Burleson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. <b>G</b> ex. 4 <b>.</b> D <b>b</b> v-03845
					108: <b>56</b> ai
			47		4.09 Rev-03590 S.DDex. 4.59 Boy-03845 of 275 5.56 Sain Exeminary

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
465.	Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	County of Duval v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02504
466.	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03983
467.	Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	Ellis County v. Purdue Pharma	S.D Tex.
				L.P., et al.	4:19 <u>co</u> v-02256
468.	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v. Purdue	S.D. <b>G</b> ex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19 <b>%</b> v-02816
469.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Purdue	S.D. ex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19 <b>©</b> v-02815
470.	Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue	S.D. acex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19 <b>%</b> v-02181
471.	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson v. Purdue	S.D. Jex. 4 = 9 gy-03299 WEDEVa. 6 2 9 gy-00077
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4±9 <b>ĕ</b> v-03299
472.	Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia v.	₩ <b>ĘDQ</b> Va.
				Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	6 <b>3</b> 59 <b>2</b> v-00077
473.	Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	7, <del>1</del> 59 <b>45</b> v-00759
474.	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virginia v.	V⊆DEVa. 4Ω9©v-00029
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <u>0</u> 9 <u>a</u> v-00029
475.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virginia v.	EØ . a. 3 . 9
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3798v-00513
476.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg, Virginia	E
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	ED Va. 309 V-00457 ED Va. 2 D V-00331 VAD Va. 7 D V v-00525
477.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Portsmouth	City of Portsmouth v.	E <b>22 . C</b> a.
				McKesson Corporation, et al.	2 <b>5</b> 9 <b>5</b> v-00331
478.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purdue	VaD ova.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7-9 y-00525
479.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro	The City of Waynesboro,	W∃DQ=Va.
				Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	5₫9 <b>%</b> v-00058
				et al.	nct
480.	Municipality	Virginia	Culpeper County	Culpeper County, Virginia v.	V6D€Va. 3.₹9€v-00037
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:195v-00037
481.	Municipality	Virginia	Cumberland County	Cumberland County, Virginia v.	W.DeVa. 6c9 Sv-00054
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6 <u>6</u> 98v-00054
482.	Municipality	Virginia	Greensville County	Greensville County, Virginia v.	E.D. Va. 3.29 ov-00459
<u></u>				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3,79 <b>©</b> v-00459

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
483.	Municipality	Virginia	Loudoun County	Loudoun County, Virginia v.	E.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00778
484.	Municipality	Virginia	Patrick County	Patrick County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00032
485.	Municipality	Virginia	Prince George County	Prince George County, Virginia	E.D. Va.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19 cv-00458
486.	Municipality	Virginia	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19 <b>%</b> v-00056
487.	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of Supervisors	Wise County Board of	W.D.Va. 2:19 v-00039
			,	Supervisors v.	2:19 <b>©</b> v-00039
				AmerisourceBergen Drug	96
				Corporation, et al.	P Control of the cont
Triba	l (Federal Court l	Pending Transfer to I	MDL)		<u> </u>
488.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Citizen Potawatomi Nation v.	₩EDOkla.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5 <u>₹</u> 9 <b>©</b> v-00955
489.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Purdue	WDD Okla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5.₹9 <del>[€</del> v-00956
490.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma v.	N kla.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
491.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Purdue	WoD⇔Okla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5₫9 <b>₫</b> v-00957
492.	Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. Purdue	N Skla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4 <b>₫</b> 9 <b>&amp;</b> v-00485
493.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town v.	Não Sokla.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4090v-00557

## **Appendix IV**

**Chart of Related Party Actions** 

	D		g, ,	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
C4 4	Related Parties e Actions	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
1.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty. 03-CV-2019-901174
2.	The Purdue Frederick Company	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud. Dist. 3AN-17-09966
3.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. (d/b/a The Purdue Frederick Company); Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., excl.  Preliminary	Super. Ct. Pima Cnty. C20072471
4.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. (d/b/a The Purdue Frederick Company); Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of Arizona, ex regional extension and of the state of the sta	U.S. Supreme Court No. 22O151
5.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge v. Purduck Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pulaski Cnty. 60CV-18-2018

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
6.	Dr. Richard S. Sackler The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	California	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Los Angeles Cnty. Super. Ct. 19STCV19045
7.	MNP Consulting Limited; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Russell Gasdia; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; James David Haddox	AG	Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel.	Dist. Ct. Denver 2018CV33300
8.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Frank Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Mark Timney Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, L.P.; Beacon Company	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut vinjunction and gyzwatawy Anjunction Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  State of Connecticut vinjunction and gyzwatawy Anjunction Pg. 800 Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  District of Columbia Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. NO. X07 HHD-CV-19- 6105325-S
9.	Richard S. Sackler, M.D.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia C. Purdue Pharma L.P., C. P.	Super. Ct. District of Columbia 2019 CA 003680 B
				2	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 1919	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
10.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N19C-09-062 MMJ (CCLD)
11.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings	State of Delaware, ex release Kathy Jennings v. Purduck Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N18C-01-223 MMJ (CCLD)
12.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs	State of Florida, Office of he	Cir. Ct. Pasco Cnty. Case No. 2018-CA-001438
13.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. State of Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A-00060-4
14.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Pharma, L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Guam, Hagatna CV1020-19
15.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Hawaii	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General	State of Hawaii, ex relicolare E. Connors, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P. Connors, and an analysis of the state of Idaho, Through Connors, Attorney General Lawrence	1st Cir. Ct. of Hawaii 09-1-0862-06 JHA
16.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Idaho	State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden	State of Idaho, Through Colored Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden v. Purdue Phagna L.P., et al.	Ada County District Court CV01-19-10061
				3	Z:9∙08:508ain EXobidouitr 31 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
17.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-CH-04406
18.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purdu Pharma L.P., et al.	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct., Civ. Div. 10 49D10-1811-PL-045447
19.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purdus Pharma L.P., et al.  State of Indiana v. Richard Sackler, et. al.  State of Indiana v. Richard Dood 713 757110	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct., Civ. Div. 13 49D13-1905-PL-020498
20.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler	AG	Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa	Miller, Attorney General Language V. Purdue Pharma Language et al.	Polk Cnty. Dist. Ct. EQCE 084514
21.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Kansas	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General	State of Kansas, ex reconstruction Schmidt, Attorney General V. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Shawnee Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-cv-000369
22.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Louisiana	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health	State of LA f/k/a Loui and Dept. of Health v. Pur h	19th Judicial District Court, Parish of East Baton Rouge Suit No. 661638
23.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler	AG	Maine	State of Maine	State of Maine v. Purdine of Pharma L.P., et al.	Kennebec County Superior Court CV-19-112

.0**/20 1:5 0**8:**5 6**2ain Exhaunin Pg 82 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
24.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	Maryland	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General (MD)	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Consumer Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General (Md.) / Office of Administrative Hearings CPD Case No.: 311366 OAH Case No. 1923474
25.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Synderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Russell J. Gasdia	AG	Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  State of Minnesota by Attorney General, Keizyan junction Pg 83 of 275  State of Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  State of Mississippi v. 275  State of Mississippi v. 275	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. C.A. No. 1884-cv-01808 (BLS2)
26.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Minnesota	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison	State of Minnesota by Assortion Attorney General, Keil of Ellison v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.  L.P., et al.  Pg	4th Jud. Dist. Ct., Hennepin Cnty. Court File No. 27-CV-18- 10788
27.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Burdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hinds Cnty. 25CH1:15-cv-001814
				5	3:508ain EXebabolit 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
28.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City 1722-CC10626
29.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Lewis & Clark Cty. ADV-2017-949
30.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKeston Corp, et al.  Preliminary Injunction a	Dist. Ct. Clark County A-19-796755-B
31.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampsh Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2017-CV-00402
32.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampsher H	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2019-CV-00617
33.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	State of New Hampshire Richard S. Sackler, et 2007 Color Purdue Pharma L.P., eparture Purdue Pharma L.P., eparture Pharma L.P., epar	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery. Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-245-17
				6	<b>207:5</b> 108: <b>5</b> 48ain Exciding 84 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
34.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Kathe Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.  State of New Mexico, expl.,	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery. Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-115-19
35.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	Hector Balderas, Attorne	Santa Fe Dist. D-101-CV-201702541
36.	Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attome General v. Richard S. Badeler, et al.  1571	Santa Fe Dist. D-101-CV-201902399
37.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York	The People of the State of He State of New York, by Letitia Fance, Attorney General of the State of New York v. Purdugo Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al.  Pg 8	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016-2018
				7	72: <b>5</b> :08: <b>5:6</b> 8ain Exobábilo 35 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
38.	Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 18-cv-6051
39.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Richard Sackles, et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 19-cv-12596
40.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attendy General v. Purdue Phaema L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Burleigh Cnty. 08-2018-CV-01300
41.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Ohio	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.Pçet	C.P. Ross Cnty 17CI000261
42.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex recelled in F. Rosenblum, Attorned Edition of the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharmacon	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 18CV40526
43.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex restate of F. Rosenblum, Attorned General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.  Pg 86	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV22185
	Thoresa Suchivi,			8	<b>\$2/20/20 7:5</b> 108:5€ Main Exobabilition Pg 86 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
44.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A Sackler Beverly Sackler;	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Cir Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV44161
	Theresa Sackler				Commonwealth of	
45.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Pennsylvania by Attorne General Josh Shapiro v.	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 257-md-19
46.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 508-md-19
47.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	Rico v. Purdue Pharm L	Super. Ct. San Juan SJ2018CV01659
48.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neron Attorney General v. Padde Pharma L.P., et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-18-4555
49.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, By and through Peter F. Neropage Attorney General v. Random S. Sackler, et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-19-9399
50.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	South Carolina	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General	State of South Carolina	C.P. Richland Cnty. 2017CP4004872
				9	General v. Purdue Phasing L.P., et al.  275  Comparison Attorney  Compar	
					E) Abdibility	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
51.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	South Dakota	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Hughes Cnty. 32CIV18-000065
52.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Texas	State of Texas	State of Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77003
53.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler, M.D.; Kathe Sackler, M.D.	AG	Utah	Utah Division of Consumer Protection	In the Matter of Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Division of Consumer Protection of the Department of Commerce of the State of Utah DCP Case No. 107102
54.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Purded Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 757-9-18-CRCV
55.	Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.  S. Sackler, et al.  S. Sackler of Vermont v. Richard Injunction	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 469-5-19-CNCV
56.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring Attorney General v. Park Pharma L.P., et al.  State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
57.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2-25505-0 SEA
58.	Richard Sackler, M.D.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General	Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 19-C-62
59.	Richard S. Sackler	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Plantine Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dane Cnty. 2019CX000009
				10	7:5:08:5:8ain Exobabilit 38 of 275	

			g, ,	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G 11G N 1
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
60.	The Purdue Frederick Company	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex	State of Wyoming, ex rel.	1st Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty.
	Inc.			rel. Bridget Hill,	Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma	190-576
				Attorney General	L.P., et al.	
					L.F., et al.	
Trib	al (MDL)				မှ	
61.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of	Puyallup Tribe of Indians.	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.			Indians	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	1:19-op-45660
					T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Master Case No. 17-md-
					<b>19</b> e	2804
62.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.			the Blackfeet Indian	Blackfeet Indian Reservation	1:18-op-45749
				Reservation	v. AmerisourceBergen	Master Case No. 17-md-
					Corp., et al. ⊇. <b>6</b>	2804
63.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek)	The Muscogee (Creek Nation	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.			Nation	v. Purdue Pharma L.P. e	1:18-op-45459
					75F11ed Injunci	Master Case No. 17-md-
<i>T</i> 11					<u> </u>	2804
	al (State Court)	I m '' 1	0111	T. 1 m 1 0		D G G 11 G
64.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of	Apache Tribe of Oklabing v.	D. Ct. Caddo Cnty.
-	Inc.			Oklahoma	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	CJ-2019-69
	al Government (MDL)	36	MDI	T. 1.0	D 10 1 El 00 45	ND 01
65.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	MDL	Broward County,	Broward County, Flored	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.			Florida	Purdue Pharma L.P.,	1:18-op-45332
					Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
66	The Decides For Josials Comment	Municipality	MDL	Calcall Country	Cabell County Communication	N.D. Ohio
66.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission;	and City of Huntingto	1:17-op-45053 (Cabell)
	Rhodes Technologies, Inc.;			City of Huntington,	Virginia v. Purdue Phænde et	1:17-op-43033 (Caben)
	Richard S. Sackler, M.D.;			West Virginia		N.D. Ohio
	Kathe A. Sackler;			west viigilia	in in incition	1:17-op-45054
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				or <b>O</b>	(Huntington)
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				] \(\frac{1}{2}\)	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				₽₽	2804
	Beverly Sackler;				g 220	2001
	Theresa Sackler;				Z:	
	David A. Sackler				<b>19/14 0/3/20/20 7:5:08</b> Inction Pg 89 of 2	
	1	1	ı	I	<u> </u>	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
67.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	1:17-op-45169
						Master Case No. 17-md-
68.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v.	2804 N.D. Ohio
00.	Inc.	Municipanty	MDL	City of Cleveland	AmerisourceBergen Drug	1:18-op-45132
	me.				Corp., et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-
					Corp., et al.	2804
69.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan,	City of Dothan, Alabama	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.	1 ,		Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et also	1:19-op-45886
					9e	Master Case No. 17-md-
					<u> </u>	2804
70.	Richard Sackler;	Municipality	MDL	City of Henderson,	City of Henderson, Kentucky,	N.D. Ohio
	Beverly Sackler;			Kentucky	on behalf of themselves and	1:20-op-45062
	David Sackler;				all other similarly situ	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan				home rule cities v. Purduc	2804
	Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				글위	
	Theresa Sackler;				l le	
	The Purdue Frederick Company				Cti 유	
	Inc;				n no	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.				ar ar	
71.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula,	The City of Pascagould Mississippi v. Purdue Marma L.P., et al.  City of Struct Florida 2001	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.			Mississippi	Mississippi v. Purdue	1:19-op-45934
					L.P., et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-
						2804
72.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., example	1:20-op-45124
					jur <b>@</b>	Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
73.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita,	The City of Wichita, Kangas	N.D. Ohio
/3.	Inc.	ividilicipality	WIDL	Kansas	v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et l.	1:19-op-45781
				12311040	- <b>Q</b>	Master Case No. 17-md-
					Pg Pg	2804
74.	Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County,	Clinton County Missour	N.D. Ohio
	David A. Sackler;			Missouri	Allergan PLC, et al. O.5	1:20-op-45130
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan				의 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그	Master Case No. 17-md-

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler			(, =,		2804
75.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of the Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	MDL	County of Alameda; City of Costa Mesa; City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Alameda County Counsel Donna Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow, and Anaheim City Attorney Robert Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Leslie Devaney, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	County of Alameda, et al. v. 19.9923899etald DDccd 75.75Filed Filed File	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45055 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				13	1.508:50@inExtractions 1 of 275	
					) Extraction in	

		A 41 TD	a	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
76.	Related Parties  Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler;	Action Type Municipality	MDL	(Last, First)  The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland	Case Caption The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v. Actavis PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
77.	David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	MDL	The County of	The County of Cuyahoga	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.			Cuyahoga, Ohio; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley	C. O'Malley v. Purdue	1:18-op-45332 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
78.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purpue Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45158 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
79.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Summit, Ohio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh and the Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	County of Summit, Oligination and O. Zolzolzolzolzolzolzolzolzolzolzolzolzolzo	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45090 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
					<b>ชน์ 40%/20/207:5</b> บ8 nction Pg 92 of	
				14	1: <b>5</b> :08:548ain EXebridouitr 2 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
80.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45063 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
81.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Preliminary Injunction and ON Allergan PLC, et al.  Alexander City, Alaba Purdue Pharma L.P.:	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45131 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
Loca	al Government (Federal Court Pen					
82.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama		M.D. Ala. 3:19-cv-00630
83.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabam V. Purdue Pharma L.P., Ea	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812
84.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama	City of Brent, Alabam verburdue Pharma L.P., £2	N.D. Ala. 7:19-cv-01565
85.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama	City of Brundidge, Almana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., ell.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00861
86.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	City of Center Point, OR Alabama v. Purdue Pharia L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812

Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Action Type Municipality Municipality	State Alabama	(Last, First) City of Chickasaw,	Case Caption City of Chickasaw, Alabama	Court/Case Number
Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company	1			City of Chickasaw, Alabama	S.D. Ala.
Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality		Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00117
The Purdue Frederick Company		Alabama	City of Eufaula,	City of Eufaula, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
- ·			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00862
T., .	Municipality	Alabama	City of Fairfield,	City of Fairfield, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	2:20-cv-00202
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Geneva,	City of Geneva, Alabama .	M.D. Ala.
Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	1:19-cv-00763
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Headland,	City of Headland, Alabar v.	M.D. Ala.
Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	1:19-cv-00886
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama 🛱	M.D. Ala.
Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	3:19-cv-00885
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., eta	2:20-cv-00201
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Level Plains,	City of Level Plains, Æa ama	M.D. Ala.
Inc.	• •		Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P	1:20-cv-00137
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Linden,	City of Linden, Alabama V	S.D. Ala.
Inc.	1 ,		Alabama		2:20-cv-00129
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Luverne,		M.D. Ala.
Inc.	1 2		Alabama		2:20-cv-00136
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford,		N.D. Ala.
Inc.	1 7				1:19-cv-01401
The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama		City of Pell City, Alabamay.	N.D. Ala.
Inc.	1 7			Purdue Pharma L.P.,	4:20-cv-00203
	Municipality	Alabama		City of Satsuma, Alabanav.	S.D. Ala.
_	1 7			Purdue Pharma L.P.,	1:20-cv-00127
	Municipality	Alabama		City of Uniontown, A habama	S.D. Ala.
- · ·				v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	1:20-cv-00128
	Municipality	Alabama		Coosa County, Alabaria	M.D. Ala.
- ·	y		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2:19-cv-00752
	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County.		M.D. Ala.
1 2	in the state of th	11110 11110			2:19-cv-00753
	Municipality	Alabama			S.D. Ala.
- · ·	in the state of th	111111111111111111111111111111111111111			1:20-cv-00134
	Municipality	Alabama			M.D. Ala.
	y		Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., etc.	1:20-cy-00135
			16	:5008:5√8ain⊞wb 4 of 275	
	Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. T	Inc.   Alabama   Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
105.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00113
106.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County, Alabama	Russell County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 3:19-cv-00646
107.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00135
108.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., etc.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00142
109.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	N.D. Ala. 7:19-ev-01564
110.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	California	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera	The City and County of Shan Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Franciscos Live Attorney Dennis J. Hertely v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. The Colon	N.D. Cal. 3:18-cv-07591
111.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorner Kimberly Hall Barlow Purdue Pharma L.P.  Purdue Pharma L.P.  Pg 95	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02320
				17	: <b>0</b> 72: <b>9</b> 108: <b>5</b> 48ain Exobability 3 95 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
112.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the	City of Fullerton and the	N.D. Cal.
	Company, Inc.;			People of the State of	People of the State of	3:19-cv-02321
	Richard Sackler;			California by and	California by and through	
	Trust for the Benefit of			through Fullerton City	Fullerton City Attorney	
	Members of the Raymond			Attorney Richard D.	Richard D. Jones v. Purdue	
	Sackler Family;			Jones	Pharma L.P. ⊢	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				₽.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				19.99.289.9etdd Pr	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				<b>22</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				))eh	
	Theresa Sackler;				P E	
112	David A. Sackler	3.6 11.	G 1:6 :	C' CI ' 1.1		ND C1
113.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the	City of Irvine and the	N.D. Cal.
	Company, Inc.;			People of the State of	of the State of California by	3:19-cv-02323
	Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of			California by and	and through Irvine Cit	
				through Irvine City	Attorney Jeffrey Melcangev. Purdue Pharma L.P.	
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;			Attorney Jeffrey Melching	Purdue Pharma L.P. $\supseteq \P$	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			Wielening	⊒e Jin	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				cti	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P. Injunction	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				) a.	
	Beverly Sackler;				<b>1.</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				9.00	
	David A. Sackler				15-11ed Fly 14/14/12/12/12/15	

/Editerenters/14/12/20/20/20/2503:58ain Exonatonin Eurofa/27/4 njunction Pg 96 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
114.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente	City of San Clemente and the	N.D. Cal.
	Company, Inc.;			and the People of the	People of the State of	4:19-cv-02326
	Richard Sackler;			State of California by	California, by and through	
	Trust for the Benefit of			and through San	San Clemente City Attorney	
	Members of the Raymond			Clemente City Attorney	Scott C. Smith v. Purdue	
	Sackler Family;			Scott C. Smith	Pharma L.P. ⊢	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<b>6</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				19.9.8289.9etdd	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<u> </u>	
	Beverly Sackler;				9eh	
	Theresa Sackler;				P	
115	David A. Sackler	3.6	G 1'C '			ND C1
115.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and	City of Santa Ana and Prople of the State of E.	N.D. Cal.
	Company, Inc.;			the People of the State		3:19-cv-02324
	Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of			of California, by and	California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney	
	TIMESTOI MIC BOMOMS OF			through Santa Ana City	Sania Ana City Attorney	
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;			Attorney Sonia R.	Dharma I D	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			Carvanio		
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				Cti ĈĤ	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				on <b>9</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				a.	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	
	David A. Sackler				Sonia R. Carvalho v. Pharma L.P.  Pharma L.P.  Pharma L.P.  Pharma L.P.  Pharma L.P.	

生的teleatentene/d.40岁/200/2072-508:58ain Exobatomits And and Anjunction Pg 97 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
116.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02325
117.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donney Ziegler v. Purdue Pharmacution and Qualifornia and Qualiforni	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02307
118.		Municipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware	Delaware; City of Sea municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; and Kapt County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware v. Purdue Pharka L.P., et al.	D. Del. 1:19-cv-01749
				20	17:5:08:5:08:in Excipitor 98 of 275	

			~	<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
119.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v.	M.D. Fla.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00440
120.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	County of Hawai'i v. Purdue	D. Haw.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00581
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<b>⊢</b>	
	Raymond Sackler Family;				9.E	
	Mortimer Sackler Family;				 	
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<b>₩</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				96	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				19. 如 22 28 29. 9 ed did D D cod 7 25 7 5 Filed	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				ore	
	Beverly Sackler;				 	
	Theresa Sackler;				≅.6	
	David A. Sackler;				17 na	
	Stuart D. Baker;				\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	
	Trust for the Benefit of				75 In	
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family				ed	
121.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a	County of Kaua'i, a politial	D. Haw.
	Inc.;	/Class Action		political subdivision of	subdivision of the State of	1:19-cv-00377
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;			the State of Hawaii, for	Hawaii, for themselve	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			themselves individually,	individually, and on beautof	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;			and on behalf of all	all similarly situated p	
	Raymond Sackler Family;			similarly situated	and on behalf of the general public, as a class v. C	
	Mortimer Sackler Family;			persons, and on behalf	public, as a class v. C	
	Richard S. Sackler;			of the general public, as	Health Corporation, et	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			a class		
	Kathe A. Sackler;				]: <b>6</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				ln 餐	
	Beverly Sackler;				Xi: <b>14</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				n nc	
	David A. Sackler;				720	
	Stuart D. Baker;				P <sub>(</sub>	
	Trust for the Benefit of				) G	
	Members of the Raymond				public, as a class v. Composition of the Health Corporation, egg/4njunction Pg 99 of	
	Sackler Family				<u>့ မိ</u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
122.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14521
123.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Louisiana	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et 2.	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14516
124.	The Purdue Frederick Company inc.	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine v. Purdue Pharmal P., et al.	D. Me. 2:19-cv-00373
125.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of Maine	Knox County, State of Mane, individually, and on bear of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Me. 2:19-cv-00371
126.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Mary Ind v. Purdue Pharma L.P., &	D. Md. 1:19-cv-02116
127.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of Harrison	Charter Township of Pareson v. The Pain Center USA, B PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11681
128.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	The Pain Center USA	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11685
129.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The Take Center USA, PLLC, estable	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11687
130.	Richard S. Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Camden County, Missouri	Camden County, Missautev. Williams et al.	E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-02930
131.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.	Municipality	Missouri	St. Francois County	St. Francois County v D nie E. Williams, M.D., et	E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-01722
132.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	Clark County	Clark County v. Purdure Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Nev. 2:19-cv-01616
				22	<b>0</b> 7:508:508ain田Xobabilit 100 of 275	
					n EXencibrity	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
133.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Municipality	New	Carroll County	Carroll County v. Teva	D. N.H.
	Jonathan Sackler;		Hampshire		Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	1:19-cv-01097
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				al.	
	David Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<u> </u>	
	Beverly Sackler;				ļ je	
	Theresa Sackler;				<b>9</b>	
	Cecil Pickett;				123	
	Paulo Costa;					
	Ralph Snyderman;				<u> </u>	
	Frank Boer;				т <u>ё</u>	
	Judy Lewent;				d Yre	
	John Stewart;				in the second of the second	
	Craig Landau;				] ni 6	
	Mark Timney;				ਸ਼ <u>ੈ</u>	
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Trust for the Benefit of				ln <u>.</u> 75	
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;				lec	
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.				l io id	
134.		Municipality	New	Coos County	Coos County v. Teva	D. N.H.
	Jonathan Sackler;		Hampshire		Pharmaceuticals USA in et	1:19-cv-01095
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				al.	
	David Sackler;				<b>}</b>	
	Kathe Sackler;				0.00	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				ing te	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Cecil Pickett;					
	Paulo Costa;				lnc <b>X</b>	
	Ralph Snyderman;				) ii	
	Frank Boer;				D 62	
	Judy Lewent;				<b>1 2 2</b>	
	John Stewart;				P.	
	Craig Landau;				<b>20</b> 20	
	Mark Timney;				te t	
	Russell Gasdia;				<b>6</b> 1	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Capuon	Court/Case Number
135.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Burlington, NJ	County of Burlington, NJ v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 1.  Preliminary Injunction Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 1.  Cumberland County von Pardue Pharma L.P., et al. 1.  Cumberland County von Pardue Pharma L.P., et al. 1.  Cumberland County von Pardue Pharma L.P., et al. 1.  Cumberland County von Pardue Pharma L.P., et al. 1.  Cumberland County von Pardue Pharma L.P., et al. 1.  Cumberland County von Pardue Pharma L.P., et al. 1.	D.N.J. 1:19-cv-13684
136.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County von Purdue Pharma L.P., er about 1	D. N.J. 1:19-cv-19134
137.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	I ownship of Brick v. Hingule	D. N.J. 3:19-cv-17998
				24	Pharma Inc., et al.  /Duntairy714junction Pg 102 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
138.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;	Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D.N.Y. 1:19-cv-00896
	Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler;				19.9	
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;				)82894 1	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				Preli	
	Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				19-9-82899-eldid DDcc4 75 Preliminary	
139.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purgiunction and gy 20/20/20 te tend to 20/20/20/20 Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al.  Pg 1	E.D.N.Y. 2:18-cv-03800
				25	71.508:5. Beain Exception 1.03 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
140.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Ogdensburg	The City of Ogdensburg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-98289196dd DDood 75 75  Preliminary Injury	N.D.N.Y 8:19-ev-00782
141.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality / Class Action	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkee individually, and on beautiful of all others similarly siting v. Purdue Pharma L.P. and v. Purdue Pha	S.D.N.Y. 7:19-ev-06800
				26	2 <b>0</b> 7: <b>5</b> 108: <b>5</b> 48ain Exoboloitr 3 104 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
142.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	City of Rochester	City of Rochester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19.982899etdd DDcd 75.75F1  Preliminary Injur	W.D.N.Y. 6:19-cv-06490
143.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Stion and 20/20/20/20 to End eved 40/20/20/20/20 v. Purdue Pharma L.P.P. and gy/20/20/20/4/14junction Pg 1	N.D.N.Y. 1:19-cv-00789
				27	7:5:108:5:58ain Exonobolity 05 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
144.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette,	The County of Fayette, Ohio;	S.D. Ohio
144.	Inc.	Withincipanity	Ollio	Ohio;	The State of Ohio ex rel.	2:19-cv-04347
	me.			The State of Ohio ex rel.	Prosecuting Attorney of	2.17-64-04547
				Prosecuting Attorney of	Fayette County, Jess Weade	
				Fayette County, Jess	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
				Weade		
145.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	E.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Atoka	6:19-cv-00279
				Atoka County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	
146.	1 2	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	L.P., et al.  Board of County  Commissioners of Caddoll	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Cadelo	5:19-cv-00710
				Caddo County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	
147.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	L.P., et al.  Board of County  Commissioners of Cirear on	W.D. Okla.
				Commissioners of	Commissioners of Cinharton	5:19-cv-00776
				Cimarron County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
1.40	TI D 1 F 1 1 C	3.6 1.	01.1.1	D 1 CC 4	L.P., et al.  Board of County  Commissioners of Con	E.D. Okla.
148.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Coal	Board of County 5	
	Inc.				Commissioners of Coar S	6:19-cv-00405
				County	County v. Purdue Pha	
149.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Poord of County	W.D. Okla.
149.	Inc.	Municipanty	Oktanonia	Commissioners of	Commissioners of Great All	5:19-cv-00703
	me.			Grady County	County v. Durdue Pho	3.19-64-00/03
				Grady County	I P et al	
150.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	E.D. Okla.
150.	Inc.	withinespanity	Oktanoma	Commissioners of	Commissioners of Harren	6:19-cv-00280
				Haskell County	County v. Purdue Pha	0.13 0. 00200
				114621011 0042109	L.P., et al.	
151.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	County v. Purdue Phaghadle L.P., et al.  Board of County Commissioners of Gracket L.P., et al.  Board of County Commissioners of Hasket County v. Purdue Phaghadle L.P., et al.  Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County v. Purdue Phaghadle County v. Purdue Phaghadle County v. Purdue Phaghadle County v. Purdue Phaghadle	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Jackson	5:19-cv-01108
				Jackson County	County v. I didde I haima	
					L.P., et al.	
152.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Jeffers	5:19-cv-00721
				Jefferson County	County v. Purdue Pha	
					L.P., et al.	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
153.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00884
154.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer. County v. Purdue Pharman	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00282
155.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County	L.P., et al.  Board of County Commissioners of LeFlow County v. Purdue Pharm L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00362
156.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County	L.P., et al.  Board of County Commissioners of Linguist County v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.  Board of County	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01109
157.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County	Commissioners of Lognor	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00984
158.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Love County	Board of County County v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.  Board of County County v. Purdue Pharman	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00320
159.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Major County	Board of County Commissioners of Magnetic County v. Purdue Phate L.P., et al.  Board of County Commissioners of Okins are	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00879
160.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County	Board of County Commissioners of Okins are County v. Purdue Pharmace L.P., et al. Board of County	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00300
161.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County	Board of County Superior Commissioners of Oklahorha County v. Purdue Pharmas L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00926
				29	7:5:08:5:0ain Exonabilit 07 of 275	
					n Extractioning	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
162.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
102.	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of	5:19-cv-00880
				Pottawatomie County	Pottawatomie County v.	
					Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
163.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.	1 3		Commissioners of	Commissioners of Texas.	5:19-cv-00987
				Texas County	County v. Purdue Pharm	
					L.P., et al.	
164.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	County v. Purdue Pharms L.P., et al.  Board of County Commissioners of Woods	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Wood	5:19-cv-00878
				Woods County	County v. Purdue Pharm	
					L.P., et al.	
165.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Wood Fard County v. Purdue Pharmac L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-01110
				Woodward County	County v. Purdue Pha	
					L.P., et al.	
166.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdee	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			-	Pharma L.P., et al. $\Box$ .	5:19-cv-00815
167.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Pur	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00804
168.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. P\(\overline{Q}\)rd\(\overline{Q}\)	W.D. Okla.
					Pharma L.P., et al. 🗓 🕏	5:19-cv-00816
169.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdu	N.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.  City of Seminole v. Publis	4:19-cv-00380
170.	The Purdue Fredick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	City of Seminole v. Puzil	E.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-00291
171.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Pulling	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00711
					<u>章</u>	
					S €	
					<u>C. <b>%</b></u>	
					ior 🏖	
					20	
					Ρ̈́Ě	
					10	
					@ <b>છ</b>	
					D8 of	
					27 27	
				30	ပၢိ <u>န</u> ာ	
					inE	
					City of Shawnee v. Pulling 74 (1920/20/207:51)8:56 (275) Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P. (275) Pg 108 of 275	

	Deleted Deutles	A ation True	Chaha	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Core Contion	Court/Case Number
172.	Related Parties  The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State Pennsylvania	(Last, First) Adams County	Case Caption Adams County v. Purdue	E.D. Pa.
1/2.	1 2	Municipanty	Pennsyivama	Adams County	Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-04438
	Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-00-04438
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				19	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				į	
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				1 35	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				_ e	
	Trust for the Benefit of				19-ഇജ്ജ്ജ്ജ്ജ്ജ് Preliminary	
	Members of the Raymond				l ≌ D	
	Sackler Family;				]. <b>2</b>	
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				na na	
	Stuart D. Baker				.ry	
173.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown,	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania v. AmerisourceBergen Dauge	E.D. Pa.
	Inc.	1 2		Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania v.	5:19-cv-03884
				, and the second	AmerisourceBergen Drug	
					Corp., et al.  County of Angelina v.  Allergan PLC, et al.  County of Burleson v.  Pharma I. P. et al.	
174.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v. 2	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Allergan PLC, et al.	4:19-cv-03590
175.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	County of Burleson volume	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.					4:19-xc-03845
176.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	County of Duval v. Purcharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02504
177.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v $\underline{\omega}$	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., e	4:19-cv-03983
178.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., e a	4:19-cv-02816
179.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Firele	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al. ⊃ 🐼	4:19-cv-02815
180.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson v.	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., &	4:19-cv-03299
181.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	I Ellis County v. Purduæ→ 🗢	S.D Tex.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02256

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
182.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02181
183.		Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.  Preliminal L.T., et al.  Amherst County, Virginia v.  Botetourt County, Virginia v.  Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	W.D. Va. 6:19-cv-00077
184.		Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia	Botetourt County, Virging v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et av Injunction and Oxozo (2017)  Charlotte County, Virging v.  Purdue Pharma L. P. 600	W.D. Va. 7:19-ev-00759
185.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virgin V. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	W.D. Va. 4:19-cv-00029
86.		Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virg	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00513
187.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg Virginia v. Purdue Phang L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00457
				32	<b>20/20 2:5:0</b> 8: <b>5:6</b> ain Extractioning Pg 110 of 275	

	D.I. A. J.D. a.C.	A -42 TD	GL 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Com Conform	Compalication N
188.	Related Parties  The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler;	Action Type Municipality	State Virginia	(Last, First) City of Portsmouth	Case Caption City of Portsmouth v. McKesson Corporation, et al.	E.D. Va. 2:19-cv-00331
	Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler;				City of Radford v. Purduct	
	David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				28	
189.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purduch Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 7:19-cv-00525
190.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro, Virginia	The City of Waynesbood 75 75-11ed Filed Filed A02/20/20/20 L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 5:19-cv-00058
191.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Culpeper County	Culpeper County, Virging V. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	W.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00037
				33	Culpeper County, Virginate V. Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma L.	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
192.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Cumberland County	Cumberland County, Virginia	W.D. Va.
	Inc.;				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-00054
	Richard Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan				Ë	
	Sackler;				0.00 P	
	Kathe Sackler;				19-9828949eldd DDcd: Prelimina	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa				<b>₩</b>	
	Sackler;				<u>%</u>	
	John Stewart;				<b>e</b> ch	
	Mark Timney;				P. E.	
	Craig Landau;				<u>e</u> _	
	Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				i j	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.				in:	
193.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Greensville County	Greensville County, Virginia	E.D. Va.
193.	Inc.	Municipality	virginia	Greensville County	v. Purdue Pharma L.P, = t. d.	3:19-cv-00459
194.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Loudoun County	Loudoun County, Virginia.v.	E.D. Va.
194.	Inc.	Municipanty	Viigiilia	Loudoun County	Purdue Pharma L.P., eQa	1:19-cv-00778
195.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Patrick County		W.D. Va.
193.	Inc.;	Municipanty	Viigilia	1 atrick County	Purdue Pharma I P et a	4:19-cv-00032
	Richard Sackler;				Turdue Triarma L.T., Char	4.19-64-00032
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;				MA PA	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan				<u> </u>	
	Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;				afing ite	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa				<u> </u>	
	Sackler;				1,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	John Stewart;				lnc 🛣	
	Mark Timney;				) <b>(1</b>	
	Craig Landau;				D 20	
	Russell Gasdia;				Į Ž	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.				Patrick County, Virging Purdue Pharma L.P., eanegy 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	
196.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Prince George County	Prince George County	E.D. Va.
	Inc.					3:19-cv-00458
					L.P., et al.	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
197.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County, Virginia	W.D. Va.
	Inc.;			_	v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00056
	Richard Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan				4	
	Sackler;				939	
	Kathe Sackler;				<b>9</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa				<u> </u>	
	Sackler;				<b>\tilde{\tilie}\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde</b>	
	John Stewart;				9e	
	Mark Timney;				₽.	
	Craig Landau;				re l	
	Russell Gasdia;				lin Oa	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				l lið	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.				Wise County Board of In	
198.	1 2	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of	Wise County Board of	W.D. Va.
	Inc.			Supervisors	Supervisors v.	2:19-cv-00039
					AmerisourceBergen Drug	
					Supervisors v. AmerisourceBergen Daugu Corporation, et al. COP	
	al (Federal Court Pending Transfe		T	1		
199.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi	Citizen Potawatomi Nati v.	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Nation	Purdue Pharma L.P., e	5:19-cv-00955
200.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Pural	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00956
201.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of	Pawnee Nation of Oklana	N.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Oklahoma	v. Purdue Pharma L.P	4:19-cv-00556
202.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Recupe	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00957
203.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. Extrape	N.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00485
204.	1 2	Tribal	Oklahoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town.	N.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Town	Purdue Pharma L.P., e Pa	4:19-cv-00557
	pital, Individual & Third Party Pay				T 20	
205.		Hospital	Alabama	The DCH Health Care	The DCH Health Car	S.D. Ala.
	Company, Inc.;			Authority;	Authority; The Healthear	1:19-cv-00756
	Richard Sackler;			The Healthcare	Authority for Baptist Leagn,	
	Beverly Sackler;			Authority for Baptist	an affiliate of UAB Health	

	DI CID C	A 4° (T)	G4 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David Sackler;			Health, an affiliate of	System; Medical West	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;			UAB Health System;	Hospital Authority, and	
	Jonathan Sackler;			Medical West Hospital	affiliate of UAB Health	
	Kathe Sackler;			Authority, an affiliate of	System; Evergreen Medical	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			UAB Health System;	Center, LLC; Gilliard Health	
	Theresa Sackler;			Evergreen Medical	Services, Inc.; Crestwood_	
	John Stewart;			Center, LLC; Gilliard	Healthcare, L.P.; Triad o	
	Mark Timney;			Health Services, Inc.;	Alabama, LLC; QHG of	
	Craig Landau;			Crestwood Healthcare,	Enterprise, Inc.; Affinity	
	Russell Gasdia;			L.P.;	Hospital, LLC; Gadsden 🔀	
	Joe Coggins;			Triad of Alabama, LLC;	Regional Medical Center	
	Lyndsie Fowler;			QHG of Enterprise, Inc.;	LLC; Foley Hospital 🗖 💆	
	Mitchell "Chip" Fisher;			Affinity Hospital, LLC;	Corporation; The Heath Care	
	Rebecca Sterling;			Gadsden Regional	Authority of Clarke Cante,	
	Vanessa Weatherspoon;			Medical Center, LLC;	Alabama; BBH PBM€ Ia C;	
	Chris Hargrave;			Foley Hospital	BBH, WBMC, LLC; BBA	
	Brandon Hassenfuss;			Corporation;	SBMC, LLC; BBH CBMC	
	Joe Read			The Health Care	LLC; BBH BMC, LL 5v 7	
				Authority of Clarke	Purdue Pharma, et al. netion analyz	
				County, Alabama;	nc .	
				BBH PBMC, LLC;	tic 异	
				BBH, WBMC, LLC;	i i	
				BBH SBMC, LLC;	   ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ੇ	
				BBH CBMC, LLC;		
				BBH BMC, LLC	J. 200	
206.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Hospital	Florida	West Volusia Hospital	West Volusia Hospita	M.D. Fla.
200.	Inc.	Hospital	Tiorida	Authority	Authority v. Purdua Plan	6:19-cv-01765
	me.			Authority	L.P., et al.	0.19-64-01/03
207.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Hospital	Kentucky	Bowling Green-Warren	Dowling Groon Worred	W.D. Ky.
207.	Inc.;	Hospital	Kentucky	County Community	Bowling Green-Warre County Community House	1:19-cv-00148
	Richard Sackler;			Hospital Corporation;	County Community Hespan	1.19-60-00146
	,				Corporation; The Medical	
	Beverly Sackler;			The Medical Center at	Center at Clinton County, Inc.;	
	David Sackler;			Clinton County, Inc.;	Clinton County, Inc.;	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan			The Medical Center at	Medical Center at	
	Sackler;			Franklin, Inc.;	Franklin, Inc.; Arh Tug	
	Kathe Sackler;			Arh Tug Valley Health	Valley Health Services, I.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa			Services, Inc. f/k/a	f/k/a Highlands Hospital	
	Sackler;			Highlands Hospital	Corporation; Baptist	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Tessa Rios; Amy K. Thompson			Corporation; Baptist Healthcare System, Inc.; Baptist Health Madisonville, Inc.; Baptist Health Richmond, Inc.; Grayson County Hospital Foundation, Inc.; The Harrison Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc.; St. Claire Medical Center, Inc.; Taylor County Hospital District Health Facilities Corporation	Healthcare System, Inc.; Baptist Health Madisonville, Inc.; Baptist Health Richmond, Inc.; Grayson County Hospital Foundation, Inc.; The Harrison Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc.; St. Claire Medical Center, Inc.; St. Claire Medical Center, Inc.; and Taylor County Hospital District Health Facilities Corporation v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.  Thomas Hickey v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Gary Carr, individuall passion next friend and on behalf of	
208.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Individual	Massachusetts	Hickey, Thomas	Thomas Hickey v. Pundu@ Pharma L.P., et al. On an an an an analy 20/20/1	D. Mass. 1:19-cv-11806
209.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Wrongful Death	Mississippi	Carr, Gary	all wrongful death	N.D. Miss. 3:19-ev-00246
				37	deceased v. Charles Effection Pg 115 of 275  Pg 115 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Tyme	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
210.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Third Party	Pennsylvania	I-Kare Treatment	I-Kare Treatment Center,	E.D. Pa.
210.	Inc.;	Payor	remisyrvama	Center, LLC	LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2:19-cv-03899
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;	T ayor		Center, LLC	et al.	2.19-64-03899
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				ct al.	
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				19	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<u>.</u>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				8	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Theresa Sackler;				F Color	
	David A. Sackler;				organia di di	
	Trust for the Benefit of				<u></u>	
	Members of the Raymond				19.99.2899.9eldd DDcd 7.5 Preliminary	
	Sackler Family;				าล <u>คื</u>	
	Stuart D. Baker				7.5	
211.	Richard Sackler;	Third Party	Pennsylvania	Public Service	Public Service Insura	E.D. Pa.
	Jonathan Sackler;	Payor	, in the second	Insurance Company	Company v. Janssen 🖺 🗓	2:19-cv-05904
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				Pharmaceuticals et al. \( \overline{\Omega} \)	
	David Sackler;				E G	
	Kathe Sackler;				n &	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				144 106	
	Beverly Sackler;				(Second	
	Theresa Sackler;				<b>1</b> 000	
	Trust for the Benefit of				E 2	
	Members of the Raymond				<u>a</u>	
	Sackler Family;				(S) (E)	
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				71 <b>(</b> D)	
	Stuart D. Baker				<u> </u>	
212.	Richard Sackler;	Individual	Rhode Island	Heden, Keith D.	Keith D. Heden v. Pureu	D. R.I.
	Mortimer David Alfons Sackler;				Pharmaceuticals LLC, 3t	2:19-cv-00586
	The Sackler Family;				_ ∑∑	
	Russell J. Gasdia;				<b>2</b> 0 F	
212	Craig Landau	Cl A . t'	T	D1 . 1 D	Public Service Insurant Post Incompany v. Janssen Pharmaceuticals et al. Ction and gyblishotis 74 june 1974 june 197	MDT
213.		Class Action	Tennessee	Rhodes, Roger;	Roger Khodes, Anthony	M.D. Tenn.
	Richard S. Sackler, M.D.;			Silvers, Anthony;	Silvers, and Lea Anne	3:19-cv-00885
	Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan D.			Spradlen, Lea Anne	Spradlen, on behalf of	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
21/	Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler				themselves and all others similarly situated v. Rhodes Technologies, Inc., et al.	
214	I. The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Andrew T. Stokes	Hospital	Tennessee	Takoma Regional Hospital, Inc. f/k/a Takoma Hospital, Inc.; Amisub (SFH), Inc.; Baptist Womens Health Center, LLC; Campbell County Hma, LLC; Clarksville Health System, G.P.; Cleveland Tennessee Hospital Company, LLC; Cocke County Hma, LLC; Dickenson Community Hospital; Hawkins County Memorial Hospital f/k/a Hawkins County Memorial Hospital; Jefferson County HMA, LLC; Johnston Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Lebanon HMA, LLC f/k/a Lebanon HMA, Inc.; Lexington Hospital Corporation; Metro Knoxville HMA, LLC; Mountain States Health	Takoma Regional Hospital. Inc. f/k/a/ Takoma Hospital. Inc., et al., v. Purdue Pha. Preliminary Injunction analy volumentally preliminary Injunction analy volumentally 7.4 junction Pg 117 of the preliminary Injunction analy volumentally 7.4 junction Pg 117 of the preliminary Injunction analy volumentally 7.5 junction Pg 117 of the preliminary Injunction analy volumentally 7.5 junction Pg 117 of the preliminary Injunction analy volumentally 7.5 junction Pg 117 of the preliminary Injunction analy volumentally 7.5 junction Pg 117 of the preliminary Injunction analy volumentally 7.5 junction Pg 117 of the preliminary Injunction analy volumentally 7.5 junction Pg 117 of the preliminary Injunction Pg 117 of the	E.D. Tenn. 2:19-ev-00157

			<b>a.</b> .	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		aa
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Alliance f/k/a Johnson City Medical Center Hospital, Inc.; Northeast Tennessee Community Health Centers, Inc.; Norton Community Hospital, Saint Francis Hospital — Bartlett, Inc. f/k/a Tenet Health System Bartlett, Inc.; Shelbyville Hospital Company, LLC f/k/a Shelbyville Hospital Corporation; Smyth County Community Hospital; Tullahoma HMA, LLC f/k/a Tullahoma HMA, Inc.; Wellmont Health System F/K/A Brmc/Hvhmc, Inc.	19.982899eddd DDod 75.75-11edFUN day Preliminary Injunction a man of K. R. Tilley, as minor of K. R. Tilley, a minor of K	
215.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome ("NAS")	West Virginia	Tilley, Mary	Mary Tilley, as next fire of K.B. Tilley, a minor of the length of the l	S.D. W. Va. 2:19-cv-00566

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
216.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Individual	Wisconsin	Petty, Larry, Sr.;	Larry Petty, Sr., et al. v.	W.D. Wisc.
210.	Inc.;	marviadar	Wisconsin	Petty, Elizabeth	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:20-cv-00078
	Richard Sackler			1 city, Enzabeth	Turdue Tharma E.T., et al.	3.20-67-00078
Loca	al Government (State Court)					
217.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan	Mohave Cnty. Super. Ct.
217.	Inc.;	- Training painty	THIZOIL	Buillieur Sity	PLC, et al.	S8015cv201900591
	Richard Sackler;				[ 120, 0, u.i. [p	5001361201700371
	Theresa Sackler;				<b>(</b>	
	Kathe Sackler;				23	
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				150	
	Beverly Sackler;				T DE	
	David Sackler;				ore ld	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				Ĭ÷Q	
218.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Alteron	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Inc.;				PLC, et al.	Case No. CV2019-010792
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				75 In	
	Kathe Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan Sackler;				nct lec	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				らって	
	Beverly Sackler;				n 👼	
	David Sackler;				<b>2.4</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				වන ව	
219.	The Purdue	Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescott	City of Prescott v. All	Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Frederick Company, Inc.;				PLC, et al.	P1300cv201900393
	Richard Sackler;				<u>S</u>	
	Theresa Sackler;				(£)	
	Kathe Sackler;				1 対し	
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly				l □ □ E	
	Sackler;				<b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	
	David Sackler;				) <b>Dy</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al.  City of Glendale v. Allergan PLC, et al.  City of Prescott v. Allergan PLC, et al.  City of Prescott v. Allergan PLC, et al.	

0/207:508:58ain Exhabito Pg 119 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
220.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Inc.;				PLC, et al.	Case No. CV2019-003439
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				ļ <del>o</del>	
	Beverly Sackler;				19-98288	
	David Sackler;				[ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
221.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. All	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Inc.;				PLC, et al.	Case No.
	Richard Sackler;				d re	S0100cv201900101
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;				l i i i	
	Jonathan Sackler;				<b>4.</b> 7	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<b>□</b> ✓ <b>□</b>	
	Beverly Sackler;				ln <u>i</u> ,75	
	David Sackler;				rdd Dood 73.75-11ec Preliminary Injunct	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
222.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Ader an	La Paz Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Inc.;				PLC, et al.	Case No.
	Richard Sackler;				14.	S1500cv201900053
	Theresa Sackler;				Q Z	
	Kathe Sackler;				<u>7</u> 20	
	Jonathan Sackler;				[5] (F)	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Beverly Sackler;				₩ <u>₩</u>	
	David Sackler;				71.44 144	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
223.		Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	County of La Paz v. American PLC, et al.  PLC, et al.  Pinal County v. Allerstion Pg 120 of PLC, et al.	Sup. Ct. Pinal Cnty.
	Theresa Sackler;				PLC, et al.	S1100CV201901448
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				P9	
	Beverly Sackler;				_ <b>. . .</b>	
	David Sackler;				20.5 20.5	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				i j	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				County of Little River;		
				County of Logan;		
				County of Lonoke;		
				County of Madison;		
				County of Miller;		
				County of Mississippi;	<u> </u>	
				County of Monroe;	įΘ	
				County of Montgomery;	8	
				County of Ouachita;		
				County of Perry;	<b>\times</b>	
				County of Phillips;	9e	
				County of Pike;	₽₫	
				County of Poinsett;	re l	
				County of Polk;		
				County of Pope;	l Ii 💆	
				County of Prairie;	11 ar	
				County of Randolph;	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
				County of St. Francis;	]	
				County of Saline;	l n ≟	
				County of Scott;	cti ed	
				County of Searcy;	의 주를	
				County of Sebastian;	a <b>e</b>	
				County of Sevier; County of Sharp;	na .40	
				County of Stone;	\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
				County of Union;	<b>1</b> 20,	
				County of Van Buren;		
				County of Washington;	) Definition of the control of the c	
				County of Washington, County of White;	%  6	
				County of Winte; County of Woodruff;	<u></u> <u> </u>	
				County of Yell;	u n	
				County of Carroll;	<u> </u>	
				County of Newton;	or <b>A</b>	
				County of Cleveland;	19.982899etwid DDccd 75.75Filed的的位为20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	
				City of Little Rock;	υĘ	
				City of Fort Smith;	0. S	
				City of Springdale;	12 12	
L				City of Jonesboro;	2 6	
					)	
				44	72.5.108:5.6Bain Extraction in 122 of 275	
				<del>'1'1</del>	an ai	
					ģ	
					<u> </u>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Related Farties	Action Type	State	City of North Little Rock; City of Conway; City of Rogers; City of Pine Bluff; City of Bentonville; City of Hot Springs; City of Benton; City of Texarkana; City of Sherwood; City of Jacksonville; City of Monticello	City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney (1992)	Cour y Case Number
225.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Memebers of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez	Olivarez v. Purdue Pharma	El Monte Cnty. Super. Ct. 19STCV10532
				45	75-11edF0149/12/12/12/10	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
226.		Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	City of Santa Ana, et al. v. Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al.  19-982899etaid DDcd 75-75-11edFlad DDcd 75-75-1	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty. 30-2019-01101802
227.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Memebers of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison	County of Kern, and Technology People of the State of County Coun	Kern Cnty. Super. Ct. BCV-19-100861
				46	7:5±08:556€ainEXobidoitr 24 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
228.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	California	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker v. Purdue Pharage L.P., et al.	Orange County Super. Ct. 30-2014-00725287-CU-BT-CXC (Short version: 14-725287)
229.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Ansonia; The City of Danbury; The City of Derby; The City of Norwalk	The City of Ansonia, The City of Danbury, The City of Derby, and The City of Norwalk v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
230.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Bridgeport; The Borough of Naugatuck; The Town of Southbury; The Town of Woodbury; The Town of Fairfield; The Town of Beacon Falls; The City of Milford; The Town of Oxford; The City of West Haven; The Town of North Haven; The Town of Thomaston; The City of Bristol; The Covn of East	The City of Bridgeport of Bridgeport of Bridgeport of Bridgeport of Bridgeport of AUS/20/20/2015 of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. and and authority 7.4 junction Pg 125 of the Bridge of the Bri	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6088462-S

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Actuated I at ties	Action Type	State	Hartford; The Town of Southington; The Town of Newtown; The City of Shelton; The Town of Tolland	Cust Capuon	Court Case Number
231.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v. Pullue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6087132-S
232.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Haven	Pharma L.P., et al.  City of New Haven v. Public Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-17-6086134-S
233.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New London	Pharma L.P., et al.  The City of New London Purdue Pharma L.P., etal	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094421-S
234.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Waterbury	City of Waterbury v Brdue	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-17-6088121-S
235.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Stratford; The Town of Berlin; The Town of Middlebury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Wolcott; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Coventry	Pharma L.P., et al.  The Town of Stratford; The Town of Berlin; The Town of Middlebury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Wolcott; The Town of Wolcott; The Town of Wolcott; The Town of Wolcott; The Town of Roxbury; and The Town of Coventry v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Town of Wallingford of Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  The City of Burbank with the Purdue Pharma L.P., example The City of Country in the City of C	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
236.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford D Purdue Pharma L.P., e	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094422-S
237.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Burbank	The City of Burbank VE De Purdue Pharma L.P., e	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018L012659
238.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Countryside	The City of Countrysie Purdue Pharma L.P., e a	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018L012640
239.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois	City of Granite City, Illings v. AmerisourceBerger Corp., et al.	Cir. Ct. Madison Cnty. 2018-L-00587 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-010351
				48	71.508:508ain Exhibility 26 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
240.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-L-008147
241.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake;	County of Lake, et al. v.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.			Michael Nerheim, Lake	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-003728
				County State's		
				Attorney;	<u> </u>	
				Mark C. Curran, Jr.,	<u> </u>	
				Lake County Sheriff;	88	
				Dr. Howard Cooper,	<u> </u>	
				Lake County Coroner;	<u> </u>	
				The County of Lake in	96	
				the Name of the People	₽₫	
				of the State of Illinois	19.982899endid E	
242.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State	The People of the States Illinois and Boone Country	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty.
	Inc.			of Illinois and Boone	Illinois and Boone Comit	2018-L-000007
				County, Illinois	Illinois v. Purdue Phar a ☐	
					L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
					Inje	2018-L-004539
243.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State	The People of the Stat	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.			of Illinois, and Bureau	Illinois and Bureau Connag,	2018-L-004542
				County, Illinois	Illinois v. Purdue Phar	
					L.P., et al.	
244.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State	The People of the State	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty.
	Inc.			of Illinois and	Illinois and Champaigo	2018-L-000006
				Champaign County,	County, Illinois v. Pur	
				Illinois	Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
					County, Illinois v. Purtha Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-005935
245.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State	The People of the Stateof	Cir. Ct. Cook County
	Inc.			of Illinois, and Cook	Illinois and Cook Cou	2017-L-013180
				County, Illinois	Illinois v. Purdue Phairia	
					L.P., et al.	
246.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State	L.P., et al.  The People of the State of	Cir. Ct. DeKalb Cnty.
	Inc.			of Illinois, and DeKalb	Illinois, and DeKalb Cousty,	2018-L-000072
				County, Illinois	Illinois v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
					<u> </u>	2018-L-013655
					2:5:08:5:0ain Extraction in 27 of 275	
					of Di	
					2: 4	
				49	75 🕷	
					Ē.	
					₽	
					<del>ĝ.</del>	
					<b>堂</b> .	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
247.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
248.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharmate L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Henry Cnty. 2018-L-000016 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012690
249.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Jersey County, Illinois	Illinois, and Jersey County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharmal L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003908
250.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the States of Illinois and Kane County of Illinois v. Purdue Phate of L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
251.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kankakee County	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kankakee County, Illinois v. Pur Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
252.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois	The People of the State of	Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018-L-000078 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012741
					Illinois, and Kendall (1927), Illinois v. Purdue Pharmatic L.P., et al.  L.P., et al.  Adjunction Pg 12	
				50	2 <b>0/207:5</b> :08:5:0ain Extrainin Pg 128 of 275	
					) Exercise interview	

	Deleted Deutles	A office Town	04-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Cose Contin	Count/Cons N
253.	Related Parties  The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	Action Type  Municipality	State Illinois	(Last, First)  The People of the State of Illinois, and LaSalle County	Case Caption  The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. LaSalle Cnty. 2019-L-000052 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008722
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Sutart D. Baker				19.908288996mid DDccd Z5.	
254.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the State of Hillinois, and Macon County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma P. L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002916
255.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of County, Illinois v. Pure Pharma L.P., et al.  The People of the State of County Illinois v. Pure of County Illinois v. Pure of County Illinois, and McHenry of County Illinois v. Pure of The People of the State of County Illinois v. Pure of The People of the State of The People of The People of The State of The People of The People of The State of The People of Th	Cir. Ct. Macoupin Cnty. 2018-L-000030 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013247
256.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry of County, Illinois v. Pur	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002948
				51	County, Illinois v. Puradipute (20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	
					Exchaito	

	Deleted Doubles	A ation True	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Coss Contion	Count/Cogo Number
257.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State Illinois	(Last, First) The People of the State	Case Caption The People of the State of	Court/Case Number Cir. Ct. McLean Cnty.
237.	Inc.;	Municipanty	IIIIIIOIS	of Illinois, and McLean	Illinois and McLean County,	2019-L-0000108
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			County, Illinois	Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	2019-L-0000108
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;			County, minors	et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;				et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				19	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				9	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				82	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				9	
	David A. Sackler;				_ <del>c</del>	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				Pro	
	of the Raymond Sackler				E≕D	
	Family;				⊒. <b>2</b>	
	Sutart D. Baker				19.90828899elmld DDcd. Prelimina	
258.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State	The People of the State of	Cir. Ct. Piatt Cnty.
	Inc.	1 7		of Illinois, and Piatt	Illinois, and Piatt County	2018-L-000007
				County, Illinois	Illinois v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
					Illinois v. Purdue Phasal L.P., et al.	2018-L-012689
259.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County Illinois v. Purdue Pharman L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.	1 ,		of Illinois, and Will	Illinois, and Will County	2018-L-004546
				County, Illinois	Illinois v. Purdue Pha	
					L.P., et al.	
260.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bedford Park	Village of Bedford Parks Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., 🕰a 🗗	2018-L-008819
261.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview. 🖸	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., e	2018-L-009526
262.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Evergreen	Village of Evergreen Eir	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.			Park	Purdue Pharma L.P., Rate	2018-L-012652
263.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	Village of Hodgkins vo	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., e₽a🔯	2018-L-009848
264.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Lyons	Village of Lyons v. Purdus	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-008746
265.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Summit	Village of Summit v. Pur ee	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-008803

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
266.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; David Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Anne Arundel Cnty. C-02-CV-18-000021
267.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore	Dod 15.75≕11edRinary Injunctio	Cir. Ct. Baltimore City 25C1800515
268.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston; The Boston Public Health Commission; The Boston Housing Authority	City of Boston, The Boston Public Health Commission The Boston Housing Authority v. Purdue Phornea L.P., et al. City of Cambridge v. English	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 1884CV02860B
269.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	City of Cambridge v. The Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma Pharma Pharma L.P.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 19-1044
				53	72: <b>5:</b> 08: <b>5:6</b> 8ain <b>EXobidosit</b> 31 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
070	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
270.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Chicopee	City of Chicopee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 1979CV00074
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				266	
271.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v. Purdue Pharma L.P., etal	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., eta	18-3483
	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler;				re≣ D	
	Kathe Sackler;				mi e	
	Jonathan Sackler;				nar	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				у <u>п</u>	
	Beverly Sackler; David Sackler;				를 뛰	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				llec nct	
272.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	d DDcd 7里75年11ed區)地位心型(20/20/20/2072072072072072072072072072072072072072	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
	Inc. Richard Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	1877CV01773
	Theresa Sackler;				10 A)5	
	Kathe Sackler;				\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
	Jonathan Sackler;				20)/E	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler;				ide in the state of the state o	
	David Sackler;				17. E	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				ate Aju	
					nc:	
					2/22	
					<b>⊉</b> /⊉ Pg	
					<b>0</b> 7: 13	
					2 <b>5</b> C H	
					)6:4 2:4	
				54	75	
					Ē	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
273.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Haverhill	City of Haverhill v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01762A
	David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				288	
274.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Salem	City of Salem v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  City of Worcester v. Pordiac	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01767A
275.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Worcester	City of Worcester v. Pign and AVIS/20/20/20/20 refeot 6	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. No. 1984CV000543

146/140/12/12/07/12/07:15/13:15/8ain Extraction Pg 133 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
276.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Town of Canton v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-1582
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				19-98289	
	Beverly Sackler;				( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	
	David Sackler;				<b>2</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
277.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Pur	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01769D
	Richard Sackler;				re	
	Theresa Sackler;				lin S	
	Kathe Sackler;				l ii g	
	Jonathan Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Beverly Sackler;				Injunct	
	David Sackler;				h 글	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				c <u>e</u>	
278.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Natick v. Purou	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	19-646
	Richard Sackler;				14. Inte	
	Theresa Sackler;				\\ \frac{\dagger}{\dagger} \text{ \text{\tin}\exiting{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\texitt{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\texit{\te	
	Kathe Sackler;				<b>1</b> 20	
	Jonathan Sackler;				B3 (2)/2	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				Town of Natick v. Puron analy 20/20/20/20 te rent of Natick v. Puron analy 20/20/20/20 te rent of Natick v. Puron analy 20/20/20 te rent of Natick v. Puron analy 20/20/20 te rent of Natick v. Puron of Na	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;				77 60	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					

nction Pg 134 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
279.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler;	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  11 12 13 15 17 18 18 18 18 19 18 19 18 18 18 19 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 1982CV00400
280.	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Purdue Pharma L.P., etalled Doc 27	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 18-938
281.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts		Y Injunction and Wakefield v. and Wakefi	
282.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missour V. Allergan PLC, et al. Pg 135	Cir. Ct. Polk Cnty. 1922-CC11660

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
283.		Municipality	Missouri	Jefferson County; Butler County; Cape Girardeau County; Christian County; City of Independence; City of Joplin; Crawford County; Dent County; Dunklin County; Franklin County; Greene County; Iron County; Jasper County; Madison County; Perry County; Ste. Genevieve County; Stone County; Taney County; Texas County; Washington County	Jefferson County, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-982899etdd DDoc 75-11e  Preliminary Injunc	22nd Judicial Circuit Court, St. Louis City 1922-CC00203
284.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	City of Henderson v. Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma D.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800695-B Dept. 11
				58	2 <b>0</b> 7: <b>5</b> 108: <b>5</b> 8ain Exobability 3 136 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
285.	1 2	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark
	Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	Cnty.
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer					A-19-800697-B
	D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;					Dept. 27
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David					
	A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler;				H	
	Theresa Sackler; PLP				F6	
	Associates Holdings L.P.;				) 2	
	Rosebay Medical Company				236	
	L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B.				<u> </u>	
	Maxsam				90	
286.	1 7	Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegas	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., egai.	Cnty.
	Richard S. Sackler;				l lim Si	A-19-800699-B
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				jið	Dept. 11
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				Dood 75	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				]. Z	
	David A. Sackler;				l n 및	
	Beverly Sackler;				ed cti	
	Theresa Sackler;				우 프	
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;				1 a	
	Rosebay Medical Company				<u>n</u> e [40	
	L.P.;				<u> </u>	
	Beacon Company;				<u> </u>	
207	Aida B. Maxsam	3.5 1.1 11	27 1	G! 07	y Injunction and Oxobenesis 75-11ed FUND to LEGIT 1971.  City of Reno v. Purdught 1971.  Pharma L.P., et al.	
287.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purdu	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al. 🔊 🕰	Washoe Cnty.
	Aida B. Maxsam				건말	CV18-01895

edite 4/14/13/12/10/12/10 71:5-08:5-68a in Extra bits 14 junction Pg 137 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
288.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty. MER-L001167-19
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler;				County of Ocean, New Jersey	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler;				289	
	David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				:9etat	
289.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, New	County of Ocean, New Jersey	Super. Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty.
	Inc.;			Jersey	v. Purdue Pharma L.Pinogo.	OCN-L-001474-19
	Richard S. Sackler;				l i g	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				17 ar	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				]. <u> </u>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				l an 말	
	Beverly Sackler;				<u>Ci.ed</u>	
	Theresa Sackler;				75FiledRiv Injunction	
	David A. Sackler;				1 a	
	Trust for the Benefit of				ne (40)	
	Members of the Raymond				JS 20	
	Sackler Family;				<b>103</b> 0.	
	Rhodes Techologies, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker				75-11ed円M0403/20/20/20teien Injunction aneg/b&7ntarg71	

	Related Parties	Action Two	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
290.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Action Type  Municipality	New York	City of Albany	City of Albany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19.982899etdld DDccd Prelimina	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2019
291.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	City of Alma, GA; Village of Amityville, NY; Town of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Bacon County, GA; City of Bayonne, NJ; Village of Bellport, NY; City of Blackshear, GA; Town of Brookhaven, NY; City of Brunswick, GA; Chatham County, GA; Town of Clarkstown, NY; City of Clifton, NJ; Town of Clinton, NJ; Town of Clinton, NJ; Dade County, GA; City of Demorest, GA; East Hampton Village,	7.5Elled Filed 402/20/20 Tem terent 6/4/14/20/20/20 7:5ch try Hajunction and gy bashtar 3/7.4 junction Pg 139 of Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400031/2019

			<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			NY;		
			Village of East		
			Rockaway, NY;		
			City of Elizabeth, NJ;		
			Village of Farmingdale,		
			NY;	<b>L</b>	
			Village of Floral Park,	9.5	
			NY;	<b>1</b>	
			Village of Garden City,	<b> </b>	
			NY;		
			Village of Greenport,	<b>9</b> 0	
			NY;	D €	
			Town of Haverstraw,	d -	
			NY;		
			Town of Hempstead,	   ∃i <b>ĕ</b>	
			NY;	<b>17</b>	
			Town of Huntington,	<u> </u>	
			NY;	7 <b>5</b> 1	
			Village of Island Park,	n 길	
			NY;	cti.	
			Village of Islandia, NY;	우 프	
			Town of Islip, NY;	1 a	
			Village of Lake Grove,	na (4)	
			NY;	\rightarrow \right	
			Village of Lawrence,	<b>10</b>	
			NY; Village of Lindenhurst,	P 19	
			NY;	<b>E E E</b>	
			Village of Lloyd	P	
			Harbor, NY;	<u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> 1	
			City of Long Beach,	ur (p)	
			NY;	1ct	
			Village of Lynbrook,	ii <b>-</b>	
			NY;		
			Village of Massapequa	19-ഇജ്ജ്ങളിൽ DDccd 75-75-11edHik/d എടു/20/മ്തു terent മുംഗ്ര എടു/20/20 7:5മ Preliminary Injunction and ഗ്രാമിനേഷ് മു7ക്junction Pg 140 o	
			Park, NY;	D	
			Village of Mill Neck,	14	
			NY;	မြောင်	
			111,	<del>└                                    </del>	

			<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Village of Millerton,		
			NY;		
			Village of New Hyde		
			Park, NY;		
			Village of Nissequoge,		
			NY;	<b>P</b>	
			Town of North	9.6	
			Hempstead, NY;	) 2	
			Village of Northport,	22	
			NY;		
			Village of Old	9et	
			Westbury, NY;	_₽ <b>@</b>	
			Town of Orangetown,	re i	
			NY;	l iii <b>D</b>	
			Town of Oyster Bay,		
			NY;	ar)	
			Borough of Paramus, NJ;	<u> </u>	
			Village of Patchogue,	નું ધ્ર	
			NY;	l in ≅	
			Pierce County, GA;		
			City of Pooler, GA;		
			Village of Poquott, NY;	ar <b>2</b> 4	
			Village of Port		
			Washington North, NY;		
			City of Richmond Hill,	 <b>₩</b> 0	
			GA;		
			Town of Riverhead,	19-ഇജ്ജ്ങളിൽ DDccd 75-75-11edRikb/1എടു/20/ഇതു terentരുക്വിഎടു/20/207207:5ന Preliminary Injunction and ഗ്രീഫിൻദ്യേ7ക്junction Pg 141 o	
			NY;	   \( \frac{1}{2} \)	
			Village of Saltaire, NY;	 <u>₽</u> : <u>P</u> E	
			Town of Smithtown,		
			NY;	Cti.	
			Town of Southampton,	on 🖭	
			NY;	l 👸	
			Town of Southold, NY;	₽ <b>Q</b>	
			Village of Stewart	9 2	
			Manor, NY;	[4.2]	
			Village of Suffern, NY;	1 0	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Village of Valley Stream, NY; Village of the Branch, NY; Town of Wappinger, NY; Village of Wappinger Falls, NY; Village of West Hampton Dunes, NY; Village of West Haverstraw, NY; Village of Westbury, NY; Village of Hempstead, NY	The City of Buffalo v. Pushue Pharma L.P., et al.	
292.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	The City of Buffalo	The City of Buffalo v. Puga 75-11ed Filed	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 811359-2019
				64	75-11ed FUNDA DEAL DEAL ENGLINE FOR DEAL DEAL DEAL DEAL DEAL DEAL DEAL DEAL	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
293.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19.9.228996dd DDcd 75.75F11edF11edF11edF1266v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400002/2018
294.		Municipality	New York	City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Version V.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016/2019
				65	The City of Mount Very Purdue Pharma L.P., Engle Engle Pharma L.P., Engle Engle Pharma L.P., Engle Engle Pharma L.P., Engle Pha	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
295.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400006/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				1:	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				 	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				2	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				19-982899eldd DDcd 75 Preliminary	
	Beverly Sackler;				Per	
	Theresa Sackler;				P. E.	
	David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;				<u>ei</u> _	
	Trust for the Benefit of				l ii <b>ŏ</b>	
	Members of the Raymond				in <b>D</b>	
	Sackler Family				Z.Z.	
206	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Diottsburgh v. Turdio	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
290.	Inc.;	Municipanty	New Tolk	City of Flattsburgh	Pharma I P et al	400003/2019
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					400003/2017
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				l tic 유	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				an <b>X</b>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				ite	
	Theresa Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of				ln 🛣	
	Members of the Raymond				Stic Stick	
	Sackler Family;				City of Plattsburgh v. Heijunction and g/D#3/20/20/20 Temperature in the result of the	
	Stuart D. Baker				1/02	

**0**/2**0 1:5 10** 8:**5** Cain Exhabitin

	D.L. ID. d	A 49 (E)	g, ,	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
297.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400005/2019
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				19.90.823899.9chdd	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) <b>&amp;</b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				236	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<u> </u>	
	Beverly Sackler;				9e	
	Theresa Sackler;				₽₽	
	David A. Sackler;				re	
	Trust for the Benefit of				lin 8	
	Members of the Raymond				l ii g	
	Sackler Family;				<b>17</b>	
	Stuart D. Baker				dd DDcd Z马 Preliminary I	
298.	1 2	Municipality	New York	City of Troy	City of Troy v. Purdu 🔁 🔀	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400006/2019
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				ed Cti	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				9 2	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				l a <del>E</del>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				14.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				<del>                                    </del>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				[ <u>St</u>	
	Beverly Sackler;				[ <u>a</u>	
	Theresa Sackler;				74.4	
	David A. Sackler;				ji <b>e</b>	
	Trust for the Benefit of				n <b>₹</b>	
	Members of the Raymond				11: <b>12.</b> 14: 14: 14: 14: 14: 14: 14: 14: 14: 14:	
	Sackler Family;				City of Troy v. Purdue In City of Troy v. Pu	
	Stuart D. Baker				<b>/2</b>	

10/207:9:08:58ain Exhabition 
Pg 145 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Tyme	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
299.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	New York	City of Yonkers	City of Yonkers v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
233.	Inc.;	Municipanty	New Tork	City of Tollkers	Pharma L.P., et al.	400020/2019
	The P.F. Laboratories;				Tharma E.T., et al.	400020/2017
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;				L-7	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				<u> </u>	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				9	
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;				228	
	Richard S. Sackler;				<b>9</b>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<del>9</del> e	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				₽Ē	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				19-ഇജ്ജ്ജ്വാർയിർ DDccd 7月75FiledRik Preliminary Injunction	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				l in <b>B</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				<b>17</b>	
	David A. Sackler; Stuart D.				<u> </u>	
	Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard				75. Inji	
	S. Sackler, and Jonathan D.				n 길	
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust				cti.	
	Agreement dated November 5,				의 골	
300.	1974 The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	wild DDccd 715175Filed Hill (200720/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
300.	Inc.;	Municipanty	New Tork	County of Broome	Pharma I P et al	400002/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					400002/2017
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				<u>₩</u> .0	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				Definite	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<u>₽</u> . <u>₽</u> .	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				nu.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				n ପ୍ର	
	Theresa Sackler;				<b>[</b>	
	David A. Sackler;				P.	
	Stuart D. Baker;				<u>]</u> 1	
	Trust for the Benefit of				4(	
	Members of the Raymond					

		–		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler Family					
301.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400027/2019
302.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400013/2019
303.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Chautauqua	The County of Chautauqua v.	Sup. Ct. Chautauqua Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	KI-2018-57
304.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Chenango	The County of Chenango.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	400021/2019
305.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Clinton	The County of Clinton v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	400003/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;				P 🖶	
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;				rel	
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;				i ji <b>g</b>	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				ar.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<u> </u>	
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;				]: <b>5</b>	
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;				l	
	Richard S. Sackler;				edi	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				유무	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) a	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				ne [40	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				\frac{1}{2} \frac\	
	Beverly Sackler;				20	
	Theresa Sackler;				E 129	
	David A. Sackler;				<b>A D E</b>	
	Stuart D. Baker;				dd DDood 75.75FiledRika/മം/മുമ്മ0/ഇതുന്ലേട്ടുൻക്കുർ എള Preliminary Injunction anelg/മർഗ്രേഷ്യു74junction	
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.				74 44	
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.				ļu ģ	
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust				nc:	
	Agreement dated November 5,				lio <b>1</b>	
	1974				<b>∑</b>	

2/20/207:5:58:58:58:in Exhibition Pg 147 of 275

	Deleted Death	A - 4° T	G4-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Come Counting	Carrell Carre Name Lan
206	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
306.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400015/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				19	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				) 9.E	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) <b>&amp;</b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				28	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				19-ഇജ്ജ്ജ്ജ്ജില്യ്d DDcd 75 Preliminary	
	Beverly Sackler;				96	
	Theresa Sackler;				₽₽	
	David A. Sackler;				re l	
	Stuart D. Baker;				l ii	
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond				₫7	
	Sackler Family oratories Inc.				ं च	
307.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Cortland	County of Cortland v. <b>Burg</b> ue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al. $\subseteq \square$	400019/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				ed	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				<u> </u>	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				 	
	Richard S. Sackler;				14.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				(OF	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<b>1 2 2 3</b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				<del> </del>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<u> </u>	
	Beverly Sackler;				l Sate	
	Theresa Sackler;				 	
	David A. Sackler;				l ±in	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond				n C	
	Sackler Family				County of Cortland v. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma E.P., et al.	

20/207:508:56ain Exhabition Pg 148 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
808.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality  Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-982899et Dood 75-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2017
	Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				DDcd 75	
309.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	Estable V. Purgiunction energy 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400003/2017
310.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Essex	Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400019/2019
				71	<b>Z:5:0</b> 8: <b>5:6</b> ain <b>Exobábili</b> 49 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
311.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P. Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D.	Action Type  Municipality	State New York	(Last, First)  County of Franklin	County of Franklin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Court/Case Number  Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400012/2018
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust				a a	
	Agreement dated November 5, 1974					
312.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Fulton	### DDccd 7575Filed的#A 402020/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400018/2018

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
313.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Genesee	County of Genesee v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400011/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;				4	
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;				į <del>Θ</del>	
	Pharmaceutical Research				96	
	Associates, Inc.;				[ S	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<b>9</b> 6	
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;				_ F <b>E</b>	
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;				ore	
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u></u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				ni 6	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				ਸ਼ 🖰	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				7 25	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<u>ln</u> 75	
	Beverly Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Theresa Sackler;				lec	
	David A. Sackler;				i ii i	
	Stuart D. Baker;				n 👼	
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.				<b>10.4</b>	
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.				විනි	
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust				<b>S R</b>	
	Agreement dated November 5,				2/2	
	1974					
314.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Rando	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400008/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				ln (	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				1	
	Richard S. Sackler;				) n C	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Į	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				P <sub>C</sub>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				) 1	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				5, 1	
	Beverly Sackler;				19.998288996ddd DDccd 75.75F11edF0146/14078/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	

			g	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G 1/G N 1
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family				<u> </u>	
315.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Hamilton	County of Hamilton v. Puglue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400005/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;				<u>&amp;</u>	
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;				9922899etdd DDcd 75 75FiledHi	
	Pharmaceutical Research				96	
	Associates, Inc.;				₽₽	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				re i	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				l lin b	
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;				l lið	
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;				17 ar	
	Richard S. Sackler;				\ <u>\</u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				 	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				등 끝	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				ed	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<u> </u>	
	Beverly Sackler;				) e	
	Theresa Sackler;				1.4.	
	David A. Sackler;				DDccd 75-75-11ed円kb/14/15/120/空间telente	
	Stuart D. Baker;				<u>)</u>	
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.				5/2	
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.				  } 	
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust				[ <b>Ş</b> €	
	Agreement dated November 5,				<b> </b>	
	1974				▎	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
316.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19.982899etdd DDcd.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400008/2019
317.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	County of Lewis	County of Lewis v. Pullington and ONZO / Emit energy Tall unction and ONZO / Emit energy Tall unction and ONZO / Emit energy Tall unction Popular Popular Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2019
318.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Livingston	County of Livingston Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2018
319.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Madison	County of Madison v. The ue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400028/2019

		A 4	Gt 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
220	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
320.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400017/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				9.00 P	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				)28	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				96	
	Theresa Sackler;				19-9-828949eldd DDcd 75 Preliminary	
	David A. Sackler;				re I	
	Stuart D. Baker;				D <b>D</b> ©d 75	
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond				17 ar	
	Sackler Family				<u> </u>	
321.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Montgomery	The County of Montgon	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				v. Purdue Pharma L.P ⊆et l.	400009/2019
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				ed	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				<u> </u>	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				l 8	
	Richard S. Sackler;				14.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				\$ <b>\$</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<b>1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				E3 )/2	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				T	
	Beverly Sackler;				The County of Montgiunction and gybbantary 74 junction and gybbantary 74 junction	
	Theresa Sackler;				74 60	
	David A. Sackler;				]   	
	Stuart D. Baker;				n <del>E</del>	
	Trust for the Benefit of				†ic <b>Q</b>	
	Members of the Raymond				) n	
	Sackler Family				<u> </u>	

**0/20 7:5** 08:5 68 in Exhabition Pg 154 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
322.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust	Action Type  Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) County of Nassau	County of Nassau v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County 400008/2017
	Agreement dated November 5,				d4.	
323.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	Betald DDの4 75.75年iled形成4の記憶の/型がも底は色球の4の窓/20/207:5つ。 Preliminary Injunction andの単数4ntが37本junction Pg 155 of Niagara v. Pharma L.P., et al.  County of Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400012/2017

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.				P	
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.				9.5	
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust				19-98289	
	Agreement dated November 5,				1	
	1974					
324.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Ontario	The County of Ontario v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., eta	400001-2019
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				Н	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				l lin 8	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				D <b>D</b> ©ɗ <b>፲</b> 5 eliminary	
	Richard S. Sackler;				1.7 ar	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				75   nji	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				티 등 실	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				ed	
	Beverly Sackler;				75年11ed积k Injunction	
	Theresa Sackler;				] a <b>E</b>	
	David A. Sackler;				'5=1led円Md40名(20/五面 njunction andg/五番句な	
	Stuart D. Baker;				Q 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
	Trust for the Benefit of				<b>1</b> 200	
1	Members of the Raymond				EF )/2	
	Sackler Family				l	

hte Eenter401405/20/20725⊅8:548ain EXobabin bdr≱74ajunction Pg 156 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
325.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-9828996dd Dood 75 Preliminary	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2017
326.	Sackler Family  The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;  The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Page Pharma L.P., et al.  County of Otsego v. Page 1.  County of Otsego v. Page 2.  County of Otsego v. Page 2.  County of Otsego v. Page 2.  County of Putnam v. Page 2.  County of Putnam v. Page 2.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2018
327.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Otsego	County of Otsego v. Purche Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400023/2019
328.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Putnam	County of Putnam v. Put Re Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2019

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
329.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	County of Rensselaer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011/2017
				80	19-ഇമ്മുള്ളിലെൽ വെട്ടെ Injunction മാളിറ്റ്മ്മ്ര്യമ്മ്യ്മ്മ് ക്രിക്ക്മുമ്മ് മുട്ട് മുട് മു	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type				
330.		Action Type  Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) County of Saratoga	Case Caption County of Saratoga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-982899etaid DDod 75-11e Preliminary Injunc	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400009/2018
331.	Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974 The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenetzda	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
331.	Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Prumorpanty	New TOIR	County of Schenectady	Preliminary Injunction and 公司及公里的tenentered 少克仅仅20亿分为的, Preliminary Injunction and 公司的, Pounty of Schenectad Day Anjunction Pg 159 of Purdue Pharma L.P.,	400009/2017

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
332.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400010/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;				မှ	
	Pharmaceutical Research				<b>9</b>	
	Associates, Inc.;				83	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<u>\$</u>	
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;				) red	
	Richard S. Sackler;				l ⊟ D	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				∃. <b>2</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				ne od	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Ty Zi	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				147 ' Ir	
	Beverly Sackler;				를 뛰	
	Theresa Sackler;				n <b>il</b> e	
	David A. Sackler;				는 사람이 다른 사람이 되었다.	
	Stuart D. Baker;				n O	
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.				ar <b>3</b> 0	
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.				10 A	
	Sackler, and Johannan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust				Q. 22	
	Agreement dated November 5,				<u> </u>	
	1974				<b>E 1</b>	
222	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Soburiles	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19.982899etald DDccd ZE 75FiledRived DBccd ZE 75FiledRived D	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
333.		iviunicipality	new York	County of Schuyler	Dhamas I D at al	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2018
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400014/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;				ır 🍎	
	PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research				1ct	
					:i: <b>[</b>	
	Associates, Inc.;				_	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				20 F	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				D <sub>c</sub>	
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;				10.	
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;				Pharma L.P., et al. 7/14junction Pg 160 of	
	Richard S. Sackler;				هَ قَ	

		A 42 T	G4. t	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
334.	Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	Action Type  Municipality	New York	(Last, First)  County of Seneca	19-99紀2899elmid 日知の1里75年Iled形は40紀20/2011年底向t 678/2012012018:58ain田xbabiir Prelliminary Injunction and 20120016016797相junction Pg 161 of 275  County of Seneca v. Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2017
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				Jed形构化如宏定0/空间tel语nite nction andg/b&ontalg/74ju	
				83	から <b>4 少名/2 0/20 7:5</b> 108: <b>5</b> 8 名前 日)め Inction Pg 161 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
335.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of St. Lawrence	County of St. Lawrence v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County 400002/2019
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				19-9-828949etwid DDood 75.7 Preliminary lu	
336.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D.	Municipality	New York	County of Steuben	ZIST REFINE OF THE COUNTY OF Steuben v. Pharma L.P., et al.  County of Steuben and Orden and Orden Police of Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400004/2018

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Capuon	Court/Case Number
	Agreement dated November 5,					
	1974					
337.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400001/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;				H	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				ි වි	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				 	
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u>}</u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<u>%</u>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) Ek	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.  19.98288496 did Dood 75.75-11ed By 19.02.6  Preliminary Injunction and your Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<u>e</u> _	
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;				in BA	
	Stuart D. Baker;				Z. Zi	
	Trust for the Benefit of				137 	
	Members of the Raymond				글 뛰	
	Sackler Family				nc lle	
338.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	County of Sullivan v. Euratue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400007/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;				<b>10.</b>	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				   වැනි	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<b>1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3</b>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				622 622	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<u>a</u>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				[S] [e]	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				ji <b>f</b>	
	Beverly Sackler;				nc:	
	Theresa Sackler;				tio <b>14</b>	
	David A. Sackler;				_ ∑	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of				D <sub>C</sub>	
	Members of the Raymond				Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Sackler Family				υ ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
339.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	400022/2019
340.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	County of Tompkins v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400001/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;				ь	
	Pharmaceutical Research				9,5	
	Associates, Inc.;				19-9/8289/9eldd DDod 75 Preliminary	
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;				22	
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;				<b>\times</b>	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				9e	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P.;				7₫	
	Richard S. Sackler;				re l	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				≣; ⊱	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				D <b>D</b> ©d <b>7.5</b> eliminary	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				75   Taji	
	Theresa Sackler;				등 실	
	David A. Sackler;				ed	
	Stuart D. Baker;				<u> </u>	
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.				75-11edFU16/10/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.				14	
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust				O. B. C.	
	Agreement dated November 5,				<b>)</b>	
	1974					

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
341.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Ulster	County of Ulster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-923899etdd DDod 15- Preliminary	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011-2019
2.12	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family		N. V. I	G. CW.	mid DDod II 7	
342.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.  The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;  The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;  Rhodes Technologies Inc.;  Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;  Richard S. Sackler;  Jonathan D. Sackler;  Mortimer D.A. Sackler;  Kathe A. Sackler;  Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;  Beverly Sackler;  Theresa Sackler;  David A. Sackler;  Stuart D. Baker;  Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality  Municipality	New York  New York	County of Warren  County of Washington	County of Warren v. Pirche Pharma L.P., et al. County of Washington and Oxide Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400030/2019 Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2019
				87	Z:59108:55&Bain EXobolobility 65 of 275	

	Deleted Deuties	Action Tyme	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Coss Contion	Court/Case Number
344.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	New York	(Last, First) County of Westchester	Case Caption County of Westchester v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
344.	Inc.;	Municipanty	New York	County of westenester	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400010/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;				Turdue Friarma L.I., et al.	400010/2018
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				19	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<b>\</b>	
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;				8	
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				- First	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				ore ld	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				₩.□	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				Ti. <b>2</b>	
	Beverly Sackler;				na na	
	Theresa Sackler;				7.5	
	David A. Sackler;				In 75	
	Stuart D. Baker;				<u> </u>	
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.				lec	
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.				百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百百	
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust				n &	
	Agreement dated November 5,				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	1974				ල් වැනි	
345.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Wyoming	County of Wyoming 🙀 🎘	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P.,	400013/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				( <u>\$</u>	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				19.99紀2884986 ddd DDccd 7里75年11ed形成4分220/20/20/2072072072072072072072072072072072072072	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				nc 🔂	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				_@	
	Beverly Sackler;				<b>N</b> 2	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;				066 11:55	
	Stuart D. Baker;					

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
346.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Amherst	Town of Amherst v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803887-2018
347.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Cheektowaga	Town of Cheektowaga v.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 806151-2018
348.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Lancaster	Town of Lancaster v. Puralle Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 809160-2018
349.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Tonawanda	Pharma L.P., et al.  Town of Tonawanda v.  Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 810783-2018
350.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York	The Town of Wapping New York v. Purdue Rharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-54549
351.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York	The Village of Wapping R Falls, New York v. Purluck Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-53838
352.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson	The County of Medin (County) of Medina County, S. Followship Thompson v. Purdue Riaman L.P., et al.	C.P. Medina Cnty. 19-CIV-0838
353.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County	Board of County	D. Ct. Cleveland Cnty. CJ-2019-592
354.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County	County v. Purdue Pharman  L.P., et al.  Board of County Commissioners of Great County v. Purdue Pharman  L.P., et al.  Board of County County v. Purdue Pharman  L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Greer Cnty. CJ-2019-12
355.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County	Commissioners of Hugher County v. Purdue Pharma	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty. CJ-2019-36
				89	07:908:548ain Excident	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
356.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty. CJ-2019-54
357.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County v. Purdue Pharm L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Noble Cnty. CJ-2019-05
358.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	City of Burns Flat v. Purde Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Washita Cnty. CJ-2019-29
359.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County	Pharma L.P., et al.  Armstrong County, PA von Purdue Pharma L.P., etal	C.P. Armstrong Cty. 2017-1570-CV
360.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Penns vania	C.P. Beaver Cnty 11326-2017
361.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bedford County	v. Purdue Pharma L.P. light Pharma L.P., et al.  Bedford County v. Purnary Injunction and Oxocontensy 7.4. junction and Oxocon	C.P. Bedford Cnty. 180-2020
362.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purd Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. No. 2018-03144
363.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cambria Cnty 2017-4131
				90	7:5∕08:5√8ain Exobabilit 68 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
364.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  199828990000 Pro	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 1126-2018
365.	-	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia vining	C.P. Philadelphia January Term, 2018 No. 02718
366.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Pittshurgh v Prouse	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006153
367.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania	Pharma L.P., et al. D. G. Plarma L.P., et al. D. G. Plarma L.P., et al. D. G. Pharma L.P., et al. D. G. Plarma L.P., et al. D. C. Plarma L.P., et al	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2018-1484-CD
368.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purque Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 752-2018
369.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner	by and through Philadeppea District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner v. Purdue Phagna	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2017-008095 Phila. Ct. Com. Pl., January Term 2018, No. 05594
370.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	L.P., et al.  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by a life through John T. Adams District Attorney of Broken County v.  AmerisourceBergen Drugel Corp., et al.	C.P. Berks Cnty. 19-18232
				91	<b>07:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 0Bain Exobability 169 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
371.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th Jud. Dist. 2019-CV-7795-CV
372.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the County v. AmerisourceBergen Dail	C.P. Erie Cnty., 6th Jud. Dist. 12837-19
373.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA	Commonwealth of PA deng by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA v. Purdue Thama	C.P. Lehigh Cnty. 2018-C-0716
374.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County	L.P., et al.  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting and through Jack Stollsteiner The District Attorney of Data Care County v.  AmerisourceBergen	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2020-002026
375.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County	County v.  AmerisourceBergen  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting the total through Matthew D.  Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks Country  AmerisourceBergen District Corp., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2020-00639
376.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny A D Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006155
377.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford versus ue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bradford Cnty. 2018-CV-0059
				92	<b>ℤ:9</b> Ω8: <b>5</b> &Bain EXobabin 70 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
378.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Carbon	County of Carbon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Carbon Cnty. No. 18-0990
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				19.982894	
	Rhodes Perhologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family, The P.F. Laboratories, Inc., Stuart D. Baker				19.9)228349ekdd DDccd 7.5 Preliminary	
379.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Parche Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clarion Cnty. 285-CD-2018
380.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberlan  Purdue Pharma L.P., e	C.P. Cumberland 2018-02147
381.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purque Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty. 11577-18
382.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Favette v. Pordile	C.P. Fayette County 2017-2676
383.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	Pharma L.P., et al.  County of Greene v. Pharma L.P., et al.  County of Monroe v. Pharma L.P.	C.P. Greene Cnty. 791-2017
384.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Portue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Monroe Cnty. 3972-CV-18
385.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Puzzluzo	C.P. Tioga Cnty. 563-CV-2018
386.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	Pharma L.P., et al. County of Washington Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Washington Cnty. 2017-6268
387.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Westmoreland	County of Westmoreland Purdue Pharma L.P.,	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty. 2017-5975
388.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Pundu	C.P. York Cnty. 2017-SU-003372
				93	Pharma L.P., et al. 71508:568 in Exemple 1	
					∩ EXbicibilit	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
389.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty. 2018-CV-716
390.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19.982889666 DDcd 75.75F1 Preliminary Injun	C.P. Delaware Cnty. No. 2017-008095
391.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	F 11' C D 51.0	C.P. Franklin Cnty. 2019-2445
392.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, a Pennsylvania v. Purdug Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Lackawanna Cnty. 17-cv-5156
393.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	Pharma L.P., et al.  Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania v. Purdua Pharma L.P., et al.  Lawrence County, Pennsylvania v. Purdua Pharma L.P., et al.  Mahoning Township Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Mercer County v. Purdua Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty. 11180-2017
394.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180603466
395.	The Purdue Frederick Company inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purdie	C.P. Mercer Cnty. 2018-1596
396.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of Norristown and The Township of West Norriton	The Municipality of Norristown and The Township of West Norritor v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	C.P. Montgomery Cnty. 2019-12178
397.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	l	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2019-03043
				94	Newtown Township vp 12:500 Purdue Pharma L.P., et 10:500 Purdue Ph	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
398.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton	People of Northampton	C.P. Northampton County
	Inc.			County and	County and Northampton	C48-cv-2017-11557
				Northampton County,	County, PA v. Purdue Pharma	
				PA	L.P., et al.	
399.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue	C.P. Pike Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 602-2018
400.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa.	Schuylkill County, Pa. v.	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	S-1241-18
401.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Publue	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	July Term 2018 No. 01963
402.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Pharma L.P., et al.  Warrington Township v.	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., etg.	2019-04956
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;				====================================	
	Jonathan Sackler;				₫ Z nar	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				D <b>D</b> @d 7/5/75F1led  eliminary Injunct	
	Beverly Sackler;				l	
	David Sackler;				독卫	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt				l ct	
403.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	City of Charleston v. Parde	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al. 💆 💆	2019-CP-10-04294
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				1.4.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				Q 200	
	P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				<u>/b</u> :	
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;				[5] E	
	Beth Taylor;				<u> </u>	
	Mark Waldrop;					
	Michael Madden;				l	
	Wendy Kay;				1 <b>(4)</b>	
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				P	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<b>0/2</b> P <sub>(</sub>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				2 <b>0</b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				.7.2	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				DDccd 7575年11ed 中间 1600年1600年1600年1600年1600年1600年1600年1600	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
404.	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	South Carolina	City of North		C.P. Charleston Cnty.
704.	Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Leavis Sullivan; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Beth Taylor; Mark Waldrop; Michael Madden; Wendy Kay; Jeffrey Ward; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Trumorpainty	South Caronila	Charleston	19.0023399chdd DDccd 75.75于iled时始在403/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	2019-CP-10-03978
				96	)\$2/2/0/12/0/12/0/12/5/108:5/8ain EXencibrilla n Pg 174 of 275	

	DI (ID (	A 41 TD	Gt 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G 4G N I
105	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
405.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville	County of Abbeville v. Rite	C.P. Abbeville Cnty.
	Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2019-CP-01-00154
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				16	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<b></b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Į	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				X	
	Beverly Sackler;				9-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19	
	Theresa Sackler;				e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
	David A. Sackler;				P.통	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				<u>e</u> . □	
	of the Raymond Sackler				∃ğ	
	Family;				ina ina	
	Stuart D. Baker;				Z.Z.	
	Leavis Sullivan;				17	
	Beth Taylor;				를 뛰	
	Leigh Varnadore;				l le	
	Paul Kitchin;				Ťić Å	
	Wendy Kay;				n C	
	Michael Madden;				ਕੂ <b>ਨ</b>	
	Jeffrey Ward;				<u>a</u>	
	Mark Waldrop				0/1/Q	
406.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	County of Aiken v. Rie Ad	C.P. Aiken Cnty.
100.	Inc.;	ividineipanty	South Caronna	County of Miken	of South Carolina Incoes	2019-CP-02-01086
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				or south caronna, meath	2017 C1 02 01000
	Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.;				K	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard				<u></u>	
	S. Sackler;				n u	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Ct. <b>%</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				Or <b>2</b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				1 20	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				₽ <mark></mark> ĕ	
	Beverly Sackler;				. 6 <b>. 5</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				<b>)</b> 7.1	
	David A. Sackler;				19. 如忽忽9. 9 et did DD cod 75.75—11 ed 时间 40 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	

	D14 ID 4	A 41 T	G4 - t	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties  Trust for the Benefit Members	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				မြ	
	Paul Kitchin;				(A)	
	Wendy Kay;				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				9et	
407	Mark Waldrop	3.6	G 41 G 11	C + C + 11 1 1	<u>76</u>	CD All 11 C
407.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	County of Allendale varieties	C.P. Allendale Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina and et	2018-CP-03-00125
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				ing <b>©</b>	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				ary	
	Richard S. Sackler;				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				를 뛰	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				n nc	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				ti 异	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				n <b>3</b>	
	Beverly Sackler;				<b>10.4</b> and	
	Theresa Sackler;				ල් සිර	
	David A. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				7.5	
	of the Raymond Sackler				<u>a</u>	
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;				71.44 144	
	Leavis Sullivan;				ju <b>E</b>	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin;				[io]	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				T <b>2</b>	
	Jeffrey Ward;				, DZ	
	Mark Waldrop				19.40 22 23 39.9 et al.	
	1				76 of 275	
					of 28	
				0.0	27:	
				98	2, ∰.	
					角	
					<b>X</b>	
					<del>Q</del> :	
					= ₹	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
408.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Anderson Cnty. 2018-CP-04-01108
409.	Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Bamberg	17375-11ed Filed F	C.P. Bamberg Cnty.
	Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				19. 如宏观明的d DDcd 75.75年11ed时的40岁/20/20/20/207207:51 Preliminary Injunction and of South Carolina South County of Aid of A	2018-CP-05-00189
				99	Z:5±008:5568ain EXebridouitr 77 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis E. villivan;					
	Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop				County of Barnwell v Rite	
410.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	的面面 75年11ed时的心心是20/20/20 tenent的心心也必是20/20/20 frinary Injunction and g/b/k/htar/3/14junction Pg 1	C.P. Barnwell Cnty. 2018-CP-06-00329
				100	7.508:508ain Exobability 78 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
411.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Rite	C.P. Beaufort Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-07-01245
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<u>မှ</u>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				19-9828849eldd DDod 75 Preliminary	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				23	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				<b>9</b> 6	
	Theresa Sackler;				πĔ	
	David A. Sackler;				d ře	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				i i i	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;				na (4.	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				<u> </u>	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				lec	
	Paul Kitchin;				75-11edFUNDADS/Injunction and g/I	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				( <b>1.4</b> ani	
	Jeffrey Ward;				ට ප්ර	
	Mark Waldrop				i Sa	

20/20nteleante)404/20/20/207:508:56ainExonabilio /占加hoding/Anjunction Pg 179 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
412.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite	C.P. Calhoun Cnty.
	Inc.;			-	Aid of South Carolina Inc., et	2019-CP-09-00065
	Rhodes Technologies Inc;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard					
	S. Sackler;				<b>L</b>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				9.9	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				8	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				<b>\</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				9e	
	Theresa Sackler;				₽Ē	
	David A. Sackler;				d	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				l ⊒i	
	Family;				₫7 nar	
	Stuart D. Baker;				<b>□</b> ✓ □	
	Leavis Sullivan;				lnj	
	Beth Taylor;				두끝	
	Leigh Varnadore;				ed	
	Paul Kitchin;				<u> </u>	
	Wendy Kay;				€	
	Michael Madden;				14.	
	Jeffrey Ward;				<b>SECTION</b>	
	Mark Waldrop				76	
413.	1 2	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	County of Cherokee value	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina , et	2018-CP-11-00503
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				19. 如宏观明明 DD DD 19. 75年11ed 时间 40岁20年前 te te te te te preliminary Injunction and 以工程的te te te preliminary Injunction and 以工程的te te te preliminary Injunction and 以工程的te te te preliminary Injunction and Oxfort and Oxfort Aid of South Carolina al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				74.60	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				J. B	
	Richard S. Sackler;				nc 🕰	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<b>1.</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				n n	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				_8	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				P <sub>9</sub>	
	Beverly Sackler;				i o	
	Theresa Sackler;				8C	
	David A. Sackler;					

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State		Case Caption	Court/Case Number
414.	Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Action Type  Municipality	State  South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	Case Caption  19.9828849etmlckite et et et et et Aid of South Carolina and g/10800tafr/7/44junction Pg 181  County of Chesterfiele ite et al.	C.P. Chesterfield Cnty. 2018-CP-13-00410
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop			103	0/至的tenentenentenentenentenentenentenenten	

	n I ( In 'd	A 4	Gt 1	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
415	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
415.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	County of Clarendon v. Rite	C.P. Clarendon Cnty.
	Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2019-CP-14-00236
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				al.	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				16	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<b></b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Į	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				X	
	Beverly Sackler;				9-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19	
	Theresa Sackler;				e e	
	David A. Sackler;				Pd	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				L ⊕. D	
	of the Raymond Sackler				<u>∃</u> . <b>Ø</b>	
	Family;				ੀ <u>ਨੂੰ</u>	
	Stuart D. Baker;				ary 75	
	Leavis Sullivan;				17:	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				nc nc	
	Paul Kitchin;				itic A	
	Wendy Kay;				n 🙀	
	Michael Madden;				ar <b>A</b>	
	Jeffrey Ward;				10 OS	
	Mark Waldrop				¥Ã	
416.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	County of Colleton v. Ct Aid	C.P. Colleton Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 3			of South Carolina, Incae	2018-CP-15-00438
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				ite S	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				#JC	
	Richard S. Sackler;				l inc	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Tic 70/2	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				n C	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				<b>1 2 2</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<b>₽</b>	
	Beverly Sackler;				<b>20</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				.82	
	David A. Sackler;				19. 如恕認知時間d DDccd 75.75—11ed时的40克/20/20/20 15点的 Preliminary Injunction and 0/2020/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members	J F J		,		
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;				<u> </u>	
	Leigh Varnadore;				9.5	
	Paul Kitchin;				8 8	
	Wendy Kay;				128	
	Michael Madden;				¥ <b>2</b>	
	Jeffrey Ward;				9e	
	Mark Waldrop				¬₽.	
417.	1	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Ric Aid of South Carolina Inc	C.P. Dillon Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Incare	2019-CP-17-00213
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				] <u> </u>	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				11 ar	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				<u> </u>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				]. Z	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				n 교	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				ed	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				유루	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				_ a	
	Beverly Sackler;				. <b>40</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members				20,	
					)	
	of the Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;				<b>%</b>   <b>F</b>   <b>C</b>   <b>C</b>   <b>C</b>   <b>C</b>   <b>C</b>   <b>C</b>   <b>C</b>   <b>C</b>	
	Leavis Sullivan;				<u> </u>	
	Beth Taylor;				L P	
	Leigh Varnadore;				ict <b>55</b>	
	Paul Kitchin;				ior 🕭	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				υĘ	
	Jeffrey Ward;				9.7 <b>%</b>	
	Mark Waldrop				County of Dillon v. Reliminary Injunction and ODE 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	
	•				72.508:500 in Exchain	
					)f ()	
				105	27 <sup>1</sup>	
				105	5 ≌	
					角	
					<u>\$</u>	
					<u>⊕</u>	
					<b>₹</b>	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
418.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Rite	C.P. Dorchester Cnty.
	Inc.;			•	Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-18-01122
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				ļ <del>o</del>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				98	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				53	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				<b>₩</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				n <b>₫</b>	
	David A. Sackler;				d   re	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;				<b>4.</b> 7	
	Stuart D. Baker;				<b>□</b> ✓ <b>□</b>	
	Leavis Sullivan;				ln <u>i</u> ,75	
	Beth Taylor;				목卫	
	Leigh Varnadore;				ec	
	Paul Kitchin;				Ī <del>Ā</del>	
	Wendy Kay;				」 こ を	
	Michael Madden;				14.	
	Jeffrey Ward;				(Sec. 1997)	
	Mark Waldrop				<b>S Q</b>	
419.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield v	C.P. Edgefield Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina , et	2019-CP-19-00120
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al. 👼 💆	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				74	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				ju en	
	Richard S. Sackler;				19.9828899eddd DDcod 75.75F11edF0140/40/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				±ic <b>4.</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) n	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				_ <b>Q</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				P <sub>C</sub>	
	Beverly Sackler;				10	
	Theresa Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	David A. Sackler;					

	D14 ID 4		g, ·	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties  Trust for the Benefit Members	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				į́Θ	
	Paul Kitchin;				(a)	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				<b>S</b>	
	Jeffrey Ward;				9eh	
120	Mark Waldrop	3.6	G 41 G 11	C . CF : C 11	T	CD F : C 11C :
420.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	County of Fairfield v. Rice	C.P. Fairfield Cnty.
	Inc.;				Ald of South Carolina in et	2018-CP-20-00272
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				ing the	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				V.Z.	
	Richard S. Sackler;				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<u>= 9</u>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				nc:	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				台流	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				n <b>e</b>	
	Beverly Sackler;				( <b>1.4</b> ani	
	Theresa Sackler;				වන	
	David A. Sackler;				<b>S</b>	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				84 <b>+</b>	
	of the Raymond Sackler				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Family;				<b>₩</b>	
	Stuart D. Baker;				7.4 1.4	
	Leavis Sullivan;				jur ( <b>b</b>	
	Beth Taylor;				nct <b>&amp;</b>	
	Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin;				:io <b>4</b>	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				P P	
	Jeffrey Ward;				D. D	
	Mark Waldrop				County of Fairfield v. Fellindrod 757-11ed Found 403/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	
	•	•		•	2:9:108:5:Main Extraction in 185 of 275	
					)f ()8:	
				107	<b>5</b> 8	
				107	5. <u>al</u> r	
					Ħ	
					<b><u>É</u></b> .	
					<b>8</b>	
					<u> </u>	

	D.I.A. I D. off.	A -41 (T)	C4. 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Com Continu	Control N
421.	Related Parties  The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State South Carolina	(Last, First) County of Florence	Case Caption County of Florence v. Rite	Court/Case Number C.P. Florence Cnty.
721.	Inc.;	ividificipatity	South Carollila	County of Florence	Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2019-CP-21-01213
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				15	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				9.E	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;				ļ — Ķ	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				<b>1</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				T 💆	
	David A. Sackler;				d   re	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				l ii g	
	Family; Stuart D. Baker;				ary	
	Leavis Sullivan;				37 / Ir	
	Beth Taylor;				를 뛰	
	Leigh Varnadore;				nc:	
	Paul Kitchin;				ここで 油	
	Wendy Kay;				n e	
	Michael Madden;				1140 	
	Jeffrey Ward;				75 K	
	Mark Waldrop				<u> </u>	
					Ent /PE	
					afiz Te	
					<b>海</b>	
					nc:	
					tio	
					19.എമ്മായുളർൽd DDccd 75.75F1ledF014/d 40'3/220/25തte ഇൽക്ൾ 40'3/220/20 7:508:5&ainExtraction Preliminary Injunction and g/185nts/13/74junction Pg 186 of 275	
					PC	
					<b>9</b> 1	
					86 2:5	
					9 8	
				108	75 <b>&amp;</b>	
					ini	
					¥	
					<b>≓</b>	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
422.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v. Rite	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
	Inc.;			•	Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-24-00775
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				<b>L</b>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				įθ	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				8	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				123	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				<b>₩</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				₽Ē	
	David A. Sackler;				d   re	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				l in D	
	of the Raymond Sackler				l i i i	
	Family;				<b>₫</b> 7	
	Stuart D. Baker;				<b>□</b>	
	Leavis Sullivan;				ln <u>.</u> 75	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				ec	
	Paul Kitchin;				Ī <del>Ā</del>	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				14.	
	Jeffrey Ward;				6	
	Mark Waldrop				<b>3</b> 8	
423.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v	C.P. Hampton Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina , et	2018-CP-25-00258
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				19.9828999emid DDccd 75.75-11edF0140/40/2020/2020/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				<b>1</b>	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				n 🐔	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				:tic	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) n	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				_ <b>Q</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				P <sub>C</sub>	
	Beverly Sackler;				10	
	Theresa Sackler;				87.	
	David A. Sackler;					

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
424.	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin;	Municipality	South Carolina	(Last, First)  County of Horry	Case Caption  19.	C.P. Horry Cnty. 2019-CP-26-02684
	Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop				<b>20/20</b> 7: Pg 18	
	•			110	72: <b>5:</b> 08: <b>5:</b> 08:ain Exoboloito .88 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
425.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Jasper	County of Jasper v. Rite Aid	C.P. Jasper Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 .			of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-27-00332
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				įΘ	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				98	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				5	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				- <del>J</del>	
	Theresa Sackler;				₽Ē	
	David A. Sackler;				d	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				≅:8	
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;				<b>│</b>	
	Leavis Sullivan;				Inj. 75	
	Beth Taylor;				독卫	
	Leigh Varnadore;				l ec	
	Paul Kitchin;				<u> </u>	
	Wendy Kay;				] } <del>E</del>	
	Michael Madden;				14	
	Jeffrey Ward;				Q CE	
	Mark Waldrop				36	
426.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	County of Kershaw v.	C.P. Kershaw Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina	2018-CP-28-00553
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				19. 9 22 23 9 9 eduid DD cod 7里 75 Filed	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				74	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				nc nc	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				ii: <b>1.4</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				i j	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				_ 2	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				P <sub>9</sub>	
	Beverly Sackler;				_ <b>,</b>	
	Theresa Sackler;				285 21.4	
	David A. Sackler;				L S	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State		Case Caption	Court/Case Number
427.	Related Parties  Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop  The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore;	Action Type  Municipality	South Carolina	(Last, First)  County of Lancaster	Case Caption  19.982899etmlc@Docd 75.75FiledFiled 408/20/20/20 7.5 Preliminary Injunction and gybashtary 7.4 junction Pg 190 al.	C.P. Lancaster Cnty. 2019-CP-29-00540
	Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward;				<b>ψሜ/20/20</b> 7 on Pg 19	
	Mark Waldrop	<u>I</u>	l	112	72.508:500ain Excitation in 190 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
428.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	County of Laurens v. Rite Aid	C.P. Laurens Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-30-00606
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				ь	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				19.എജ്മങ്ങളെ eddd DDccd 7.5 Preliminary	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				8	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				<b>\</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				96	
	Theresa Sackler;				₽Ē	
	David A. Sackler;				d   're	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				≓`&	
	Family;				₫ Z nar	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				lnj.	
	Beth Taylor;				독卫	
	Leigh Varnadore;				l ec	
	Paul Kitchin;				75年11ed积k Injunction	
	Wendy Kay;				15-11ed Fund 408/20 1 njunction and gy 18	
	Michael Madden;				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Jeffrey Ward;				(C)	
	Mark Waldrop					

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
429.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lee	County of Lee v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Lee Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 ,		•	South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-31-00207
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				įθ	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				98	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<b>S</b>	
	Beverly Sackler;				- <del>9</del> 6	
	Theresa Sackler;				т <u>ё</u>	
	David A. Sackler;				ď	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;				na <del>C</del> .	
	Stuart D. Baker;				7	
	Leavis Sullivan;				ln.75	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				nct	
	Paul Kitchin;				1 一	
	Wendy Kay;				n 👼	
	Michael Madden;				<b>1.</b>	
	Jeffrey Ward;				ම් වූන	
	Mark Waldrop				<b>₽</b>	
430.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Lexington Exte	C.P. Lexington Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina ne, et	2018-CP-32-02207
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al. 5 6	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<u>= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =</u>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				19.982899ettlid DDccd 75.75-11edF01404.03/20#20#20#20#20#20#20#20#20#20#20#20#20#2	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				1	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) n C	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Į	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<b>D/</b> 2	
	Beverly Sackler;				20	
	Theresa Sackler;				.92	
	David A. Sackler;				10 10	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
431.	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	19.9828849etall A Library Injunction and gybalandig/74junction Pg 193  County of Marion v. Reliminary Injunction and gybalandig/74junction Pg 193  County of South Carolina, Inchinary Injunction And gybalandig/74junction Pg 193	C.P. Marion Cnty. 2019-CP-33-00299
	Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop				19	
	•			115	72: <b>5:1</b> 08: <b>15:6</b> 8ain <b>Exoboloit</b> 93 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
432.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite	C.P. McCormick Cnty.
	Inc.;			-	Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2019-CP-35-00031
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				9.9	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				8	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				9e	
	Theresa Sackler;				¬₽ <u>Ē</u>	
	David A. Sackler;				d   re	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler				l ⊒i	
	Family;				₫.7 nar	
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				Inj	
	Beth Taylor;				독卫	
	Leigh Varnadore;				ec	
	Paul Kitchin;				Ī. Ē. Ē.	
	Wendy Kay;				」 こ を	
	Michael Madden;				14.	
	Jeffrey Ward;				(Sec. 1)	
	Mark Waldrop				58	
433.	1 2	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	County of Oconee v. I	C.P. Oconee Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Incae	2018-CP-37-00458
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				[2] [2] [6]	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				1 E	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				ju en	
	Richard S. Sackler;				19.49紀28849edwid DDccd 7年75年11ed形的40名/20/20/2072072072072072072072072072072072072072	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				±ic <b>4.</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) n	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				_ <b>Q</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				P <sub>C</sub>	
	Beverly Sackler;				10	
	Theresa Sackler;				72. <b>£</b>	
	David A. Sackler;				1 2	

			~	<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				1 မှ	
	Paul Kitchin;				<b>b</b>	
	Wendy Kay;				29	
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				<b>5</b>	
	Mark Waldrop				T E	
434.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburgy. Rite	C.P. Orangeburg Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina n, et	2018-CP-38-00841
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al. ≅ ĕ	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				₫ Z	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<u> </u>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				75 Inji	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				H 블	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				cti.	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				유무	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				1 a	
	Beverly Sackler;				ne .	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members				20.	
	of the Raymond Sackler				£ <u>1</u> 2€	
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;				\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
	Leavis Sullivan;				<u>₽</u>	
	Beth Taylor;				un <b>@</b>	
	Leigh Varnadore;				Ct. <b>50</b>	
	Paul Kitchin;				0,0	
	Wendy Kay;				2/02	
	Michael Madden;				D Q	
	Jeffrey Ward;				0 ' 0	
	Mark Waldrop				County of Orangeburg Thomas 75Filed Fold 403/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	
					2:9:108:5:Main Extraction in 95 of 275	
					<u> </u>	
				117	<b>58</b> 27:	
				117	51 <u>ar</u>	
					色	
					<b><u>Š</u></b> .	
					<u> </u>	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
435.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Rite Aid	C.P. Pickens Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	18-CP-39-00675
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				i <del>δ</del>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				19.എജ്മങ്ങളെ eddd DDccd 7.5 Preliminary	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				128	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				<del>)</del>	
	Theresa Sackler;				n <b>ē</b>	
	David A. Sackler;				ď	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				i i g	
	of the Raymond Sackler				≅:8	
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;				lnj. 75	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				lec nct	
	Paul Kitchin;				75年11ed形加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加	
	Wendy Kay;				75-11edF014014012020 njunction an⊟g√1	
	Michael Madden;				(d.4 ani	
	Jeffrey Ward;				   විසි	
	Mark Waldrop				<u> </u>	

0/2001tenEndten4014020200/2073:508:568ain日xondonionbenoting/74ajunction Pg 196 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
436.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Saluda	County of Saluda v. Rite Aid	C.P. Clarendon Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 .		•	of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-41-00111
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				įθ	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				98	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<b>S</b>	
	Beverly Sackler;				- <del>9</del> 6	
	Theresa Sackler;				т <u>ё</u>	
	David A. Sackler;				ď	
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;				<u>අ</u>	
	Stuart D. Baker;				7	
	Leavis Sullivan;				ln.75	
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				nct	
	Paul Kitchin;				1 一	
	Wendy Kay;				n 👼	
	Michael Madden;				<b>1.</b>	
	Jeffrey Ward;				ම් වූ	
	Mark Waldrop				<b>₽</b>	
437.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	County of Sumter v. Resid	C.P. Sumter Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Incae	2019-CP-43-00891
	Rhodes Techonologies Inc.;				fing te	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				1	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				<u>= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =</u>	
	Richard S. Sackler;				19-98238349ehdid DDood ZE75FiledFiledFiledFiledFiledFiledFiledFiled	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				1	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) n C	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Į	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<b>D/</b> 2	
	Beverly Sackler;				20	
	Theresa Sackler;				.9.7	
	David A. Sackler;				7 9 1	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State		Case Caption	Court/Case Number
438.	Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;	Action Type  Municipality	State  South Carolina	County of Union	Case Caption  19-9-2289-9-6-11 County of Union v. Resiminary Injunction a County of South Carolina, Inchinary Injunction a	C.P. Union Cnty. 2018-CP-44-00288
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop				County of Union v. RELIMINATION of South Carolina, Inclinary Injunction and Volume 1974 Junction Pg 198 o	
				120	72: <b>9</b> :208:508ain Exobabolity 198 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
439.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Williamsburg	County of Williamsburg v.	C.P. Williamsburg Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 ,		, ,	Rite Aid of South Carolina,	2018-CP-45-00276
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Inc., et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				98	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				23	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				<del>)</del> 96	
	Theresa Sackler;				ηĒ	
	David A. Sackler;				d ře	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				i i i	
	of the Raymond Sackler				l air	
	Family;				<b>₾</b> 7	
	Stuart D. Baker;				<b>□</b>	
	Leavis Sullivan;				ln <u>.</u> 75	
	Beth Taylor;				= <b>1</b>	
	Leigh Varnadore;				nct ec	
	Paul Kitchin;				i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
	Wendy Kay;				) E	
	Michael Madden;				144 186	
	Jeffrey Ward;				6	
	Mark Waldrop				<b>₽</b> 8	
440.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	County of York v. Rit	C.P. York Cnty.
	Inc.;				South Carolina, Inc., a	2018-CP-46-02446
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				(£) (£)	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				l nc	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				1 <b>(1)</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				D 20	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Į Ž	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				<b>0/2</b> P <sub>C</sub>	
	Beverly Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Theresa Sackler;				.92.	
	David A. Sackler;				19.982899chdd DDccd 75.75年IledHild 40岁209年的性色地位40岁2075年 Preliminary Injunction and 2019年前性色地位40岁2072075年 Rith 201974junction Pg 199 of York v. Gouth Carolina, Inc., South Carolina, Inc., South Carolina, Inc., County of York v. South Carolina, Inc., County of South Carolina, Inc., Coun	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members	J F J				1,52===0
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				Greenville County v. Rite Aid	
	Paul Kitchin;				98	
	Wendy Kay;				<b>5</b>	
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;				96	
	Mark Waldrop				n <u>ē</u>	
441.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. Rite Aid	C.P. Greenville Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc☴e☐l.	2018-CP-23-01294
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				≅ ĕ	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				<b>₫</b> 7	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				l 15.75	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				독卫	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				l ct	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				] 	
	Beverly Sackler;				14.	
	Theresa Sackler;				Q Z Z	
	David A. Sackler;				754 754	
	Trust for the Benefit Members				<u>}</u>	
	of the Raymond Sackler				<u> </u>	
	Family;				₩ <u>₩</u>	
	Stuart D. Baker;				7.4 14	
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;				nc.	
	Leigh Varnadore;				tio 1.	
	Paul Kitchin;				_ ∑ টু	
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;				D <sub>C</sub>	
	Jeffrey Ward;				Greenville County v. Ediploca 75-11ed时以403/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	
	Mark Waldrop	l	l .			
					72:508:500ain Exobability 100 of 275	
					N. 👸	
				122	75 🕷	
					<u> </u>	
					Ħ	
					<del>Q.</del>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
442.		Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Spartanburg Cnty. 2018-CP-42-00760
				123	19.എമ്മുള്ള 9ck വി DD തർ 7.5 75 Filed മിശ്രീ എമ്മ് 20/20/20/2072 ന്റെ 7.5 നില്ലാർ എമ്മ് മുമ്മ് വി നില്ലാന്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റെ നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റെ നില്ലാന്റെ നില്ലാന്റ്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ് നില്ലാന്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ്റ	

	Deleted Desetter	A - 42 TI	54-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Come Combine	G
4.42	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
443.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v.	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
	Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-CP-10-04302
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Leigh Varnadore;				16	
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Beth Taylor;				Į	
	Mark Waldrop;				<b>&amp;</b>	
	Michael Madden;				₽ ₽	
	Wendy Kay;				) cha	
	Jeffrey Ward;				Pr Ed	
	Richard S. Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				m. <b>Q</b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				ا <u>ک</u> کے	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Try 715	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				L7:	
	Beverly Sackler;				<u> </u> = 9	
	Theresa Sackler;				nc lle	
	David A. Sackler;				l in	
	Trust for the Benefit of				n Si	
	Members of the Raymond				an 1 <u>4</u>	
	Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker				l São	
444.	The Purdue Frederick Company	District	Tennessee	Barry Staubus, in his	Barry Staubus, et al. v	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty.
	Inc.	Attorney		official capacity as the	Purdue Pharma L.P., ea	No. C-41916
		General /		District Attorney	ite	
		Municipality		General for the Second	7 0	
				Judicial District and on		
				behalf of all political	l in S	
				subdivisions therein;	) Sti <b>Ž</b>	
					n C	
				Tony Clark, in his	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
				official capacity as the	P	
				District Attorney	) 2 2 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
				General for the First	20.21.	
				Judicial District and on	19.902200/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				behalf of all political		
				subdivisions therein;		
				Dan Armstrong, in his		
				official capacity as the		
				District Attorney	15	
				General for the Third	B-C	
				Judicial District and on	<u> </u>	
				behalf of all political	<u> </u>	
				subdivisions therein;	15 25	
				Baby Doe, by and	Bryant C. Dunaway, et al. P. Purdue Pharma I. P. en al.	
				through his Guadian Ad	Pr분	
				Litem	<u>e</u> . □	
445.	The Purdue Frederick Company	District	Tennessee	Bryant C. Dunaway, in	Bryant C. Dunaway e	Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty.
113.	Inc.;	Attorney	Tellitessee	his official capacity as	Purdue Pharma L. P. e	CCI-2018-CV-6331
	Richard Sackler	General /		the District Attorney	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2010 2 7 0331
		Municipality		General for the	ln Z	
				Thirteenth Judicial	<u> </u>	
				District, TN and on	lea	
				behalf of all political	l	
				subdivisions therein,	n <b>E</b>	
				Including Clay County,	<b>2.4</b>	
				City of Celine,	OB SZ	
				Cumberland County,	<b>№</b>	
				City of Crab Orchard,	D.29	
				City of Crossville,	<u> </u>	
				Town of Pleasant Hill,		
				Dekalb County, Town	71.44 144	
				of Alexandria, Town of	 □ <b>6</b>	
				Dowelltown, Town of	nc:	
				Liberty, City of	tio 🖺	
				Smithville, Overton	_ x <u>x</u>	
				County, Town of	Bryant C. Dunaway, enjunction and g/20/20/20/20/20 0.50 Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pha	
				Livingston, Pickett County, Town of	0°C	
				Byrdstown, Putnam	<b>0</b> 7 2(	
				County, City of Algood,	) is	
				County, City of Algood,	<del>- 6 b</del>	

			<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Town of Baxter, City of		
			Cookeville, Town of		
			Monterey, White		
			County, Town of Doyle,		
			City of Sparta;		
			Jenning H. Jones, in his	P	
			official capacity as the	<b>P</b>	
			District Attorney	))2	
			General for the	<u> </u>	
			Sixteenth Judicial		
			District, TN and on	<b>)</b>	
			behalf of all political	P	
			subdivisions therein,	e □ □	
			including Cannon County, Town of		
			Auburntown, Town of	in <b>B</b>	
			Woodbury, Rutherford	Z.	
			County, City of	147 · Ir	
			Eaglevill, City of La	글 뛰	
			Vergne, City of	nc nc	
			Murfreesboro, Town of	i ii i	
			Smyrna;	on Sign	
			, ,	an 101	
			Robert J. Carter, in his		
			official capacity as the	<b>\$</b> \$	
			District Attorney	0/1	
			General for the	)	
			Seventeenth Judicial	19-ഇജ്ജ്ങ്ങൾ DDcd 75-75-11edRik/d എടു/20/മ്തുല്ലുൻകൂർ എടു/20/207207:5എ Preliminary Injunction and ഗ്രമ്മിൻദ്ഗ്7ന്മ്വനction Pg 204 o	
			District, TN and on		
			behalf of all political	]   = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
			subdivisions therein,	n <del>l</del>	
			including Bedord	±: <b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	
			County, Town of Bell	n n	
			Buckle, Town of	_@	
			Normandy, City of	<b>N</b> 2	
			Shelbyville, Town of	20	
			Wartrace, Lincoln	04 04	
			County, City of	<u> </u>	

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Ardmore, City of	-	
			Fayetteville, Town of		
			Petersburg, Marshall		
			County, Town of		
			Chapel Hill, Town of		
			Cornersville, City of	<b>L</b>	
			Lewisburg, Moore	9.50	
			County, City of	 	
			Lynchburg;	2	
			Brent A. Cooper, in his	19-ഇജ്ജ്ങളിൽ DDccd 75-75-11edHik/d എടു/20/മ്തു terentരുക്വ് എടു/20/20 7:5ന Preliminary Injunction and g/204ntals?7Anjunction Pg 205 o	
			official capacity as the	l ⊤ <b>ë</b>	
			District Attorney	d ře	
			General for the Twenty-		
			Second Judicial District,	  ÷ <b>ĕ</b>	
			TN and on behalf of all	₫7	
			political subdivisions	<u> </u>	
			therein, including Giles	75 Inj	
			County, City of Elkton,	[ 등 끝	
			Town of Lynnville, City	ed Ct.ed	
			of Minor Hill, City of	우 亞	
			Pulaski, Lawrence	1 a	
			County, Town of	[4 <b>0</b>	
			Ethridge, City of Iron City, City of	\ \(\rangle\) \(\rangle\) \(\rangle\)	
			Lawrenceburg, City of	20.	
			Loretto, City of St.	<b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	
			Joseph, Maury County,		
			City of Columbia, City	 	
			of Mount Pleasant, City	 <u>₹</u>	
			of Spring Hill, Wayne	n D	
			County, City of Clifton,	<u>c</u> . <b>57.0</b>	
			City of Collinwood,	or <b>&amp;</b>	
			City of Waynesboro;	7 202	
				ΡŘ	
			Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in	0,2 003	
			her official capacity as	<b>]</b>	
			the District Attorney	5 (	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
446.		District	Tennessee	General for the Thirty- First Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Van Buren County, Town of Spencer, Warren County, Town of Centertown, City of McMinnville, Town of Morrison, Town of Viola;  Baby Doe, by and through his Mother Jared Effler, in his		Eastern Section at
446.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Jared Effler, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Eigth Judicial District, TN;  Charme Allen, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixth Judicial District;  Dave Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventh Judicial District, TN;  Russell Johnson, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial District, TN;	19.998289996mid DDccd213.75F11edF1146/140/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	Eastern Section at Knoxville Court of Appeals No. E2018-01994-COA- R3-CV

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Capuon	Court/Case Number
				Stephen Crump, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District, TN;	19	
				Jimmy Dunn, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Fourth Judicial District, TN;	1.9)22891.9e1ddd Pr	
				Mike Taylor, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twelfth Judicial District, TN	19-982899etdd DDcd 75,75-11edR Preliminary Injunctio	
				Baby Doe #1; Baby Doe #2	lledя nctio	
447.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners	Shelby County, by the Shebby Board of Commissioners R	Cir. Ct. Shelby Cnty. No. CT-004500-17
448.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston, Texas Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-43219
449.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	City of Houston, Texas Purdue Pharma L.P., es a Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76897
450.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purch Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77066
451.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Production Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77090
452.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. → Let under the Cameron v. → Let unde	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
453.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Purchic Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
454.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Pucles Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
455.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Coryell	County of Coryell v. Purdue	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct.
733.	Inc.	Withincipanity	TCAdS	County of Coryen	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77097
456.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Dallas	County of Dallas v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
130.	Inc.	Wanterparity	Texas	County of Bullus	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77098
457.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Delta	County of Delta v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.	y			AmerisourceBergen Drug	2018-77093
					Corn et al	
458.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Dimmit	County of Dimmit v. Pur	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.	1 ,			Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-76905
459.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Ector	County of Ector v. Purdu	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.	2 0		-	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-76907
460.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	County of El Paso v. Pur eee	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Dhormo I D at al 🛣 —	2018-77097
461.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Falls	County of Falls v. Pur 🖽 😸	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al. 5 6	2018-77098
462.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Fannin	County of Falls v. Purmicon Pharma L.P., et al.  County of Fannin v. Purmicon Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77093
463.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Grayson	County of Grayson v. Puttue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-76994
464.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Harrison	County of Harrison v. Hugue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77108
465.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Hidalgo	County of Hidalgo v. Bur Lie	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.			222 44	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77109
466.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Hopkins	County of Hopkins v. Purple Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
4.65	Inc.	3.6		G OH	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77111
467.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Houston	County of Houston v. Philippe Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
460	Inc.	34 1.	T	C (CV 1.11		2018-77021
468.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Kendall	County of Kendall v. Parene	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
460	TI D 1 - F 1 - 1 - 1 - C	M11'	T	Contractive	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77023
469.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kerr	County of Kerr v. Pur Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77114
470.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	County of Liberty v. 10rd	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
4/0.	Inc.	withincipanty	10348	County of Liberty	County of Liberty v. Parabe Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77116
471.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Limestone	County of Limestone v	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
7/1.	Inc.	ividificipatity	10,743	County of Linestone	Purdue Pharma L.P., &	2018-77025
472.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Parque	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
1,72.	Inc.	Triamerpanty	10/100	County of Marion	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77026
	1110.		1		1 11011110 12.1 ., Ot al 2	2010 //020

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
473.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of McMullen	County of McMullen v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77067
474.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Milam	County of Milam v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77141
475.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Nacogdoches	County of Nacogdoches v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	2018-77027
476.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Nueces;	County of Nueces and Nueces	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company;			Nueces County Hospital	County Hospital District	2018-77083
	The Purdue Frederick Company			District	Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	
	Inc.				<u> </u>	
477.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Pur e	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77036
478.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Panola	County of Panola v. Pandue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77037
479.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Parker	Pharma L.P., et al. County of Parker v. Purdar Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77143
480.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Potter	County of Potter v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77039
481.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Robertson	County of Robertson	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.		<u> </u>		Purdue Pharma L.P., eq.	2018-77043
482.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of San Patricio	County of San Patricies.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company;				Purdue Pharma L.P., eta	2018-77075
	The Purdue Frederick Company					
402	Inc.	3.6		G (COL II	County of Shelby v. Pader Pharma L.P., et al.	H : C + D' + C
483.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Shelby	County of Shelby v. Parders	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
40.4	Inc.	3.6		G (T)	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77062
484.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Travis	County of Travis v. Publication	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
40.5	Inc.	3.6 1.	T	G (T)	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77144
485.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Trinity	County of Trinity v. P	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
106	Inc.	M1-1	T	Company CV 7 14	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77080
486.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Van Zandt	County of Van Zandt 2	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.				AmerisourceBergen Ling	2018-77150
107	The Dundye Englands I. Comme	Mumi oi 1:4-	Towas	Country of W-11-	AmerisourceBergen Doige Corp., et al.	Homis Caty Di-t Ct
487.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Waller	Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77153
488.	Inc.	Municipalita	Towas	County of Wood		
488.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Wood	County of Wood v. Public Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77081
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al. 6	2010-7/001

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
489.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-87346
490.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19922899611 DDcc 15, 75  Preliminary Injuries  Davis County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Grand County v. Purdue Electrical Coun	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty. 190100112
491.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdus II	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty. 180700870
492.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdan Pharma L.P., et al.  Iron County v. Purduco Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
493.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purduce By Pharma L.P., et al.	5th Jud. Dist. Ct. Iron Cnty. 180500149
494.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty. 180700044
495.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. Pada Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Jud. Dist. Ct. Salk Lake Cnty. 180902421
496.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	Salt Lake County v. Parder Pharma L.P., et al.  San Juan County v. Purder Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
497.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Purell Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete Cnty. 180600095

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
498.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the	Municipality	Utah	Sevier County; Juab County; Emery County; Wayne County; Piute County	Sevier County, Utah, Juab County, Utah, Emery County, Utah, Wayne County, Utah, and Piute County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina	6th Jud. Dis. Ct. Sevier Cnty. 190600050
	Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
499.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Summit County, Utah	Summit County, Utah Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue	3rd Dist. Ct. Summit Cnty. 180500119
				133	/ <b>9./20/20</b> 7: <b>9</b> :108:50	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
500.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;	Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Tooele County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-982899ctdd DDcd.	3rd Dist. Ct. Tooele Cnty. 180300423
501.	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.  The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department	Uintah County, Utah; Y. Duscesne County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department v. Purdue Band Department v	8th Dist. Ct. Uintah Cnty. 180800056
				134	<b>0/3/20/207:5</b> : <b>5</b> :5:38:5:8ain Extendiolor on Pg 212 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
502.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19.982899etdld Docod.  Prelimina	4th Dist. Ct. Wasatch Cnty. 180500079
503.	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah	Washington County, Utah; Rain Kane County, Utah; Bain County, Utah; Bain County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah v. Purduction and GV2012012012174 junction Pg 213	5th Dist. Ct. Washington Cnty. 190500179
				135	<b>03/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 8ain Exhibility on Pg 213 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		aa
504	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
504.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	Municipality	Utah	Weber County, Utah	Weber County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Weber Cnty. 180903087
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				19-9-22899eldd DDod Prelimina	
505.	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Cir. Ct. Martinsville Cnty. CL18000240-00
506.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	The County Board of Arlington County, Virginia	The County Board of SAL Arlington County, Virgin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., eva A	Cir. Ct. Arlington Cnty. CL19001081-00
507.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virgina v. Purdue Pharma L.P	Cir. Ct. Dinwiddie Cnty. CL19-317
508.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County Virginia v. Purdue Phank L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Mecklenburg County CL19000558-00
					v. Purdue Pharma L.P. And Virginia v. Purdue Pharma v. Pu	
				136	8: <b>5</b> &ain田x/bridolitr : 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
509.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Municipality	West Virginia	Brooke County Commission; Hancock County Commission; Harrison County Commission; Lewis County Commission; Marshall County Commission; Ohio County Commission; Tyler County Commission; Wetzel County Commission	Brooke County Commission, Hancock County Commission, Harrison County Commission, Lewis County Commission, Marshall County Commission, Ohio County Commission, Tyler County Commission, and Wetzel County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 17-C-248H 17-C-249H 17-C-250H 17-C-251H 17-C-252H 17-C-253H 17-C-254H 17-C-255H  Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
510.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Patty Carnes; Carol Debord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook; Mark Ross	Municipality	West Virginia	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barboth County; Mayor Chris Caulun on behalf of The Village Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylon County; The County Commission of Webster County; and Mayor Daylon McCourt, on behalf of Mayor Daylon County; and Mayor Daylon Town of Addison a/k/ Town of Webster Spring Purdue Pharma L.P., County County County County County County County County; and Mayor Daylon County; and Mayor Daylon County County; and Mayor Daylon County County; and Mayor Daylon County; and Mayor Dayl	Cir. Ct. Marshall County 19-C-4H 19-C-5H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C-8H 19-C-9H  Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
				137	7:508:508ain Exobabilit 215 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
511.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of the Mortimer Sackler Family; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Carol DeBord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook	Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton; Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton, and Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Preliminary Injunctionary Injunctionary Injunctional Monongalia County Commission; Marion Octational Marion Commission; Doddridge Extension of Commission of Commissio	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-151 19-C-152  Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
512.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; Upshur County Commission	County Commission; Randolph County	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 18-C-222H 18-C-233H 18-C-234H 18-C-235H 18-C-236H  Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
				138	Commission; and Ups 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
513.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Jeff Waugh; Carol Debord; Amanda Bias Hayes; Doug Powers	Municipality	West Virginia	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., Pharmaceutical	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-96-108H
Hos	<u>pital (MDL)</u>					
514.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	MDL	Danville Regional Medical Center, LLC	Danville Regional Medical Center, LLC v. Purduc Pharma L.P., et al. Triad Health Systems, and	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45788 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
515.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.	Hospital	MDL	Triad Health Systems, Inc.	l and all athen similarly sites to d	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45780 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				139	Federally Qualified Healthcare Systems and Clinics v. Purdue Phartition L.P., et al.  Pg 217 of 275  Basin Exhabition Pg 217 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
516.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Hospital	MDL	West Boca Medical	West Boca Medical Center,	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.			Center, Inc.	Inc. v. AmerisourceBergen	1:18-op-45530 Master
					Drug Corp., et al.	Case No. 17-md-2804
					<u> </u>	
					9	
					<b>1</b>	
					<u> </u>	
					19-9-2289-96	
	pital, Individual, Third Party Payo				<u> </u>	
517.	Richard Sackler;	Hospital	Alabama	Mobile County Board of	Mobile County Board of	Cir. Ct. Mobile Cnty.
	Beverly Sackler;			Health;	Health and Family Oriented	02-CV-2019-902806
	David Sackler;			Family Oriented	Primary Health Care Care v.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan			Primary Health Care	Primary Health Care (The v. Richard Sackler, et al.)	
	Sackler;			Clinic	ar)	
	Kathe Sackler;				 	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler;				નું ધ્રો	
	John Stewart;				l ince	
	Mark Timney;				l 밝혔	
	Craig Landau;					
	Russell Gasdia;				 ਬੂ	
	Joe Coggins;					
	Lyndsie Fowler;					
	Mitchell "Chip" Fisher;				<u> </u>	
	Rebecca Sterling;					
	Vanessa Weatherspoon;				l te	
	Chris Hargrave;				<u> </u>	
	Brandon Hasenfuss;				 ₽:₽:	
	Joe Read;				ln 🎉	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.				oitc	
518.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Hospital	Arizona	Kingman Hospital, Inc.;	75年Jed円砂位の第20/20/20 te iEnte のでのでのではのできる。 This process and Joint Kingman Hospital, Indicated Arizona Spine and Joint Arizona Spine and Arizona	Mohave Cnty. Sup. Ct.
	Inc.;			Arizona Spine and Joint		S8015cv201900563
	Richard Sackler;			Hospital LLC;	Hospital LLC; Bullhead sty	
	Beverly Sackler; David Sackler;			Bullhead City Hospital	Hospital Corporation; 🔂 💍	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;			Corporation; Carondelet	Carondelet St. Joseph's	
	Kathe Sackler;			St. Joseph's Hospital;	Hospital; Holy Cross	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Holy Cross Hospital,	Hospital, Inc.; Hospital	
	Theresa Sackler;			Inc.;	Development of West	
	John Stewart;			Hospital Development	Phoenix, Inc.; Northwest	
	Mark Timney;			of West Phoenix, Inc.;	Hospital, LLC; Oro Valley	
	Craig Landau;			Northwest Hospital,	Hospital, LLC; Oasis	
	Russell Gasdia			LLC;	Hospital; Orthopedic and	
				Oro Valley Hospital,	Medical Specialty Compagy,	
				LLC;	LLC; St. Mary's Hospital	
				Oasis Hospital;	Tucson; VHS Acquisition	
				Orthopedic and Surgical	Subsidiary Number 1, In	
				Specialty Company,	and VHS Arrowhead Inc	
				LLC;	Purdue Pharma L.P. eta	
				St. Mary's Hospital of	ore of	
				Tucson;		
				VHS Acquisition	Ti. <b>2</b>	
				Subsidiary Number 1,	na <del>A</del> .	
				Inc.;	.ry	
				VHS Arrowhead, Inc.	dd DDcd 15.75	
519.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Hospital	Arizona	Tucson Medical Center	Tucson Medical Centerv	Super. Ct. Pima County
	Inc.	_			Purdue Pharma L.P., 🕰a 🛱	C20184991
520.	Richard Sackler; Beverly	Hospital	Florida	Florida Health Sciences	Florida Health Science	Cir. Ct. Broward Cnty.
	Sackler; David Sackler;			Center, Inc.; North	Center, Inc., et al. v. Richard	19-018882
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan			Broward Hospital	Sackler, et a.	
	Sackler; Kathe Sackler;			District; Halifax	99	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Hospital Medical	<b>№</b> €	
	Theresa Sackler;			Center, Bayfront HMA	11.83	
	John Stewart;			Medical Center, LLC;	<u> </u>	
	Mark Timney;			CGH Hospital, Ltd.;	[2] [2] [6]	
	Craig Landau;			Citrus HMA, LLC;	7.00	
	Russell Gasdia; Barbara C.			Central Florida Health;		
	Miller; Briann Parson-Barnes;			Crestview Hospital		
	Becca Beck Harville; Lindsey			Corporation; Delray	1 <b>1</b> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Bonifacio; Tammy Heyward;			Medical Center, Inc.;	) n	
	James Speed, Damon Storhoff;			Flagler Hospital, Inc.;	Į	
	Diana C. Muller;			Good Samaritan	<b>0/2</b> P <sub>C</sub>	
	Draupadi Daley			Medical Center, Inc.;	200	
				Haines City HMA,	21.	
				LLC; Hernando HMA,	Florida Health Science de Center, Inc., et al. v. Rentel de Sackler, et a. Sackler, et a. Sackler, et a. Pg 219 of	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				LLC; Hialeah Hospital,		
				Inc.; HMA Santa Rosa		
				Medical Center, LLC;		
				Key West HMA, LLC;		
				Lake Shore HMA, LLC;		
				Lake Wales Hospital	1	
				Corporation; Larkin	9.50	
				Community Hospital	 	
				Palm Springs Campus,	<b>1</b>	
				LLC; Larkin	<b>Q</b>	
				Community Hospital,	<u>ම</u>	
				Inc; Larkin Community	₽	
				Hospital Behavioral	d   re	
				Service, Inc.; Leesburg		
				Regional Medical	l ⊇ir <b>ĕ</b>	
				Center, Inc.; Lifemark	₫.7 nar	
				Hospitals of Florida,	~ <u>F</u>	
				Inc.; Live Oak HMA,	lnj.	
				LLC; Naples HMA,	독卫	
				LLC; North Shore	ed	
				Medical Center, Inc.;	<u> </u>	
				Osceolasc LLC; Palm	 	
				Beach Gardens	14.	
				Community Hospital,	Q Z	
				Inc.; Port Charlotte	<u> </u>	
				HMA, LLC; Punta	LS )/2	
				Gorda HMA, LLC; St.	<u>s</u>	
				Mary's Medical Center,		
				Inc.; Starke HMA, LLC;	Z (1)	
				The Villages Tri-County	ju <b>f</b>	
				Medical Center, Inc.;	nc <del>Me</del>	
				and Venice HMA, LLC	19.	101.7.1.71
521.	The Purdue Frederick Company	University	Louisiana	The Board of	The Board of Supervisors or	19th Jud. Dist. Ct. East
	Inc.;			Supervisors for	Louisiana State Universit	Baton Rouge Parish
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;			Louisiana State	and Agricultural and DR Mechanical College V	C-694318 24
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			University and	Mechanical College v	
	Beverly Sackler;			Agricultural and	AmerisourceBergen Drugel	
	David A. Sackler;			Mechanical College	Corp., et al.	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;				P.	
	Stuart Baker;				19.9%	
	Raymond Sackler Trust					
522.		Hospital	Mississippi	Singing River Health	Singing River Health System;	Ch. Ct. Jackson Cnty.
	Jaclyn P. Gatling;			System;	Anderson Regional Healt	30-CH-1:19-cv-01879
	Leslie Roberson			Anderson Regional	System;	
				Health System;	Biloxi HMA, LLC d/b/g L	
				Biloxi HMA, LLC d/b/a	Merit Health Biloxi; ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	
				Merit Health Biloxi; Clarksdale HMA, LLC	Northwest Mississippi	
				d/b/a Northwest	Northwest Mississipp	
				Mississippi Medical	Field Memorial Community	
				Center;	Hospital d/b/o Field Harlis	
				Field Memorial	System:	
				Community Hospital	Hospital d/b/a Field Halls System; Jackson HMA, LLC day 2	
				d/b/a Field Health	Marit Haulth Control: O T	
				System;	Magnolia Regional Health	
				Jackson HMA, LLC	Center;	
				d/b/a Merit Health	Madison HMA, LLC	
				Central;	Madison HMA, LLC	
				Magnolia Regional	Brandon HMA, LLC	
				Health Center;	Brandon HMA, LLC	
				Madison HMA, LLC	Wesley Health System and C	
				d/b/a Merit Health	d/b/a Merit Health Western Natchez Hospital Contract, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Natchez;	
				Madison;	Natchez Hospital Conta	
				Brandon HMA, LLC	LLC d/b/a Merit Heal 🛱 🚡	
				d/b/a Merit Health	Natchez;	
				Rankin;	North Sunflower Medra	
				Wesley Health System,	Center;	
				LLC d/b/a Merit Health	River Oaks Hospital, De d/b/a Merit Health River	
				Wesley;	d/b/a Merit Health River	
				Natchez Hospital	Oaks;	
				Company, LLC d/b/a	Vicksburg Healthcare, T	

			<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Merit Health Natchez;	d/b/a Merit Health River	
			North Sunflower	Region and Merit Health	
			Medical Center;	River Region West;	
			River Oaks Hospital,	Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit Health	
			LLC d/b/a Merit Health	Women's Hospital;	
			River Oaks;	Tippah County Hospital;	
			Vicksburg Healthcare,	Alliance Healthcare Syst <b>♠</b> ;	
			LLC d/b/a Merit Health	Memorial Hospital at	
			River Region and Merit	Memorial Hospital at Gulfport; Delta Regional Medical Center; Progressive Medical Management of Bates	
			Health River Region	Delta Regional Medical 🎇	
			West;	Center;	
			Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit	Progressive Medical	
			Health Women's	Management of Bates Hile	
			Hospital;	d/b/a Panola Medical <b>⊆en£e</b> r;	
			Tippah County	Boa Vida Hospital of	
			Hospital;	Abderdeen, MS, LLC 🚾 Բ	
			Alliance Healthcare	Nathan C. Grace, et al.	
			System;	l 15.75	
			Memorial Hospital at	목卫	
			Gulfport;	lct 1	
			Delta Regional Medical	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
			Center;	) (E	
			Progressive Medical	10.4	
			Management of	(Sp. 2012)	
			Batesville d/b/a Panola	75Filedfülk/d.40%/20/Einte Injunction anflig/2011ntaig	
			Medical Center;	D/2	
			Boa Vida Hospital of		
			Abderdeen, MS, LLC	l	

	DIAID 4	A 41 TD	G4 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G UG N I
500	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
523.	1 2	Individual	New Jersey	Hill, Fredrick	Fredrick Hill v. Purdue	Super Ct. NJ Camden
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	Cnty.
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					L-003693-19
	Purdue Holdings L.P.;					
	Rosebay Medical Company					
	L.P.;				19	
	The Beacon Company;				 	
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler;				🍇	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				X	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				<u>9</u>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				19-ഇജ്മങ്ങളെ eddd DDcd 75 Preliminary	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				P 통	
	David A. Sackler;				_ □	
	Beverly Sackler;				l ≞ğ	
	Theresa Sackler;				Docd 7575-11 eliminary Injun	
	Estate of Dr. Richard Sackler;				Ty ZE	
	Estate of Mortimer Sackler;				IT	
	Estate of Raymond Sackler				를 <u>위</u>	
524.	,	Individual	New Jersey	Perez, Francisco	Francisco Perez, individually,	Super. Ct. NJ Passaic Cnty.
	Inc.			,	as administrator and 💆 🛱	PAS-L-003289-18
					administrator ad	
					prosequendum for the Bs	
					of Tanny Robles-Pere	
					Fransheka Robles Gon 29	
					Sarai Perez Robles, a Ringr,	
					by Francisco Perez, hi	
					guardian ad litem v. F. d	
					Revordero, M.D., et a	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
525.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Estate	New York	The Estate of Justine Maria Aliotta; Aliotta, Joanne individually and as administratrix of the Estate of Justine Marie Aliotta, deceased; Torres Julissa Cecelia, an infant by her guardian Joanne Aliotta, on behalf of themselves and Plaintiff Class Consisting of all other persons in New York so situated	Patty Carol Leysen, et al.  Corp. et al.	Sup. Ct. Richmond Cnty. 152057-2019
526.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Leysen, Patty Carol, Administrator of the Estate of Brian Keith Johnston	Patty Carol Leysen, et al. AmerisourceBergen Daugh Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Davidson Cnty. 20-cvs-112
527.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Stevens, Susan K., Administratrix of the Estate of Toria Capri Stevens	Susan Stevens, et al. violation and AmerisourceBergen Land AmerisourceBergen Land Corp., et al.  Sonji B. Williams, Administratrix of the Extension of Tyler Michael Wain Williams v. AmerisourceBergen Drugger Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Forsyth Cnty. 20-cvs-352
528.		Estate	North Carolina	Williams, Sonji B., Administratrix of the Estate of Tyler Michael Wain Williams	<b>20</b>	Super. Ct. Gaston Cnty. 19-cvs-5192
				146	72: <b>5</b> :08:56ain Exonomia 224 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
529.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302269
530.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302255
531.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PA/DE Health and Welfare Fund	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PASE Health and Welfare Funds Purdue Pharma L.P., et a	C.P. Philadelphia 180302256
532.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Carpenters Health & Welfare Fund of Philadelphia & Vicinity	Carpenters Health & Vicinity of Philadelphia & Vicinity of Philadelphia & Vicinity of Zis 75Filed Filed Filed A 22/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	C.P. Philadelphia 180302264
533.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Estate	Pennsylvania	Davidson, Karen A., Individually, and Administratrix of the Estate of John C. Davidson, deceased	Karen Davidson, et al (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 200100381
				147	1 <b>/207:5</b> ⊅8: <b>5&amp;</b> ain Exobabilit Pg 225 of 275	
					Skhäbiitr	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
34.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	International	International Brotherhood of	C.P. Philadelphia
	Inc.	Payor		Brotherhood of	Electrical Workers Local 728	No. 003872
				Electrical Workers	Family Healthcare Plan v.	
				Local 728 Family	Allergan, PLC, et al.	
35.	The December For the delta Comment	Th:1 D	D	Healthcare Plan International	Letermetic mel Durath subsection	C D Db:1- 1-1-b:- C
)).	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Brotherhood of	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local (98)	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 002063
	me.	1 ayoi		Electrical Workers	Health & Welfare Fund a	002003
				Local 98 Health &	International Brotherhoods	
				Welfare Fund and	Electrical Workers Local	
				International	Sound and Communication	
				Brotherhood of	Health & Welfare Fund	
				Electrical Workers	Endo Pharmaceuticals of et	
				Local 89 Sound and	al.	
				Communication Health	al.	
				& Welfare Fund	1 d. 7	
36.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	International Union of	International Union of	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.	Payor		Painters and Allied	Painters and Allied Trails District Council No. 25 Welfare Fund v. Allerarg	001983
				Trades, District Council	District Council No. 2 🕎 🛂	
				No. 21 Welfare Fund	Welfare Fund v. Aller	
					PLC, et al.	
37.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	Iron Workers District	Iron Workers District Comcil	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.	Payor		Council of Philadelphia	of Philadelphia and Vicinity,	180502442
				and Vicinity, Benefit	Benefit Fund v. Abboto St. Laboratories, Inc.	
20	m	mi in	D 1 '	Fund	Laboratories, Inc.	CD DI'I 1111 C
38.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Federation	Philadelphia Federation	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.	Payor		of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund	Teachers Health and Teachers Health and Teachers Health and Teachers	180403891
				Wellare Fund	Fund v. Endo	
39.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	Southeastern	Southeastern Pennsylvan	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
J).	Inc.	Payor	1 Chinsylvania	Pennsylvania	Transportation Author	March Term 2018 No.
		1 4,01		Transportation	Endo Pharmaceuticals	02923
				Authority		
40.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	Steamfitters Local 449	Steamfitters Local 449	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.	Payor	-	Medical & Benefit Fund	Medical & Benefit Fund	19091412
					Allergan PLC, et al. NO	
					72.508:548ain Exobability 126 of 275	
					ق ق	
					f 2.	
				148	75 🕷	
				170	<u> </u>	
					罗	
					<b>S</b> .	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
541.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	The Trustees of the	The Trustees of the Unite	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.	Payor		Unite Here Local 634	Here Local 634 Health &	180401123
				Health & Welfare Fund	Welfare Fund v. Purdue	
					Pharma L.P., et al.	
542.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	UFCW Local 23 and	UFCW Local 23 and	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.	Payor		Employers Health Fund	Employers Health Fund 4	180403485
					Endo Pharmaceuticals, In	
543.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	Western Pennsylvania	Western Pennsylvania Electrical Employees	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.	Payor		Electrical Employees		181002038
				Insurance Trust Fund	Insurance Trust Fund v. Kodo	
					Pharmaceuticals Inc., et a	
544.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Hospital	Texas	Dallas County Hospital	Dallas County Hospitat District d/b/a Parklanc Health	Dallas Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Inc.;			District d/b/a Parkland	District d/b/a Parkland lealth	DC-19-13794
	Richard Sackler;			Health & Hospital	& Hospital System; P	
	Beverly Sackler;			System;		
	David Sackler;			Palo Pinto	County Hospital Distret 2k/a	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;			County Hospital District	Palo Pinto General Hospitel;	
	Jonathan Sackler; Kathe			a/k/a Palo Pinto General	Guadalupe Valley Hospite	
	Sackler; Mortimer Sackler;			Hospital;	a/k/a Guadalupe Regio⊊a₽	
	Theresa Sackler;			Guadalupe Valley	Medical Center; VHS ∑ar	
	John Stewart;			Hospital a/k/a	Antonio Partners, LLŒdÆa	
	Mark Timney;			Guadalupe Regional	Baptist Medical Center	
	Craig Landau;			Medical Center;	Mission Trail Baptist 🗐 🛣	
	Russell Gasdia			VHS San Antonio	Hospital, North Centrate	
				Partners, LLC d/b/a	Mission Trail Baptist Hospital, North Central Baptist Hospital, North	
				Baptist Medical Center,	Baptist Hospital, and Luke's Baptist Hospital	
				Mission Trail Baptist	Luke's Baptist Hospit 📆 💆	
				Hospital, North Central	Nacogdoches Medical ter;	
				Baptist Hospital,	Resolute Hospital Con	
				Northeast Baptist	LLC d/b/a Resolute Heel	
				Hospital, and St. Luke's	The Hospitals of Proverse	
				Baptist Hospital;		
				Nacogdoches Medical	Campus; The Hospitals of	
				Center;	Providence Memorial	
				Resolute Hospital	Campus; The Hospital To	
				Company, LLC d/b/a	Providence N 💍	
				Resolute Health;	Sierra Campus: The Host als	
				The Hospitals of	of Providence Transmounts in	

			<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Providence East	Campus; VHS Brownsville	
			Campus;	Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a	
			The Hospitals of	Valley Baptist Medical	
			Providence Memorial	Center - Brownsville; VHS	
			Campus;	Harlingen Hospital Company,	
			The Hospitals of	LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist	
			Providence	Medical Center;	
			Sierra Campus;	Armc, L.P. d/b/a Abilene	
			The Hospitals of	Regional Medical Center	
			Providence	College Station Hospital, P;	
			Transmountain Campus;	Granbury Hospital Corporation d/b/a Lake Granbury Medical Center,	
			VHS Brownsville	Corporation d/b/a Lake	
			Hospital Company, LLC	Granbury Medical Center,	
			d/b/a	Navarro Hospital, L.P	
			Valley Baptist Medical	d/b/a Navarro Regiona €	
			Center - Brownsville;	Hospital; Brownwood	
			VHS Harlingen Hospital	Hospital, L.P. d/b/a	
			Company, LLC d/b/a	Brownwood Regional Medical Center; Victor	
			Valley Baptist Medical	Medical Center; Victo	
			Center;	Texas, L.P. d/b/a Detact	
			Armc, L.P. d/b/a	Hospital	
			Abilene Regional	Navarro and Detar Hospi	
			Medical Center; College	North; Laredo Texas Hospital	
			Station Hospital, LP;	Company, L.P. d/b/a	
			Granbury Hospital	Medical Center; San Appelo	
			Corporation d/b/a Lake	Hospital, L.P. d/b/a San Angelo	
			Granbury Medical	Angelo	
			Center; Navarro	Community Medical (Schip),	
			Hospital, L.P.	Cedar Park Health System	
			d/b/a Navarro Regional	L.P. Cedar Park Regional	
			Hospital;	d/b/a Cedar Park Regional	
			Brownwood Hospital,	Medical Center; NHC	
			L.P. d/b/a Brownwood	Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hillsboro	
			Regional Medical	Regional Hospital; Long	
			Center; Victoria of	Medical Center, L.P. d. R.	
			Texas, L.P. d/b/a Detar	Longview Regional Med	
			Hospital Navarro and	Center; and Piney Woods	
			Detar Hospital North;	Healthcare System, L.P. 479/a	

	Deleted Death	A - 4° T	C4-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Come Combine	Complete Name Name
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)  Laredo Texas Hospital Company, L.P. d/b/a Laredo Medical Center; San Angelo Hospital, L.P. d/b/a San Angelo Community Medical Center; Cedar Park Health System, L.P. d/b/a Cedar Park Regional Medical Center; NHCI of Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hill Regional Hospital; Longview Medical Center, L.P. d/b/a Longview Regional Medical Center; Piney Woods Healthcare System, L.P. d/b/a Woodland Heights Medical Center	Woodland Heights Medical Center v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-9228996dd DDod ZE 75Filed DD	Court/Case Number
545.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard D. Sackler	Third Party Payor	Texas	Fire and Police Retirement Health Care Fund, San Antonio	Fire and Police Retire AT Health Care Fund, Sar Antonio v. Richard D. Sackler, et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-33724
546.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart;	Hospital	West Virginia	West Virginia University Hospitals Inc.; Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Inc.; Bluefield Hospital Company, LLC; Broaddus Hospital Association; Camden-Clark Memorial Hospital	West Virginia University Hospitals Inc.; Appalated Regional Healthcare, Economics Bluefield Hospital Company, LLC; Broaddus Hospital Association; Camden-Blant Memorial Hospital Corporation; Charleston Medical Center, Inc.; The Charles Town General Hospital Hospital Corporation; Charleston Charles Town General Charles Town	Civ. Action Nos. 19-C-69 through 19-C-88 and 19-C- 134 through 19-C-139 Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000

Gration City Hospital, W. Va., Inc., Fleston	Court/Case Number
Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes  Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Medical Center, Inc.; The Charles Town General Hospital; Graft City Hospital, Inc.; Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Greenbrier VMC, LLC; Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Plateau Medical Center; Potomac Valley Hospital W. Va., Inc.; Preston	
Russell Gasdia; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes  Memorial Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Graft City Hospital, Inc.; Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital Hospital Hospital; Potomac Valley Hospital W. Va., Inc.; Preston	
Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes  The Charles Town General Hospital; City Hospital, Inc.; Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Potomac Valley Hospital W. Va., Inc.; Preston	
Mark Ross; Patty Carnes  General Hospital; City Hospital, Inc.; Grant City Hospital, Inc.; Memorial Hospital; Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Potomac Valley Hospital Grafton City Hospital, W. Va., Inc.; Preston	
Patty Carnes  City Hospital, Inc.; Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Potomac Valley Hospital Grafton City Hospital, W. Va., Inc.; Preston	n
Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Hospital; Potomac Valley Hospital Grafton City Hospital, W. Va., Inc.; Preston	
Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital Company; Oak Hospital; Hospital Corporation d/b Davis Memorial Hospital; Hospital; Grafton City Hospital, W. Va., Inc.; Preston	ے
Jackson General Hospital; Hospital Company; Oak Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Hospital; Fotomac Valley Hospital Grafton City Hospital, W. Va., Inc.; Preston	
Hospital; Hospital Corporation d/b Davis Memorial Plateau Medical Center; Hospital; Potomac Valley Hospital Grafton City Hospital, W. Va., Inc.; Preston of	
Davis Memorial Plateau Medical Center; Hospital; Potomac Valley Hospital Grafton City Hospital, W. Va., Inc.; Preston	
Hospital; Potomac Valley Hospital; W. Va., Inc.; Preston of the control of the co	<u>B</u>
Hospital; Potomac Valley Hospital; W. Va., Inc.; Preston of the control of the co	<u> </u>
Grafton City Hospital, W. Va., Inc.; Preston of the city Hospital, W. Va., W. Wa., W.	<u> </u>
	2
Grant Memorial Corporation; Princeton →	<u>,                                    </u>
	<b>Š</b>
Hospital; Community Hospital	L. L.
Greenbrier VMC, LLC; Association, Inc.; Reyrol	ii ei
Monongalia County   Memorial Hospital Inc. \$	F
General Hospital Joseph's Hospital of	
Company; Buckhannon, Inc.; Water	<b>e</b> ns
Oak Hill Hospital Boots Alliance, Inc.;	Ŕ
Corporation d/b/a Stonewall Jackson Memory	āl
Plateau Medical Center; Hospital Company; Unit	<u>à</u>
Potomac Valley Hospital Center, Inc.;	<u>3</u>
Hospital of W. Va., Inc.; Webster County Memoria	₽
Preston Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Wetzel	<u>H</u> nty
Hospital Corporation; Hospital Association;	<b>5</b>
Hospital Corporation; Hospital Association; Princeton Community Williamson Memorial	<b>†</b>
Hospital Association, Hospital, LLC.; and Back	<b>j</b> n
Inc. County Memorial Hoset	<b>≩</b>
Reynolds Memorial Inc. v. Purdue Pharma	🕉 et 📗
Hospital Inc.; al.	<u>z</u>
St. Joseph's Hospital of	<u> </u>
Buckhannon, Inc.;	ă
Stonewall Jackson U	3
Memorial Hospital	3 5
Reynolds Memorial Hospital Inc.; St. Joseph's Hospital of Buckhannon, Inc.; Stonewall Jackson Memorial Hospital Company; United Hospital Center,	ا لَّهِ
United Hospital Center,	2

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
		Action Type	State	Inc.; Webster County Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Wetzel County Hospital Association; Williamson Memorial Hospital, LLC.; Braxton County Memorial Hospital, Inc.	A.M.H., individually and	Court/Case Number
	te, Individual, Third Party Payor (		) (D)		<b>8</b>	MD 01.
547	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	NAS	MDL	A.M.H.	A.M.H., individually and an ext friend or guardian minor Baby C.E., and on behalf of all others singled situated v. Purdue Pharmacy Injunction and gyzaton	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				153	minor Baby C.E., and behalf of all others siminary Injunction and gyzbontal phases at least of the situated v. Purdue Phases Injunction and gyzbontal gyzbon	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
548.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Alexander, Melba	Melba Alexander, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.H.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45502 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				19.9.82899eddd DDcd 75. Preliminafy Melissa Ambrosio,	
549.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ambrosio, Melissa	Melissa Ambrosio, individually and as neprocessing friend of Baby G.A., dended behalf of themselves and others similarly situating Purdue Pharma L.P., eanely 281 notice and unction Pg 232	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45375 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				154	<b>3/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> & ain Exonobolitr 1 Pg 232 of 275	

	D. I.		g	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G 4/G 53
550	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type NAS	MDL State	(Last, First) Artz, Jennifer	Case Caption Jennifer Artz, individually	Court/Case Number N.D. Ohio
330.	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	INAS	WIDL	Artz, Jennier	and as next friend and guardian of Baby I.A.A., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al., Prelimina	N.D. Onio 1:19-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
551.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Atkinson, Sandra	Sandra Atkinson, individed and as next friend and as next friend and Education of Baby L.C. Purdue Pharma L.P., each of Education and Educatio	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45531 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				155	)%2/20/207.61208:548ain Exobabuita ≀n Pg 233 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
552.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	NAS NAS	MDL	Berzinski, April	April Berzinski, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.Z. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45503 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				oreliminar	
553.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Brant, Shelby L.	Shelby L. Brant, individually and as next friend and Inc. Inc. In guardian of Baby L.A. In behalf of themselves and others similarly situated purdue Pharma L.P., eanely 28374 junction Pg 232	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45494 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				156	<b>200/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 8ain Exobabilit n Pg 234 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
554.		NAS	MDL	Brumbarger, Brandi	Brandi Brumbarger, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.B.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45469 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
555.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Carlson, Desiree	Desiree Carlson, individually and as next friend and Initially guardian of Baby J.S., in Bold Discontinuation of Baby J.S. in B	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45487 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				157	0/ <b>3/20/20/1:5</b> 008: <b>5</b> 88ain EXobobolity on Pg 235 of 275	

	Related Parties	A -4* T	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
556.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Action Type NAS	MDL	Chancey, Musette	Musette Chancey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies D.C.1. and D.C.2. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45533 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
557.	Sackler Family  The Purdue Frederick  Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Cherry, Angela	Angela Cherry, individually and as next friend and in surface of Baby Z.Ch., and behalf of themselves and behalf of themselves and others similarly situation there is similarly situation to the similar behalf of themselves and the similar behalf of the similar behalf of the similar behalf of themselves and the similar behalf of the similar bend of the similar behalf of the similar behalf of the similar beh	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45490 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
558.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	MDL	Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Health and Welfare Fund and Pipe Fitters Local Union No. 120 Insurance Fund	Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Health and Welfare Fund and Pipe Fitters Union No. 120 Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharmeller., et al.,	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45432 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

			_	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
550	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
559.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Collier, Jessica	Jessica Collier, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.P. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45506 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				D <b>D</b> ©đ.	
560.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Cruz, Gloria	Gloria Cruz, individually as next friend and guating of Baby C.E.L., on behalf of Baby C.E.L., on behalf of themselves and all other on an eight of Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al.  Pg 237 of 275	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45466 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				159	<b>2/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 8ain Exobabilit 1 Pg 237 of 275	

			G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		a ua r
561.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type NAS	MDL State	(Last, First) Delancey, Christina	Case Caption Christina Delancey,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;			J	individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.J.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue	1:19-op-45480 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al.  Preliminary  Samantha DeMaro,	
	Sackler Family				ina	
562.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	DeMaro, Samantha	Samantha DeMaro, individually and as neal friend and guardian of the friend and guardian of the similarly situated v. Prantigy 7.4 junction Pg 238 of 275  Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 238 of 275	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45465 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				160	1920/2012:5:08:5:08:in Extraction n Pg 238 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
563.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Dixon, Deborah	Deborah Dixon, as next friend and guardian of baby S.E.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  11988288990000 Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45511 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
564.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Doe, John	John Doe, by and through Jane Doe, his parent and Jane Doe, his parent and Jane Doe, his parent and Jane Doe, his parent all others of Borms L. P. et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46318 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
565.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Doyle, Erin	Erin Doyle, individual and as Mother and Custodians. Baby D.F., on behalf by themselves and all others is similarly situated v. And it entered to the similar by	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46327 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
5.00	Related Parties	Action Type	State MDL	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number N.D. Ohio
366.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc., Rhodes Technologies Inc., Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc., The P.F. Laboratories Inc., Richard S. Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Kathe A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler Lefcourt, Beverly Sackler, Theresa Sackler, David A. Sackler, Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Third Party Payor	MDL	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  11998238996100  Preliminary end as port friend and a	1:19-op-45810 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Sackler Family;				Pre	
	Stuart D. Baker				D. D. Elir	
567.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ellis, Esperenza	Esperenza Ellis, individual y and as next friend and respect to themselves all guardian of Baby S.O. Enter the similarly situate of themselves amily situate of themselves amily and a partial purdue Pharma L.P., et ion and gyzbanding 7/4 junction Pg 240 of 275 Pg 240 of 275	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45464 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
					砂d 必要/2 nction	
				162	2 <b>0/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 0air Pg 240 of 275	
					n EXebicibulity	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
5.60	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
568.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Flach, Brittany	Brittany Flach, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies A.B. and G.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma. L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45488 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
569.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Flanagan, Darren and Elena	Darren and Elena Flansgan, individually and as adomy parents and next friends of Baby K.L.F., on behaloof	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45405 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				163	themselves and all other similarly situated v. Prantegy 240 02/20/20 1:508:58 ain Exhibiting Themselves and L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al. Pg 241 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
570.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS NAS	MDL	Gauthier, Krista; Sawyers, Angela; Springborn, Jessica	Krista Gauthier, Angela Sawyers, and Jessica Springborn, individually and as next friends and guardians of Babies D.L.D., M.A.S., and N.S., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purd Pharma L.P., et al.  Mechelle Gauthier, individually and as note.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45478 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
571.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gauthier, Mechelle	Mechelle Gauthier, individually and as neighbor friend and guardian of B.L. v. Purdue Pharmation and guardian et al.  Mechelle Gauthier, individually and as neighbor friend and guardian of B.L. v. Purdue Pharmation and government of Particles and Particl	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45514 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				164	<b>0/3/20/207:5:</b> 0:5:5:5:8:in Extribution	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
572.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gibson, Amanda	Amanda Gibson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.A. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-9828996 dd DDood	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45515 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
573.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gilson, Jamiee	Jamiee Gilson, as next in its	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45461 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				165	9 <b>3/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 8ain Extendiolity In Pg 243 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
574.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Goforth, Rebecca	Rebecca Goforth, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies A.S. and N.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45532 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19-9/8289/9eldd DDcd	
575.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Goldman, Jenni	Jenni Goldman, individually and as next friend and Injury and as next friend and Injury and as next friend and M.J.R. v. Purdue Regional L.P., et al.  L.P., et al.  Pg 2	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45516 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				166	<b>/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 8ain Exobóbilio n Pg 244 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
576.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Goss, Heather	Heather Goss, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies C.B. and V.B. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  1198289900000000000000000000000000000000	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45518 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
577.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Wrongful Death	Mississippi	Greer, Julia	Julia Greer, individually and as next friend and on behalf of all wrongful death beneficiaries of Rose Carp deceased v. Charles Elford M.D. et al	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-46117 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
578.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hamawi, Marijha; Lara, Meghan	Marijha Hamawi, indigidily and as next friend and guardian of Babies K. Sand N.A.W.; and Megaritan and N.A.W.; and Megaritan and guardian of Babies K.L.H. and N.A.W., or ball of themselves and all the similarly situated v. Production Pg 2245 December 245 Of Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45477 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
579.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hampel, Jessica	Jessica Hampel, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.M.H., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45473 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
580.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Herring, Courtney	Courtney Herring, individually and as negligible of the content of	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45519 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				168	<b>/3/20/207:5</b>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
581.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Howell, Reannan	Reannan Howell, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby N.J.D. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  199828996100 Door.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45520 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
582.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hunt, Shannon	Shannon Hunt, Individually and as Next Friend and in Guardian of Minor S. Lingle on Behalf of All Others in an Eight of All Others Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al.  Pg 20	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45681 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				169	0/32/20/207:508:58ain Extendion on Pg 247 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
583.		NAS	MDL	Hutchins, Kiana	Kiana Hutchins, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby T.E. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-982899600 DDcc	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45505 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
584.		NAS	MDL	Ivie, Billie	Billie Ivie, individually as next friend and guarding as next friend and guarding as Baby A.I., on behalf of themselves and all others of similarly situated v. Production Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al.  Pg 248	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45489 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				170	<b>0/3/20/207:5</b> : <b>5</b> :5:38:58ain Extraction on Pg 248 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
585.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Johnson, Aracya	Aracya Johnson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby R.H. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45521 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
586.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Johnson, Jamie	Jamie Johnson, individual and as next friend and Johnson and Babies K. Fill guardian of Babies K. Purdue Pharmation and J.D. v. Purdue Pharmation and J.D. v	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45504 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				171	<b>2/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 48ain Exobóbolor n Pg 249 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
587.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Kirk, Krystle	Krystle Kirk, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.K. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19982899610 DDGC.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45509 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
588.		NAS	MDL	Kommer, Elizabeth	Elizabeth Kommer, individually and as next friend and guardian of friend and guardian of C.K. v. Purdue Pharmation and guardian of et al.  Pg 250 2:5	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45522 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				172	<b>0/3/20/207:5</b> :5:08:5:8ain Extractority on Pg 250 of 275	

	D1 ( 1D ()	A (1) TE	Gr. 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
<b>5</b> 00	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
589.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	MDL	Laborers Local 1298 of	Laborers Local 1298 of	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.;	Payor		Nassau & Suffolk	Nassau & Suffolk Counties	1:19-op-45813
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			Counties Welfare Fund	Welfare Fund v. Purdue	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;				Ë	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				) <b>&amp;</b>	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				28	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				96	
	Theresa Sackler;				₽₽	
	David A. Sackler;				19-982899eldd DDcd 75 Preliminary	
	Trust for the Benefit of				l lii a	
	Members of the Raymond				l ii <b>e</b>	
	Sackler Family;				17  ar	
	Stuart D. Baker					
590.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	MDL	Local 8A-28A Welfare	Local 8A-28A Welfar	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.,	Payor		Fund	v. Purdue Pharma L.P = [4]	1:19-op-45809
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.,				ed	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.,				<u> </u>	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.,				l a	
	Richard S. Sackler;				1 <b>4.</b>	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Q 20	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				)	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				)/ <b>2</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				£ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £ £	
	Beverly Sackler;				[5] E	
	Theresa Sackler;				<u> </u>	
	David A. Sackler;				j i	
	Trust for the Benefit of				l ⊓	
	Members of the Raymond				1 <b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </b>	
	Sackler Family;				ed <b>ലിക്വഹിമു</b> .20/മതte ലെർക്ക്.എ.മുമു. nction and gyമർണക്കു 7എ unction	
	Stuart D. Baker				<u> </u>	

10/207:508:58ain Exhabitor Pg 251 of 275

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
591.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lechuga, Niola	Niola Lechuga, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies Q.H.L. and A.G.L., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45468 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
592.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lively, Carol	Carol Lively, individually as next friend and gualled Filed AVIZO/ZO/ZO Z:508:58ain Exhibition and gualled AVIZO/ZO/ZO Z:508:58ain Exhibition Pg 252 of 275	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45523 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				174	)% (20/207:508:5% in Exhibition Pg 252 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
593.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Lyle, Alyssa	Alyssa Lyle, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  119922899610 Dood.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45524 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				ekdid DDod Prelimina	
594.	Sackler Family  The Purdue Frederick  Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Martin, Kimberly	Kimberly Martin, individually and as next friend and initially and as next friend and purchased and	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45510 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				175	/ <mark>9/20/20</mark> 7:5:08:5:0ain Exobobolity n Pg 253 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
595.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Martin, Penny	Penny Martin, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby D.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  1998288496160 DDcc.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45508 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
596.		NAS	MDL	Martinez, Jacquelynn	Jacquelynn Martinez, VIII individually and as next individually J.M., on behalf of them individually J.M., o	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45484 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				176	<b>0/3/20/207:5</b> : <b>5</b> :5:38:58ain Extribulity on Pg 254 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
597.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Massey, Melanie	Melanie Massey, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45525
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Babies S.L.M.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				and K.D.R. v. Purdue Pharma	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				L.P., et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;				16	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				<b></b>	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;				<b>X</b>	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;				19.90.828899ehdid Pr	
	Theresa Sackler;				) eta	
	David A. Sackler;				P E	
	Trust for the Benefit of				l ≞. <sub>D</sub>	
	Members of the Raymond				3.₽	
	Sackler Family				#d D <b>D</b> @d.	
598.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D.	Third Party	Massachusetts	Mayflower Municipal	Mayflower Municipal Hearth	N.D. Ohio
	Sackler; Mortimer D.A.	Payor		Health Group	Group v. Johnson & Jonnson,	1:19-op-45897
	Sackler;			•		Master Case No. 17-md-
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler				l lec	2804
	Lefcourt;				ご道	
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa				n æ	
	Sackler; David A. Sackler;				144 116	
	Trust for the Benefit of				(Se	
	Members of the Raymond				<b>D</b>	
	Sackler Family;				5.4°	
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; The				[ <u>E</u>	
	Purdue Frederick Company				[ <u>a</u>	
	Inc.;				Filed ମଧ୍ୟ/ଏ 40'ସ/20/25 mte i Einit é unction an Elgy/25 Antair ଦୁ 7 Aju t	
	Stuart D. Baker					

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
599.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	NAS NAS	MDL	McAnany, Samantha	Samantha McAnany, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.L.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45526 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond				19.9)82899eldd DDcd	
600.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Means, Corey	Corey Means, individual and as next friend and Injunction of Baby E.D. End others similarly situating Purdue Pharma L.P., enantely 25.574 junction Pg 256	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45470 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				178	<b>200/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 8ain Exobabilit n Pg 256 of 275	

	Deleted Deuties	Action Town	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Cogo Nihar
601.	Related Parties  The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Action Type NAS	MDL State	(Last, First)  Meinecke, Kjellsi	Kjellsi Meinecke, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina  Bobbie Lou Moore,	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45493 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
602.	Sackler Family  The Purdue Frederick  Company, Inc.;  Rhodes Technologies Inc.;  Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;  The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;  Richard S. Sackler;  Jonathan D. Sackler;  Mortimer D.A. Sackler;  Kathe A. Sackler;  Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;  Beverly Sackler;  Theresa Sackler;  David A. Sackler;  Trust for the Benefit of  Members of the Raymond  Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Moore, Bobbie Lou	Bobbie Lou Moore, individually and as neighbor friend and guardian of machine of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Prantigy 20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46305 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				179	0/ <b>3/20/207:5</b> :5:08:5:6ain Extribution on Pg 257 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
603.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Muffley, Amanda	Amanda Muffley, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  1199228996110 Doca.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45507 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
604.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ortiz, Maria	Maria Ortiz, individual via de la constant friend and guardia for Baby A.O., on behalf themselves and all others of similarly situated v. Production Pg 258 of 275 Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al.  Pg 258 of 275	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45492 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				180	》是 <b>(20/207:5</b> :10名:588ain臣xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
605.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;	Third Party Payor	MDL	Painting Industry Insurance Fund	Painting Industry Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-9-82889-9-end DDcod 715 Preliminary	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45793 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
606.	Stuart D. Baker  The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Patterson, Gena	Gena Patterson, individing and as next friend and an ext friend and guardian of Baby F.P. etigan and 2020/200/200 te ient or 27.4 junction	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45534 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

1/2/0/20/12:5/08:5/6ain Extraction in Pg 259 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
607.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Paul, Chloe	Chloe Paul, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.R.P., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45467 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
608.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Perkins, Jessica	Jessica Perkins, individually and as next friend and Injuration of Babies P. And DECO/Entered DE	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45535 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				182	)%2/2/0/12/07/2/07/2/07/2/07/2/07/2/07/2/0	

			G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		gg
600	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type NAS	MDL State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number N.D. Ohio
OUY.	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	INAS	WIDL	Peterson, Sally	Sally Peterson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.A.P., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Prelimina	1:19-op-45472 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
610.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Puckett, Heather	Heather Puckett, individually and as next friend and pickett friend and pickett friend and purdue Pharma L.P., ection and guardian of Baby C.M. Purdue Pharma L.P., ection and gyzetnotal g	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45539 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				183	)\$2/2/0/20/2012:5/08:5/8ain Exhobility on Pg 261 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
611.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Rees, Deric; Rees, Ceonda	Deric Rees and Ceonda Rees, individually and as next friend and guardian of baby T.W.B. on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. McKesson Corp., et al.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45252 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
612.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Richardson, Waikeisha	Waikeisha Richardson, individually and as neglipales friend and guardian of E.M.1. and E.M.2. v. Participale Pharma L.P., et al. Pharma L.P., et al. anieg/261ntdig/74junctig/74	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45538 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
613.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Riling, Andrew G.; Riling, Beverly	Andrew G. Riling and Beverly Riling, as next friends of A.P. Riling, minor under the age of Purdue Pharma L.P., evaluation	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45056 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				184	Purdue Pharma L.P., 6012508: 560 ain Exhibiting	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
614.		NAS NAS	MDL	Roach, Tyler M.	Tyler M. Roach, natural Tutor on behalf of his minor child, Baby K.E.R., and as class representative for all Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome afflicted babies born in Louisiana v. McKesson Corp., et al.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45662 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
615.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Rodriguez, Jessica	Jessica Rodriguez, individually and as neglicity III 75 friend and guardian of Ctient and g	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45463 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				185	0%2/2°0/2°07:5°08:5%2 in Extraction in Pg 263 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
616.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Salmons, Walter; Salmons, Virginia	Walter and Virginia Salmons, individually and as the next friend or guardian of Minor W.D. and on behalf of all other similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45268 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
617.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family  The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Scully, Jenny	Jenny Scully, individual land as next friend and guardiand as next friend and guardiand Baby I.S. v. Purdue Plante Lenter L.P., et al.  L.P., et al.  A 22/20/20 antil 27/4 juncti	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45544 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
618.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Shaffer, Jodi	Jodi Shaffer, individually and as next friend and guardise of minor R.C., on behalf the themselves and all others similarly situated v. Portlet Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46302 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
619.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS	MDL	Shepard, Amy	Amy Shepard, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  11998288996600 DDGG.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45536 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Members of the Raymond				nin	
620.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Shewmake, Shilo	Shilo Shewmake, individually and as next friends and Straight A.S., and J.S., on behaticisty themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdley Pharma L.P., et al.  Pharma L.P., et al.  Pg 265	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45482 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				187	<b>3/20/207:5</b> 08: <b>5</b> 48ain Exobabilit 1 Pg 265 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
621.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS	MDL	Shockley, Kayla	Kayla Shockley, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.G.L. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45527 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
622.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family  The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Simonson, Alicia	Alicia Simonson, individually and as next friend and Inguardian of Baby M.Sundipled Delay M.Sundipled	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45479 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				188	1972/12/07/12/07:5/108:5/58ain Extendibility 10 Pg 266 of 275	

	Related Parties	A attace Town	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
623.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Stewart, Wendy	Wendy Stewart, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby K.J.C., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.,	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45481 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family				9എജ്ജ്ജ്ജ്ജ്ജ്ജ്ജ് Preliminar Preliminar	
624.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Taylor, Jessica	Jessica Taylor, individual and as next friend and purchased and purchase	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45528 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				189	<b>12/20/20 7:5:</b> 08: <b>5:6</b> ain Exhibition n Pg 267 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
625.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS NAS	MDL	Taylor, Lori	Lori Taylor, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  12.99228996	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45529 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
626.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Thomas, Jennifer	Jennifer Thomas, individed AVS/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45542 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				190	)%2/2°0/2°07:5°008:5%Bain Exobobolity ≀n Pg 268 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
627.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Tindall, Nichole	Nichole Tindall, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  19-982899610 DDGG	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45530 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
628.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Tuttle, Nicole	Nicole Tuttle, individually and as next friend and Injury guardian of Baby A.T. Special behalf of themselves and others similarly situating Purdue Pharma L.P., eanely/2620/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45476 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				191	)%2/20/20/2012:50 18:50 Rain Extraction in Pg 269 of 275	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
629.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS NAS	MDL	Underwood, Taylor Brooke	Taylor Brooke Underwood, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby C.U. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45537 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
630.	Sackler Family  The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	VonCannon, Caroline	Caroline VonCannon, V. III. 75 individually and as near friend and guardian of the control of th	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45540 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
631.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	W.E., by and through her guardian and next friend, Pamela Osborne	W.E., by and through bery guardian and next friend, Pamela Osborne, on bely of herself and all others sumbrly situated v. Purdue Pharmal L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46347 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

	D14 ID 4	A 41 TD	St. 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G UG N I
632.	Related Parties  The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Warren, Desirae	Case Caption  Desirae Warren, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45486 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
633.	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Watson, Paula	9.982899chald DDccd Prelimina Paula Watson, individual	N.D. Ohio
033.	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	IVAS	MDL	waison, i auta	and as next friend and D.M. etion a	1:19-op-45545 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				193	/2/20/202:5:08:5:5:5:5:5:10 in Extraction in Pg 271 of 275	

	D. I. ( 1 D. ( )		g: ·	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G 11G 17
634.	Related Parties  The Purdue Frederick  Company, Inc.;  Rhodes Technologies Inc.;  Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;  The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;  Richard S. Sackler;  Jonathan D. Sackler;  Mortimer D.A. Sackler;  Kathe A. Sackler;	NAS	MDL State	(Last, First) Weatherwax, Quincy	Case Caption  Quincy Weatherwax, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45483 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
635.	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Whitley, Roxie;	L.P., et al.  Preliminar Preliminar Roxie Whitley, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family			Denson, Chris; Denson, Diane; Holland, James; Holland, Teri	and as next friend of Baby Z.B.D.; Chris and Diage L. Denson, individually and so next friends of Baby L. Data and James and Teri Holland, individually and as next friends of Babby A.C. B. See See See See See See See See See Se	1:18-op-45598 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				194	behalf of themselves and the similarly situated by the similarly situated by the similarly situated by the similarly situated by the similar	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
636.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whittaker, Shelley	Shelley Whittaker, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies E.W., G.L.O., and N.S.G., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated y Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45475 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
637.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whittington, Katherine	Katherine Whittington, individually and as neighbor friend and guardian of s.W. and A.W. v. Puretion and g.V. and g.V. and and g.V. and	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45541 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				195	<b>)2/20/20 7:5</b>	

				<b>Underlying Plaintiff(s)</b>		
(20	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
638.	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Williams, Farrah	Farrah Williams, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al., Prelimina	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45485 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
639.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Wood, Rachel	Rachel Wood, Individual TE-T-IIII 75-Filed and as Next Friend and IIII 15-Filed IIII 16-Filed III	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45264 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
				196	)%2/20/20/2072:5:08:5&ainEXobidoilir n Pg 274 of 275	

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
640.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	NAS	MDL	Wright, Naomi	Naomi Wright, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.  Preliminary	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45543 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	David A. Sackler;				Pr. Bd	
	Trust for the Benefit of				l ei □	
	Members of the Raymond				nir <b>D</b> e	
1.50	Sackler Family				la <del>P</del>	
_	L Appeals	C1 A -4'	MDI	II1 A1	<u>حن</u> کے المال الم	(4), C:
641.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Class Action	MDL	Hanlon, Amanda; Gardner, Amy	Purdue Pharma L.P.,	6th Cir. 19-3398
					175-11ed Filed Filed (20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/2	
				197	8: <b>5.</b> Ceain <b>Exobiciti</b> 275	

## EXHIBIT 2 MONITOR AGREEMENT

#### **Purdue Monitor Agreement**

This monitor agreement (the "Agreement") dated as February \_\_\_, 2020 ("Effective Date"), is entered into between Tom Vilsack ("Monitor") and Purdue Pharma L.P. ("PPLP").

#### **Recitals**

WHEREAS, on September 15, 2019, PPLP and its direct and indirect subsidiaries and general partner (collectively, the "Debtors" or "Purdue") each commenced a voluntary case under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Bankruptcy Court"), which cases are being jointly administered under Case No. 19-23649 (the "Chapter 11 Cases");

WHEREAS, on October 11, 2019, the Bankruptcy Court entered the *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting, in Part, Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [ECF No. 82] in a related adversary proceeding, Adv. Pro. No. 19-08289 (the "Adversary Proceeding"), pursuant to which the Debtors are subject to the Voluntary Injunction (as modified by: *Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting, in Part, Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [ECF No. 89], *Second Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [ECF No. 105], *Third Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [ECF No. 115], *Fourth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [ECF No. 126], *Fifth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [ECF No. 132], *Sixth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [ECF No. 139], and any subsequent orders of the Bankruptcy Court, each of which shall automatically be deemed part of this Agreement upon entry of such order by the Bankruptcy Court. collectively referred to as, the "Voluntary Injunction"), each of which can be found by the references identified in Appendix 1 attached hereto; and

WHEREAS, the Voluntary Injunction provides that the Debtors shall work expeditiously to retain a Monitor on the terms set forth in the Voluntary Injunction.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:

#### **Agreement**

<u>Appointment and Term of Monitor.</u> Purdue hereby appoints the Monitor to undertake those duties and responsibilities of the Monitor set forth in the Voluntary Injunction and as may hereafter be ordered by the Bankruptcy Court. All terms in this Agreement are to be interpreted in a manner consistent with the Voluntary Injunction.

The term of the Monitor shall begin on the date that this Agreement is executed by the Monitor and Purdue and shall continue until the close of the Chapter 11 Cases or such other time as the Bankruptcy Court may hereafter order.

The Monitor may terminate his appointment under this Agreement, without cause, no earlier than ninety (90) days after the receipt of written notice of termination by Purdue's General Counsel.

Rights, Powers and Responsibilities of the Monitor. The Monitor shall have all of the rights, powers and responsibilities set forth in Section II.H of the Voluntary Injunction as well as any other rights, powers and responsibilities as may hereafter be ordered by the Bankruptcy Court. The Monitor acknowledges and agrees that he will abide by the terms of the Voluntary Injunction as of the Effective Date, and acknowledges and agrees that any subsequent orders entered by the Bankruptcy Court relating to the Voluntary Injunction will be incorporated herein upon entry, and that the Monitor will then have all of the rights, powers, and responsibilities set forth in the Voluntary Injunction as amended by any such subsequent order.

<u>Agreements of Purdue.</u> Purdue agrees to fully, completely and promptly cooperate with the Monitor as set forth in the Voluntary Injunction. Such cooperation shall include instructing and encouraging each of its officers, directors, employees, professional advisors and consultants to carry out such acts as are necessary for the company to fulfill its agreement to cooperate.

Monitor Compensation and Costs. The Monitor shall serve without bond or other security and shall be compensated by Purdue for work on this engagement at a rate of [redacted] per hour. In addition, Purdue shall pay all reasonable out of pocket expenses reasonably incurred by the Monitor in performance of the engagement. The Monitor will submit receipts and any other back-up documentation reasonably requested by Purdue ("Expense Documentation") for any expenses for which the Monitor seeks reimbursement hereunder, and the Monitor agrees Purdue shall not be liable for any expenses for which there is no adequate Expense Documentation. Purdue will pay the Monitor within forty-five (45) days of its receipt of a correct, undisputed invoice, provided that the Monitor's compensation is subject to final approval by the Bankruptcy Court.

Other Consultants. The Monitor shall have the authority to employ, upon Purdue's prior written consent, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned, and upon the Bankruptcy Court's approval, such consultants as may be necessary to carry out his responsibilities, at Purdue's cost and expense. Requests to employ consultants should be directed to Purdue's General Counsel, and will be decided upon no later than ten (10) days from their receipt. The Monitor will work in good faith with Purdue to ensure such approved consultants will follow Purdue's policies and procedures with respect to any payments remitted directly by Purdue.

#### Miscellaneous.

Standard of Performance; Representations. The Monitor will conduct business in accordance with all applicable (i) ethical code requirements, (ii) regulatory requirements, (iii) government-issued rules and guidance, including those relating to data privacy and security, and (iv) all applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations and orders. The Monitor represents and covenants that the Monitor is, and during the term of this Agreement will remain, in compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations and orders.

Conflict of Interest. In the event the Monitor becomes aware that he, or any consultants working under this Agreement, has or may have a conflict of interest that may affect, or could have the appearance of affecting, the Monitor or persons working with the Monitor from performing any of the duties under this Agreement, the Monitor shall promptly inform Purdue. If the Monitor or a consultant works for, or provides services to, the federal government, whether as a full-time or part-time federal government employee or a special federal government employee or consultant, the Monitor represents by signing this Agreement that no real or apparent conflict of interest exists by entering into this Agreement with Purdue. Except for disclosures expressly contemplated herein, the Monitor represents and warrants that he is not required to give any notice or obtain any consent from any person or entity in connection with the execution and delivery of this Agreement.

<u>Confidentiality.</u> The Monitor agrees that he will promptly sign the governing Protective Order entered by the Bankruptcy Court, and that he will cause any approved consultants to sign the Protective Order, and that he and any consultants hereinafter retained will be subject to the terms of the Protective Order and any confidentiality orders that are consistent with the Protective Order.

<u>Choice of Law.</u> This Agreement is governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law) applicable to contracts negotiated, executed and performed entirely.

<u>Waiver amendment modification.</u> This Agreement sets forth all terms of engagement between the Monitor and Purdue. No waiver, amendment or other modification of this Agreement shall be effective unless in writing and signed by each party to be bound thereby.

<u>Independent Contractor.</u> The Monitor is an independent contractor and not an agent, employee, joint venturer or partner of Purdue for income tax purposes or otherwise. No life, casualty, or disability insurance, workers' compensation, or health, retirement or any other employment benefits shall be paid by Purdue to or for the benefit of the Monitor.

<u>Counterparts.</u> This Agreement may be executed in counterparts each of which shall be considered effective as an original signature.

BY:

General Counsel & Corporate Secretary

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the Effective Date.

PURDUE PHARMA L.P.

MARC L. KESSELMAN
Senior Vice President.

MONITOR

TOM VILSACK

#### Appendix I

Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting, in Part, Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 82]

Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting, in Part, Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 89]

Second Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 105]

Third Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 115]

Fourth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 126]

Fifth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 132]

Sixth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 139]

Seventh Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 145]

Eighth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 168]

Ninth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 175]

### **EXHIBIT 3**

## LIST OF DOCUMENTS AND MATERIALS RECEIVED FROM PURDUE PHARMA AND RELATED ENTITIES FEBRUARY 13, 2020

## LIST OF DOCUMENTS AND MATERIALS RECEIVED FROM PURDUE PHARMA AND RELATED ENTITIES FEBRUARY 13, 2020

Purdue Monitoring Agreement
Protective Order Filed January 28, 2020 - Document 784
Company Overview Materials
Company Background Documents
Voluntary Injunction training materials
Company Policies and Standard Operating Procedures
OxyContin Package Insert and Medication Guide
CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain
FDA Guidance on Advertising and Promotion
FDA Guidance on Unsolicited Requests
FDA Guidance on Communications with Payors

## **EXHIBIT 4**

## PURDUE PHARMA DOCUMENTS PRODUCED MARCH 4 AND 8, 2020

#### PURDUE PHARMA DOCUMENTS PRODUCED MARCH 4 AND 8, 2020

Federal and State Aggregate Spend Reports Company Policies and Reports Company Standard Operating Procedures List of Research and Development Studies Product Catalogue

## **EXHIBIT 5**

## PURDUE PHARMA DOCUMENTS DELIVERED MARCH 19 AND 20, 2020

#### PURDUE PHARMA DOCUMENTS DELIVERED MARCH 19 AND 20, 2020

Medical Inquiry Logs Suspicious Ordering Monitoring documents Federal Aggregate Spend Reports Industry Alerts

## **EXHIBIT 6**

## PURDUE PHARMA DOCUMENTS DELIVERED MARCH 23, 2020

#### PURDUE PHARMA DOCUMENTS DELIVERED MARCH 23, 2020

Suspicious Order Monitoring Documents

## **EXHIBIT 7**

## PURDUE PHARMA PRODUCED APRIL 13, 2020

19-23649-rdd Doc 1175-7 Filed 05/20/20 Entered 05/20/20 15:08:58 Exhibit 7-Purdue Pharma Produced April 13 2020 Pg 2 of 2

#### PURDUE PHARMA PRODUCED APRIL 13, 2020

Financial Records FDA Submissions and Communications Lobbying Agreements

## **EXHIBIT 8**

# PURDUE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED APRIL 20, 21, 29, AND 30, 2020

#### PURDUE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED APRIL 20, 21, 29, AND 30, 2020

FDA Communications
Federal Aggregate Spend Reports
Lobbying Agreements
Lobbying Communications
Lobbying Disclosure Forms
Draft Scientific Publications

### **EXHIBIT 9**

## **DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM PURDUE PHARMA – MAY 11, 2020**

#### **PURDUE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED MAY 11, 2020**

Lobbying Reports
Lobbying Agreement